DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

North Central Region 1701 Nimbus Rd., Ste. A Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

http://www.dfg.ca.gov

EDMUND G. BROWN, Jr., Governor JOHN McCAMMAN. Director

August 4, 2011

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE. Room 1A Washington, D.C. 20426

Subject:

Response to Notice of Ready for Environmental Analysis

Federal Power Act Section 10(j) and 10(a) RECOMMENDATIONS Middle Fork American River Project (FERC Project No. 2079-069)

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Department of Fish and Game has received the Notice of Ready for Environmental Analysis (REA) for the Middle Fork American River Project (Project No. 2079), issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission: FERC) on June 7, 2011. This notice accepts the hydroelectric project Application for New Major License filed by Placer County Water Agency (Licensee), and solicits Motions to Intervene, Protests, Comments, Preliminary Terms and Conditions, Recommendations, and Preliminary Fishway Prescriptions on this proceeding.

The California Department of Fish and Game (Department) is the appropriate state fish and wildlife agency for resource consultation and for Federal Power Act (FPA) Section 10(j) (16 USC § 803(j)) purposes. In the State of California, fish and wildlife resources are held in trust for the people of the state, and the Department has statutory responsibility for managing and protecting all fish, wildlife and habitat to support these species in the public interest (Cal. Fish & Game Code § 711.7). The Department has jurisdiction over the conservation, protection, and management of fish, wildlife, native plants, and habitat necessary for biologically sustainable populations of those species (Cal. Fish & Game Code § 1802).

The Department has filed a timely Notice of Intervention (NOI) for the Middle Fork American River Project license proceeding. As provided for in Rule 214 (18 CFR § 385.214), this notice is recognized in lieu of the Motion to Intervene. The NOI is posted to the Commission's e-Library (submittal #20110728-5082), and grants the Department intervener status in the New Major License process for Project No. 2079.

As trustee for the fish, wildlife and native plant species of the State of California, the Department hereby submits our recommended Protection, Mitigation and

¹ The Commission is required under Section 10(j) of the FPA to include in any license fish and wildlife measures for the protection, mitigation of damages to, and enhancement of fish and wildlife resources potentially affected by the project based on recommendations from the National Marine Fisheries Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and state fish and wildlife agencies.

Enhancement (PM&E) measures for the federal licensing of Project No. 2709. These PM&E measures are filed as FPA Section 10(j) Recommended Conditions, in response to the Commission's REA notice, and consistent with the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act. (16 USC § 661 et seq.)

The Department's Section10(j) Recommendations reflect the resource protection needs identified by this state fish and wildlife agency, and measures developed in a collaborative effort through the Integrated Licensing Process (ILP) for Project No. 2079. State and federal agencies participating in this ILP have coordinated to provide a common set of preliminary terms, conditions, and recommendations at this time. The proposed terms, conditions, and recommendations are supported by a preliminary rationale document prepared jointly by the Department and other state and federal agencies, including U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, National Parks Service, California State Parks and Recreation, along with guidance shared by the State Water Resources Control Board. Final terms and conditions, as well as a final rationale may be revised to include supplemental information and plans, revisions, and/or refinements.

The Department submits the enclosed Recommended Conditions (Enclosure A) as our filing under FPA Section 10(j) as well as FPA Section 10(a). Recommendations pursuant to Section 10(j) are provided in standard font, and PM&E measures designated as Section 10(a) recommendations are defined with the use of *italicized font*. Department staff request that the character and use of designated fonts be maintained through any document manipulation that may be invoked on these PM&Es. A Rationale Report (Enclosure B) is provided herein, to support the forementioned recommendations.

Thank you for your consideration of these recommendations. If you have questions regarding this submittal or need further discussion regarding California Department of Fish and Game contributions to this licensing effort, please contact: Sharon Stohrer, at (916) 358-2384 / sstohrer@dfg.ca.gov, or MaryLisa Lynch, at (916) 358-2921 / mlynch@dfg.ca.gov.

Sincerely

Kent A. Smith, Regional Manager

Enclosures (2)

CC:

Carolyn Templeton

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

888 First Street, NE

Washington, D.C. 20426

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Page 3

Andy Fecko Placer County Water Agency 144 Ferguson Road Auburn, CA 95603

ec: Service List for P-2079-000 (Placer County Water Agency)

DFG, North Central Region MaryLisa Lynch, Senior Environmental Scientist Sharon Stohrer, Staff Environmental Scientist Beth Lawson, Associate Hydraulic Engineer Bob Hughes, Associate Hydraulic Engineer Kevin Thomas, Associate Fisheries Biologist

Office of General Counsel Nancee Murray, Staff Attorney

ENCLOSURE A

California Department of Fish and Game

Recommended Conditions

Fish and Wildlife Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement

Provided Under Federal Power Act §10(j), §10(a)(2), and 18 CFR § 4.34(b)(2)

In the Relicensing of

Middle Fork American River Project (FERC Project No. 2079)

California Department of Fish and Game Recommended Conditions

for

Fish and Wildlife

Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement Provided Under the Federal Power Act and 18 CFR § 4.34 (b)(2)

In the Relicensing of Middle Fork American River Project (FERC Project No. 2079)

4 August 2011

The California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) submits these Recommended Conditions under authority granted through the Federal Power Act (16 USC § 803: FPA). This state fish and wildlife agency files recommended conditions pursuant to FPA Section 10(j)(1) and recommendations under FPA Section 10(a)(2)(B). Section 10(j) Recommendations are provided in "standard font," and Section 10(a) recommendations are identified with the use of *italicized font*.

PART I: ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS

Condition No. 1 – Consultation

The Licensee shall, beginning the first full calendar year after license acceptance, participate in annual meetings with the FS to present Project operation and maintenance activities planned for the next calendar year. In addition, Licensee shall present results from current year monitoring of noxious weeds and special status species as well as any additional information that has been compiled for the Project area, including progress reports on other resource measures. The goals of this meeting are to share information, mutually agree upon planned maintenance activities, identify concerns that the FS may have regarding activities and their potential effects on sensitive resources, and any measures required to avoid or mitigate potential effects.

The date of the consultation meeting will be between January 10 and March 15 of each year, as mutually agreed to by the Licensee and FS. Representatives from the FWS, CDFG, State Water Board, other interested agency representatives, and other interested parties concerned with operation of the Project may attend the meeting.

Consultation shall include, but not be limited to:

• A status report regarding implementation of license conditions.

- Results of any monitoring studies performed over the previous year in formats agreed to by the FS and the Licensee during development of implementation plans.
- Review of any non-routine maintenance.
- Discussion of any foreseeable changes to Project facilities or features.
- Discussion of any necessary revisions or modifications to implementation plans approved as part of this license.
- Discussion of needed protection measures for species newly listed as threatened, endangered, or sensitive, or changes to existing management plans that may no longer be warranted due to delisting of species or, to incorporate new knowledge about a species requiring protection. Discussion of needed protection measures for newly discovered cultural resource sites.
- Discussion of elements of current year maintenance plans, e.g. road and trail maintenance.
- Discussion of any planned pesticide use.

A record of the meeting shall be kept by the Licensee and shall include any recommendations made by the FS for the protection of NFS lands and resources. The Licensee shall file the meeting record, if requested, with FERC no later than 60 days following the meeting.

Copies of other reports related to Project safety and non-compliance shall be submitted to the FS concurrently with submittal to the FERC. These include, but are not limited to: any non-compliance report filed by the Licensee, geologic or seismic reports, and structural safety reports for facilities located on or affecting NFS lands.

The FS reserves the right, after notice and opportunity for comment, to require changes in the Project and its operation through revision of the Section 4(e) conditions to accomplish protection and utilization of NFS lands and resources.

Condition No. 2 - Approval of Changes

Notwithstanding any license authorization to make changes to the Project, when such changes directly affect NFS lands the Licensee shall obtain written approval from the FS prior to making any changes in any constructed Project features or facilities, or in the uses of Project lands and waters or any departure from the requirements of any approved exhibits filed with FERC. CDFG shall be consulted, as appropriate, prior to Licensee initiating any change. Following receipt of such approval from the FS, and a minimum of 60 days prior to initiating any such changes, the Licensee shall file a report with FERC describing the changes, the reasons for the changes, and showing the approval of the FS

for such changes. The Licensee shall file an exact copy of this report with the FS and CDFG at the same time it is filed with FERC. This condition does not relieve the Licensee from the amendment or other requirements of Article 2 or Article 3 of this license.

<u>Condition No. 3 - Maintenance of Improvements on or Affecting National</u> Forest System Lands

The Licensee shall maintain all its improvements and premises on NFS lands to standards of repair, orderliness, neatness, sanitation, and safety acceptable to the FS. Disposal of all materials will be at an approved existing location, except as otherwise agreed by the FS.

Condition No. 4 - Existing Claims

The license shall be subject to all valid claims and existing rights of third parties. The United States is not liable to the Licensee for the exercise of any such right or claim.

Condition No. 5 - Compliance with Regulations

The Licensee shall comply with the regulations of the Department of Agriculture for activities on NFS lands, and all applicable Federal, State, county, and municipal laws, ordinances, or regulations in regards to the area or operations on or directly affecting NFS lands, to the extent those laws, ordinances or regulations are not preempted by federal law.

Condition No. 6 - Surrender of License or Transfer of Ownership

Prior to any surrender of this license, the Licensee shall provide assurance acceptable to the FS that Licensee shall restore any Project area directly affecting NFS lands to a condition satisfactory to the FS upon or after surrender of the license, as appropriate. To the extent restoration is required, Licensee shall prepare a restoration plan which shall identify the measures to be taken to restore such NFS lands and shall include or identify adequate financial mechanisms to ensure performance of the restoration measures.

In the event of any transfer of the license or sale of the Project, the Licensee shall assure that, in a manner satisfactory to the FS, the Licensee or transferee will provide for the costs of surrender and restoration. If deemed necessary by the FS to assist it in evaluating the Licensee's proposal, the Licensee shall conduct an analysis, using experts approved by the FS, to estimate the potential costs associated with surrender and restoration of any Project area directly affecting NFS lands to FS specifications. In addition, the FS may require the Licensee to pay for an independent audit of the transferee to assist the FS in determining whether the transferee has the financial ability to fund the surrender and restoration work specified in the analysis.

Condition No. 7- Protection of United States Property

The Licensee, including any agents or employees of the Licensee acting within the scope of their employment, shall exercise diligence in protecting from damage the land and property of the United States covered by and used in connection with this license.

Condition No. 8 – Indemnification

The Licensee shall indemnify, defend, and hold the United States harmless for:

- any violations incurred under any laws and regulations applicable to, or
- judgments, claims, penalties, fees, or demands assessed against the United States caused by, or
- costs, damages, and expenses incurred by the United States caused by, or
- the releases or threatened release of any solid waste, hazardous substances, pollutant, contaminant, or oil in any form in the environment related to the construction, maintenance, or operation of the Project works or of the works appurtenant or accessory thereto under the license.

The Licensee's indemnification of the United States shall include any loss by personal injury, loss of life or damage to property caused by the construction, maintenance, or operation of the Project works or of the works appurtenant or accessory thereto under the license. Indemnification shall include, but is not limited to, the value of resources damaged or destroyed; the costs of restoration, cleanup, or other mitigation; fire suppression or other types of abatement costs; third party claims and judgments; and all administrative, interest, and other legal costs. Upon surrender, transfer, or termination of the license, the Licensee's obligation to indemnify and hold harmless the United States shall survive for all valid claims for actions that occurred prior to such surrender, transfer or termination.

Condition No. 9 - Damage to Land, Property, and Interests of the United States

The Licensee has an affirmative duty to protect the land, property, and interests of the United States from damage arising from the Licensee's construction, maintenance, or operation of the Project works or the works appurtenant or accessory thereto under the license. The Licensee's liability for fire and other damages to NFS lands shall be determined in accordance with the Federal Power Act and standard Form L-1 Articles 22 and 24.

Condition No. 10 - Risks and Hazards on National Forest System Lands

As part of the occupancy and use of the Project area, the Licensee has a continuing responsibility to reasonably identify and report all known or observed hazardous conditions on or directly affecting NFS lands within the Project boundary that would affect the improvements, resources, or pose a risk of injury to individuals. Licensee will abate those conditions, except those caused by third parties or not related to the occupancy and use authorized by the License. Any non-emergency actions to abate such hazards on NFS lands shall be performed after consultation with the FS. In emergency situations, the Licensee shall notify the FS of its actions as soon as possible, but not more than 48 hours, after such actions have been taken. Whether or not the FS is notified or provides consultation, the Licensee shall remain solely responsible for all abatement measures performed. Other hazards should be reported to the appropriate agency as soon as possible.

Condition No. 11 – Protection of FS Special Status Species

Before taking actions to construct new project features on NFS lands that may affect FS special status species or their critical habitat, the Licensee shall prepare and submit a biological evaluation (BE) for FS approval. The BE shall evaluate the potential impact of the action on the species or its habitat. In coordination with FERC, the FS may require mitigation measures for the protection of the affected species.

The biological evaluation shall:

- Include procedures to minimize or avoid adverse effects to special status species.
- Ensure project-related activities shall meet restrictions included in site management plans for special status species.
- Develop implementation and effectiveness monitoring of measures taken or employed to reduce effects to special status species.

Condition No. 12 – Access

Subject to the limitations set forth in Conditions 19, 20, and 21 hereof, the FS reserves the right to use or permit others to use any part of the licensed area on NFS lands for any purpose, provided such use does not interfere with the rights and privileges authorized by this license or the Federal Power Act.

Condition No. 13 – Crossings

The Licensee shall maintain suitable crossings as required by the FS for all roads and trails that intersect the right-of-way occupied by linear Project facilities (powerline, penstock, ditch, and pipeline).

Condition No. 14 - Surveys, Land Corners

The Licensee shall avoid disturbance to all public land survey monuments, private property corners, and forest boundary markers. In the event that any such land markers or monuments on NFS lands are destroyed by an act or omission of the Licensee, in connection with the use and/or occupancy authorized by this license, depending on the type of monument destroyed, the Licensee shall reestablish or reference same in accordance with (1) the procedures outlined in the "Manual of Instructions for the Survey of the Public Land of the United States," (2) the specifications of the County Surveyor, or (3) the specifications of the FS. Further, the Licensee shall ensure that any such official survey records affected are amended as provided by law.

<u>Condition No. 15 - Pesticide-Use Restrictions on National Forest System</u> <u>Lands</u>

Pesticides may not be used on NFS lands or in areas affecting NFS lands to control undesirable woody and herbaceous vegetation, aquatic plants, insects, rodents, non-native fish, etc., without the prior written approval of the FS. During the Annual Consultation Meeting described in Condition 1, the Licensee shall submit a request for approval of planned uses of pesticides for the upcoming year. The Licensee shall provide at a minimum the following information essential for review:

- Whether pesticide applications are essential for use on NFS lands.
- Specific locations of use.
- Specific herbicides proposed for use.
- Application rates.
- Dose and exposure rates.
- Safety risk and timeframes for application.

Exceptions to this schedule may be allowed only when unexpected outbreaks of pests require control measures that were not anticipated at the time the report was submitted. In such an instance, an emergency request and approval may be made.

Pesticide use will be excluded from NFS lands within 500 feet of known locations of Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog or Western Pond Turtles or known locations of FS Special Status or culturally significant plant populations. Application of pesticides must be consistent with FS riparian conservation objectives.

On NFS lands, the Licensee shall only use those materials registered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and consistent with those applied by the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests and approved through FS review for the specific purpose planned. The Licensee must strictly follow label instructions in the preparation and application of pesticides and disposal of excess materials and containers. The Licensee may also submit Pesticide Use Proposal(s) with accompanying risk assessment and other FS required documents to use pesticides on a regular basis for the term of the license as addressed further in Condition No. 45, Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan. Submission of this plan will not relieve the Licensee of the responsibility of annual notification and review.

<u>Condition No. 16 - Modifications of 4(e) Conditions after Biological</u> <u>Opinion or Water Quality Certification</u>

The FS reserves the right to modify these conditions, if necessary, to respond to any Final Biological Opinion issued for this Project by the National Marine Fisheries Service, United States Fish and Wildlife Service; or any Certification issued for this Project by the State Water Resources Control Board.

Condition No. 17 – Signs

The Licensee shall consult with the FS prior to erecting signs related to safety issues on NFS lands covered by the license. Prior to the Licensee erecting any other signs or advertising devices on NFS lands covered by the license, the Licensee must obtain the approval of the FS as to location, design, size, color, and message. The Licensee shall be responsible for maintaining all Licensee-erected signs to neat and presentable standards.

Condition No. 18 – Ground Disturbing Activities

If the Licensee proposes ground-disturbing activities on or directly affecting NFS lands that were not specifically addressed in FERC's NEPA processes, the Licensee, in consultation with the FS, shall determine the scope of work and potential for Project-related effects, and whether additional information is required to proceed with the planned activity. The CDFG shall be notified and, as appropriate, consulted on such activities prior to commencing. Upon FS request, the Licensee shall enter into an agreement with the FS under which the Licensee shall fund a reasonable portion of FS's staff time and expenses for staff activities related to the proposed activities.

PART II: RESOURCE CONDITIONS

<u>Condition No. 19 – Use of National Forest System Roads for Project</u> Access

The Licensee shall obtain suitable authorization for all project access roads and NFS roads needed for Project access. The term of the permit shall be the same as the term of the license. The authorization shall require road maintenance and cost sharing in reconstruction commensurate with the Licensee's use and project-related use. The authorization shall specify road maintenance and management standards that provide for traffic safety, minimize erosion, and damage to natural resources and that are acceptable to FS.

The Licensee shall pay FS for its share of maintenance cost or perform maintenance or other agreed to services, as determined by FS for all use of roads related to project operations, project-related public recreation, or related activities. The maintenance obligation of the Licensee shall be proportionate to total use and commensurate with its use. Any maintenance to be performed by the Licensee shall be authorized by and shall be performed in accordance with an approved maintenance plan and applicable BMPs. In the event a road requires maintenance, restoration, or reconstruction work to accommodate the Licensee's needs, the licensee shall perform such work at its own expense after securing FS authorization.

The Licensee shall complete a condition survey and a proposed maintenance plan subject to FS review and approval once each year. The plan may take the format of a road maintenance agreement provided all the above conditions are met as well as the conditions set forth in the proposed agreement.

In addition, all NFS roads used as Project Access roads (PAR) and Right-of-Way access roads (ROW) shall have:

- Current condition survey.
- Be mapped at a scale to allow identification of specific routes or segments.
- FS assigned road numbers are used for reference on the maps, tables, and in the field.
- GIS compatible files of GPS alignments of all roads used for Project access are provided to FS.
- Adequate signage is installed and maintained by the Licensee at each road or route, identifying the road by FS road number.

At a minimum the authorization shall include the following roads and may be amended as needed:

Road Name	Begin Termini	Length	Jurisdiction
Road Number	/ End Termini		
Mosquito Ridge Road	Mile Post 1.5	38.10 miles	Forest Service - TNF
NFSR 0096	Mile Post 40.6		

Blue Gate Road	Mosquito Ridge Road	0.21 miles	Forest Service - TNF
NFSR 0096-076	French Meadows Res.		
Soda Springs – Riverton	Mosquito Ridge Road	0.977 miles	Forest Service - TNF
NFSR 0022	Forest Boundary		
Blacksmith Flat Road	Mosquito Ridge Road	2.300 miles	Forest Service - TNF
NFSR 0023	Forest Boundary		
Blacksmith Flat Spur	Blacksmith Flat Road	0.684 miles	Forest Service – TNF
NFSR 0023-002	Parking Area		
Eleven Pines Road	Mile Post 19.3	1.836 miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N08	Mile Post 21.136		
Lower Meadows Road	Eleven Pines Road	0.182 miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N16	Mile Post 0.182		
Ralston Ridge Road	Blacksmith Flat Road	3.11 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N22	Mile Post 3.11		
Blacksmith Flat Road	Forest Boundary	18.985 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N25	Mile Post 18.985		
Tanners Point Road	Ralston Ridge Road	1.12 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N31	Mile Post 1.12		
North Long Canyon Road	Old Ice House Road	0.45 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N42	Mile Post 0.45		
Section 36 Road	Tanners Point Road	1.0 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N55	Mile Post 1.0		
End of the World Road	Tanners Point Road	1.2 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 14N55	Mile Post 1.2		
Old Ice House Road	Forest Boundary	13.48 Miles	Forest Service - ENF
NFSR 17N02	Mile Post 13.48		
Horseshoe Bar Road	Mosquito Ridge Road	1.00 Miles	Forest Service - TNF
NFSR 0096-006	Private Property		

Condition No. 20 - Access By The United States

The United States shall have unrestricted use of any road over which the Licensee has control within the project area for all purposes deemed necessary and desirable in connection with the protection, administration, management, and utilization of Federal lands or resources. When needed for the protection, administration, and management of Federal lands or resources the United States shall have the right to extend rights and privileges for use of the right-of-way and road thereon to States and local subdivisions thereof, as well as to other users. The United States shall control such use so as not to unreasonably interfere with the safety or security uses, or cause the Licensee to bear a share of costs disproportionate to the Licensee's use in comparison to the use of the road by others.

Condition No. 21 - Road Use

The Licensee shall confine all vehicles being used for project purposes, including but not limited to administrative and transportation vehicles and construction and inspection equipment, to roads or specifically designed access routes, as identified in the Transportation System Management Plan (refer to Condition No. 42). FS reserves the

right to close any and all such routes where damage is occurring to the soil or vegetation, or, if requested by Licensee, to require reconstruction/construction by the Licensee to the extent needed to accommodate the Licensee's use. FS agrees to provide notice to the Licensee, CDFG, and FERC prior to road closures, except in an emergency, in which case notice will be provided as soon as practicable.

<u>Condition No. 22 – Minimum Streamflows</u>

The Licensee shall maintain minimum streamflows in:

- Duncan Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam
- Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam
- Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam
- Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam
- North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam
- South Fork Long Canyon Creek below South Fork Long Canyon Dam
- Middle Fork American River immediately below Ralston Afterbay Dam
- Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse

For compliance purposes, the point of measurement for each required minimum streamflow is described in the introduction to the minimum streamflow schedule for that particular stream reach. All specified streamflows are in cubic feet per second (cfs). The schedules specify minimum streamflows, by month and water year type, for each of the specified stream reaches. Streamflow compliance is based on hourly or daily average flows as described below.

Water Year Types

The streamflow and reservoir minimum pool elevation requirements have been specified for six different water year type classifications. The water year type classifications are based on either forecasts or estimates of American River unimpaired flow (acre-feet) below Folsom Lake. The water year types and associated American River unimpaired flow ranges in acre-feet (ac-ft) are provided below:

Water Year Types	American River Unimpaired Flow Below Folsom Lake (ac-ft)
Wet (W)	≥3,400,000
Above Normal (AN)	2,400,000–<3,400,000
Below Normal (BN)	1,500,000-<2,400,000
Dry (D)	1,000,000-<1,500,000
Critically Dry (CD)	600,000-<1,000,000
Extreme Dry (ED)	<600,000

The American River unimpaired flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year (October 1-September 30) is to be determined using the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bulletin 120 Forecast of Unimpaired Flow Below Folsom Lake and/or the DWR's estimated Full Natural Flow record for the American River at Folsom (California Data Exchange Center site AMF sensor 65) (http://cdec.water.ca.gov).

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for minimum streamflow requirements based on the following time periods and forecast/estimate methods using the water year types above unless otherwise specified.

Minimum Streamflow Water Year Type Determination for all Stream Reaches Except Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for minimum streamflows for all stream reaches except Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse based on the following time periods and forecast/estimate methods using the water year type classifications above.

Time Period	American River Unimpaired Flow (ac- ft) below Folsom Lake Determination Method	Water Year Type Classification
June 1–October 31	DWR Bulletin 120 May Forecast ¹	See water year types
November 1–March 14	End of Water Year Estimate of Full Natural Flows ²	above (Wet, AN, BN, Dry, CD,
March 15–May 31	DWR Bulletin 120 March Forecast ¹	ED)

¹ American River unimpaired flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year, October 1 through September 30, is to be determined using the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bulletin 120 Forecast of Unimpaired Flow Below Folsom Lake.

² American River unimpaired flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year is to be determined by DWR's Full Natural Flow record for the American River at Folsom (California Data Exchange Center site AMF sensor 65) after the end of the water year (October 1–September 30) (http://cdec.water.ca.gov).

Minimum Streamflow Water Year Type Determination for Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for minimum streamflows for the Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse based on the following time periods and forecast/estimate methods using the water year type classification above.

Time Period American River Unimpaired Flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake Determination Method		Water Year Type Classification
June 1–October 31	DWR Bulletin 120 May Forecast ¹	
November 1–February 14	End of Water Year Estimate of Full Natural Flows ²	See water year types above
February 15–Mar 14	DWR Bulletin 120 February Forecast ¹	(Wet, AN, BN, Dry, CD, ED)
March 15–May 31	DWR Bulletin 120 March Forecast ¹	

¹ American River unimpaired flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year, October 1 through September 30, is to be determined using the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) Bulletin 120 Forecast of Unimpaired Flow Below Folsom Lake.

Minimum Streamflow Compliance

Compliance with the minimum flow schedules must meet the following conditions:

- All specified minimum streamflows are in cubic feet per second (cfs).
- Minimum streamflows must be released by 5 pm on the date specified in the flow schedule tables below for each location unless access to release facility is prohibited by hazardous conditions (risk to operator safety). If this occurs, FERC, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board must be notified of the circumstances as soon as possible, but no later than 3 business days after such incident and the minimum streamflows must be released as soon as practicable.
- The minimum streamflows specified from March 15–May 31 shall not be lower than the minimum streamflow that was in effect on March 14.
- The streamflow hourly running average measurements (based on flow measured in 15-minute time increments) shall never be less than the thresholds specified in the tables below for each location, except as authorized below:
 - The minimum streamflow may be temporarily modified for short periods upon approval of FS, CDFG, and State Water Board and notification of FERC.

² American River unimpaired flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year is to be determined by DWR's Full Natural Flow record for the American River at Folsom (California Data Exchange Center site AMF sensor 65) after the end of the water year (October 1 – September 30) (http://cdec.water.ca.gov).

The minimum streamflows may be temporarily modified due to equipment malfunction or public safety emergencies reasonably beyond the control of the Licensee. If the streamflow is so modified, the Licensee shall notify FERC, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days after such incident.

Implementation Schedule

The Licensee shall provide the streamflow releases within 30 days of License issuance at locations where existing infrastructure and flow gages can provide and measure the new releases. Year 1 begins 30 days after license issuance. For all other locations, the Licensee will provide streamflow releases and pool elevations according to the following schedule.

Measure	Flow Compliance Location	Interim Conditions Time Period (after License Issuance)	New Conditions Time Period (after License Issuance) ¹
inimum Instrea	m Flows	-	
	Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 3.	Year 4 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 2.	Year 3 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 2.	Year 3 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River Immediately Below Ralston Afterbay Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 2.	Year 3 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse		Within 30 days - License Term
	Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 3.	Year 4 - License Term
	North Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 4.	Year 5 - License Term
	South Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam	Within 30 Days of License Issuance through Year 4.	Year 5 - License Term
ulse Flows			
	Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam		Year 6 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam		Year 1 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam		Year 3 - License Term
	Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam		Year 4 - License Term
	North Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam		Year 5 - License Term
	South Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam		Year 5 - License Term
own Ramp of S	pill Flows		
	Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam		Year 6 - License Term
	Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam		Within 30 days - License Term
eaking Reach I	Ramping Rate Requirements		
	Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse		Within 30 days - License Term
creation Flow			
·	Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse		Within 30 days - License Term
servoir Minim	um Pool Elevations		
	Hell Hole Reservoir		Year 2 - License Term
	French Meadows Reservoir		Year 2 - License Term

At the locations where minimum streamflow requirements are higher than the capacity of the existing infrastructure, the Licensee will implement the new flow requirement up to the maximum capacity of the outlet works (Interim Condition) within 30 days of License issuance until modification of the infrastructure is completed.

At the locations where pulse flows or down ramp of spill flows depend on modification of existing infrastructure or construction of new Project facilities for either release or measurement of the flow, the pulse or down ramp of spill flow requirements will be implemented within 30 days after completion of the infrastructure modification or construction project.

The reservoir minimum pool elevation requirements can be implemented with the existing Project facilities. However, the new reservoir minimum pool elevation requirements will be provided starting in Year 2 after license issuance to avoid potential mid-year changes in minimum pool requirements.

The Licensee will promptly notify FERC, State Water Board, FS, and CDFG if any issues emerge during engineering design, permitting, or construction that may delay implementation of the required streamflow releases beyond the implementation schedule identified above.

Duncan Creek Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflows shall be measured beginning in Year 4 at USGS gage 11427750, Duncan Canyon Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam near French Meadows, CA and a new gage at Duncan Creek Diversion Tunnel. In the interim, streamflows will be measured at the Duncan Creek near French Meadows gage (USGS Gage No. 11427700) and the Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam Gage (USGS Gage No. 11427750). When inflow to the diversion is less than the minimum streamflow, licensee shall only be required to release this Natural Flow (NF).

Duncan Creek Below	uncan Creek Below Duncan Diversion Dam				
Month	Minir	num Strea	mflow by \	Nater Year	(cfs)
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET
ОСТ	4 or NF ¹	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
NOV	4 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
DEC	4 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
JAN	4 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
FEB	4 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
MAR 1-14	4 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF	8 or NF
MAR 15-31	9 or NF	11 or NF	13 or NF	16 or NF	16 or NF
APR	13 or NF	14 or NF	17 or NF	24 or NF	24 or NF
MAY	13 or NF	14 or NF	17 or NF	24 or NF	24 or NF
JUNE	7 or NF	7 or NF	9 or NF	12 or NF	12 or NF
JULY	No Div ²	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div
AUG	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div
SEPT	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div

¹ NF: Natural Flow

²If July 1 inflow to the diversion exceeds the May minimum instream flow requirement, then the July minimum instream flow requirement will be equal to the May minimum instream flow requirement or natural inflow whichever is less. The intent is to avoid a large flow spike at the end of the diversion season on July 1.

Middle Fork American River Below French Meadows Reservoir Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflows shall be measured beginning in Year 3 at a new gage at the Middle Fork American River at French Meadows Dam. In the interim, streamflows will be measured at USGS gage 11427500, Middle Fork American River at French Meadows, CA.

Middle Fork American	Middle Fork American River Below French Meadows Reservoir Dam							
Month	Minin	Minimum Streamflow by Water Year (cfs)						
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET			
ОСТ	8	9	10	11	13			
NOV	8	9	10	11	13			
DEC	8	9	10	11	13			
JAN	8	9	10	11	13			
FEB	8	9	10	11	13			
MAR 1-14	8	9	10	11	13			
MAR 15-31	11	11	11	15	16			
APR	11	13	13	20	20			
MAY	11	13	13	20	20			
JUNE	8	11	12	16	17			
JULY	8	9	10	11	13			
AUG	8	9	10	11	13			
SEPT	8	9	10	11	13			

Middle Fork American River Below Interbay Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflow shall be measured beginning in Year 3 at a new USGS gage to be sited on the Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam. In the interim, streamflows will be measured at the Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam Gage (USGS Gage No. 11427770).

Middle Fork American River Below Middle Fork Interbay Dam						
Month	Minir	num Strea	mflow by \	Nater Yeaı	(cfs)	
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET	
OCT	12	24	24	25	25	
NOV	12	24	24	25	25	
DEC	12	24	24	25	25	
JAN	12	24	24	25	25	
FEB	12	24	24	25	25	
MAR 1-14	12	24	24	25	25	
MAR 15-31	16	25	32	45	47	
APR	18	27	40	65	65	
MAY	18	27	40	45	65	
JUNE	12	24	24	26	47	
JULY	12	18	24	26	34	
AUG	12	18	24	26	34	
SEPT	12	18	24	26	34	

Rubicon River Below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflow shall be measured beginning in Year 4 at a new gage at the Rubicon River at Hell Hole Dam. In the interim, streamflows will be measured at USGS stream gage 11428800, Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam, near Meeks Bay, CA.

Rubicon River Below I	Hell Hole F	Reservoir I	Dam		
Month	Minin	num Strea	mflow by \	Nater Year	(cfs)
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET
ОСТ	15	20	20	25	25
NOV	15	20	20	25	25
DEC	15	20	20	25	25
JAN	15	20	20	25	25
FEB	15	20	20	25	25
MAR 1-14	15	20	20	25	25
MAR 15-31	31	35	42	55	60
APR	31	35	42	55	60
MAY	23	35	42	55	60
JUNE 1-14	19	28	31	50	50
JUNE 15-30	15	20	20	40	40
JULY	15	20	20	30	30
AUG	15	20	20	30	30
SEPT	15	20	20	30	30

North Fork Long Canyon Creek Below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflow shall be measured beginning in Year 5 at a new gage at North Fork Long Canyon Creek below the Diversion Dam and North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel gage (USGS Gage No. 11433080). In the interim, streamflows will be measured at USGS gage 11433085, North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam, near Volcanoville, CA and North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433080). When inflow to the diversion is less than the minimum streamflow, licensee shall only be required to release this Natural Flow (NF).

North Fork Long Canyon	North Fork Long Canyon Creek Below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam						
Month	Minir	num Strea	mflow by \	Water Year	r (cfs)		
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET		
ОСТ	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
NOV	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
DEC	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
JAN	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
FEB	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
MAR 1-14	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF	2 or NF		
MAR 15-31	6 or NF	10 or NF	7 or NF	7 or NF	7 or NF		
APR	6 or NF	10 or NF	10 or NF	11 or NF	11 or NF		
MAY 1-14	6 or NF	10 or NF	10 or NF	11 or NF	11 or NF		
MAY 15-31	2 or NF	5 or NF	10 or NF	11 or NF	11 or NF		
JUNE	2 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	6 or NF	6 or NF		
JULY	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div		
AUG	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div		
SEPT	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div		

South Fork Long Canyon Creek Below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflow shall be measured beginning in Year 5 at a new gage at South Fork Long Canyon Creek below the Diversion Dam and South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433060). In the interim, streamflows will be measured at USGS gage 11433065, South Fork Long Canyon Creek below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam, near Volcanoville, CA and South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433060). When inflow to the diversion is less than the minimum streamflow, licensee shall only be required to release this Natural Flow (NF).

South Fork Long Canyon Creek Below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam						
Month	Minin	num Strea	mflow by \	Nater Year	r (cfs)	
	ED/CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET	
OCT	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
NOV	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
DEC	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
JAN	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
FEB	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
MAR 1-14	2.5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	5 or NF	
MAR 15-31	5 or NF	9 or NF	9 or NF	9 or NF	9 or NF	
APR	6 or NF	12 or NF	12 or NF	14 or NF	14 or NF	
MAY	6 or NF	12 or NF	12 or NF	14 or NF	14 or NF	
JUNE	3 or NF	5 or NF	6 or NF	7 or NF	7 or NF	
JULY	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	
AUG	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	
SEPT	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	No Div	

Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

The Licensee shall release a minimum streamflow of 3 cfs in the Middle Fork American River below Ralston Afterbay Dam until compliance with new minimum streamflows can be met. The new minimum streamflows in the following table shall be implemented (1) after the new gaging is installed at this location and (2) upon implementation of the Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for the Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam (see Condition No. 26). At that time, the Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year.

Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam						
Mont	h Minimum Stream	flow by Water Year (cfs)				
	ED	All Other Years				
OC	Т 3	3				
NO	3	3				
DE	3	3				
JAI	N 3	3				
FEI	3	3				
MAR 1-1	4 3	3				
MAR 15-3	1 3	25				
AP	₹ 3	25				
MA	Y 3	25				
JUN	E 3	10				
JUL	Y 3	10				
AUG	3	10				
SEP [*]	Т 3	10				

Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse

The Licensee shall maintain the minimum streamflow specified in the following schedule based on month and water year type. Minimum streamflow shall be measured at Middle Fork American River near Foresthill gage (USGS Gage No. 11433300). The water year type determination for Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse is different than for other stream reaches, as stated above.

Middle Fork American	River Bel	ow Oxbov	v Powerho	use*		
Month	Minimum Streamflow by Water Year (cfs)					
	ED	CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET
OCT	90	125	140	165	165	200
NOV	90	140	145	185	225	250
DEC	90	140	145	185	225	250
JAN	90	140	145	185	225	250
FEB	90	140	145	185	225	250
MAR 1-14	90	140	145	185	225	250
MAR 15-31	100	160	210	290	375	450
APR	100	160	210	290	375	450
MAY	100	160	210	290	375	450
JUNE	100	160	210	245	300	350
JULY	100	160	200	245	300	350
AUG	100	160	200	245	300	350
SEPT 1-14	100	150	160	200	250	300
SEPT 15-30	100	150	160	200	250	300

^{*} Water Year Type based on minimum flow table specific to Oxbow Powerhouse.

Condition No. 23 – Pulse Flows

General

The Licensee shall provide pulse flows in:

- Duncan Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam
- Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam
- Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam
- Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam
- North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam
- South Fork Long Canyon Creek below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam

The pulse flows are specified in the following schedule by water year type. For compliance purposes, the point of measurement for each required pulse flow is included.

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for pulse flows based on the DWR Bulletin 120 April forecast of American River Unimpaired Flow (acre-feet) below Folsom Lake for the water year and the water year type classification in Condition No. 22

Compliance with the pulse flows specified for each location in the sections below requires that the Licensee must meet the following conditions:

- All specified pulse flows are in cubic feet per second (cfs).
- Pulse flows must be initiated by 5 pm no later than one day after the date specified and subsequent flow changes must be made on the corresponding dates in the schedule (by 5 pm) unless access to the streamflow release infrastructure is prohibited by hazardous conditions (risk to operator safety). If this occurs, FERC, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board must be notified of the circumstances as soon as possible, but no later than 3 days after such incident and the pulse flows must be released as soon as practicable. If initiation of the pulse flow occurs on a day other than that specified, then all dates in the pulse flow schedule will be shifted accordingly.
- Pulse flows must be maintained for at least the number of days (duration) identified in the pulse flow schedules specified for each location below. The pulse flow, including each step in the pulse flow down ramp, can have a duration longer than that specified; however, in the Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam and the Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam, the duration can extend no longer than 4 additional days unless a spill is forecasted at Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs, respectively.
- Once initiated, average daily flow (average of 15-minute flow data) must at all times be no less than the required pulse flow.
- The pulse flow specified may be temporarily modified upon approval of FS, CDFG, and State Water Board and notification of FERC.
- During the first two pulse flow events at the small diversions (Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam, North Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam, and South Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam), the Licensee will test their ability to provide the down ramp portion of the pulse flow schedules specified below. The Licensee will make a good faith effort to comply with the down ramp portion of pulse flow schedules. Any deviations from the compliance criteria specified above will not be considered violations during these first two pulse flow events, but will be reported to the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board within 30 days of the occurrence. At the conclusion of each of the first two pulse flow events, the Licensee will submit a testing report to the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. After the second pulse flow

event, the Licensee will recommend modification to the down ramp portion of the pulse flow schedule(s), if needed, and will consult with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. Following FS, CDFG, and State Water Board approval, the Licensee will submit the modified pulse flow schedule(s) to FERC.

A pulse flow schedule for each location is specified below.

Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows beginning in Year 4 following license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at the Duncan Creek below Diversion Dam Gage (USGS Gage No. 11427750) and a new gage.

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Release a minimum of 150 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 16. Close diversion completely.
- May 25. Release a minimum of 190 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 27. Release a minimum of 130 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 30. Release a minimum of 90 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- June 2. Release a minimum of 45 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- June 6. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 7. Release a minimum of 150 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 8. Close diversion completely.
- May 10. Release a minimum of 190 cfs or inflow, whichever is less (can reopen diversion).
- May 12. Release a minimum of 130 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 15. Release a minimum of 90 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 18. Release a minimum of 45 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 22. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

At this location, if flows (e.g., accretion or storm events) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceed the average flow (24 hours) of a previous step, the down ramp will not be restarted. The pulse flows will be down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows in beginning in Year 1 following license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at the Middle Fork American River at French Meadows Gage (USGS Gage No. 11427500). In Year 3 following license issuance, flows will be measured at the Middle Fork American River at a new gage at French Meadows Dam

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- May 16. Increase flows to a minimum of 400 cfs.
- May 24. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 275 cfs.
- May 26. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 190 cfs.
- May 29. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 115 cfs.
- June 1. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 65 cfs.
- June 5. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 7. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- May 8. Increase flows to a minimum of 400 cfs.
- May 10. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 275 cfs.
- May 12. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 190 cfs.
- May 15. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 115 cfs.
- May 18. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 65 cfs.
- May 22. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If a spill is forecasted to occur at French Meadow Reservoir either (1) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow or (2) after the end of the pulse flow, the 190 cfs portion of the pulse flow will be continued until the spill occurs or until a spill is no longer forecast. If a spill occurs during the pulse flow release, the pulse flow requirements are no longer in effect and are superseded by spill ramp down requirements (Condition No. 24).

If the average flow (24 hours) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceeds a previous step (due to spill or other conditions) then the pulse flow down ramp must be restarted from the previous step and the flows down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows beginning in Year 3 following license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at a new gage in the Middle Fork American River below Interbay Dam.

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years provide a pulse flow according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- May 16. Increase flows to a minimum of 450 cfs.
- May 24. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 360 cfs.
- May 26. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 260 cfs.
- May 29. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 155 cfs.
- June 1. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If during pulse flow implementation there is a forced or unplanned outage at Middle Fork Powerhouse and inflow into Middle Fork Interbay is less than the specified pulse flow required downstream, then the inflow into Middle Fork Interbay will be passed downstream.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal water years provide a pulse flow according to the following schedule:

- May 7. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- May 8. Increase flows to a minimum of 450 cfs.
- May 10. After 10 am, reduce flows to a minimum of 360 cfs.
- May 12. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 260 cfs.
- May 15. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 155 cfs.
- May 18. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If there is a forced or unplanned outage at Middle Fork Powerhouse and inflow into Middle Fork Interbay is less than the specified pulse flow required downstream, then the inflow into Middle Fork Interbay will be passed downstream.

If a spill is forecast to occur at French Meadow Reservoir either (1) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow or (2) after the end of the pulse flow, the 260 cfs portion of the pulse flow will be continued until the spill occurs or until a spill is no longer forecast. If a spill occurs during the pulse flow release, the pulse flow requirements are no longer in effect and are superseded by spill ramp down requirements (Condition No. 24).

At this location, if flows (e.g., accretion or storm events) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceed the average flow (24 hours) of a previous step, the down ramp will not be restarted. The pulse flows will be down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows beginning in Year 6 after license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at new gages in the Rubicon River below Hell Hole Dam.

Initially, the Licensee shall implement the pulse flows specified below. Once the Licensee has completed the Hell Hole Outlet Feasibility Study described below, the final maximum pulse flow magnitude will be between 200 and 600 cfs and will be determined based on the results of the Hell Hole Outlet Feasibility Study results. If the magnitude of the pulse flow changes, then the volume of the pulse will remain the same, but the duration and down ramp will be modified. If the magnitude of the pulse flow changes, the starting date and duration of each step will be determined in consultation with FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board and provided to FERC.

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- June 21. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 150 cfs.
- June 23. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 90 cfs.
- June 26. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 1. Increase flows from the minimum streamflow release to a minimum of 200 cfs.
- May 16. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 150 cfs.
- May 18. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 90 cfs.
- May 21. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If a spill is forecasted to occur at Hell Hole Reservoir during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow or after the end of the pulse flow, the 200 cfs portion of the pulse flow will be continued until the spill occurs or until a spill is no longer forecast. If a spill occurs during the pulse flow release, the pulse flow requirements are no longer in effect and are superseded by spill ramp down requirements (Condition No. 24).

If the average flow (24 hours) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceeds a previous step, then the pulse flow down ramp must be restarted from the previous step and flows down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

Hell Hole Dam Outlet Feasibility Study

Within 1 year of license issuance, the Licensee will develop a feasibility study plan and implement the study to identify the maximum pulse flow between 200 and 600 cfs that can safely and reliably be released from the Hell Hole Dam existing low level outlet over the duration of the license. The study plan will be developed in collaboration with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board and in consultation with and approval from the California Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD) and FERC. The study will be implemented within 1 year of approval of a feasibility study plan by DSOD and FERC. The study will likely be conducted over a number of years; however, a goal of final reporting by Year 6 is intended. The study plan will specify the following:

- Explicit criteria to determine whether a flow can be safely and reliably released over the duration of the new license.
- Incremental approach for releasing and evaluating flow releases from 200 to 600 cfs (e.g., 250 cfs, 300 cfs, etc.).
- Reporting schedule, including draft reports after each test flow with results of the flow evaluation, a draft final report, and a final report.
 - o Report(s) will include the Licensee's engineering assessment of the study flows that were released and recommended next steps.
 - o Draft reports will be submitted to FS, CDFG, and State Water Board for a 60-day review period.
 - o The final report will address and incorporate comments received and will be distributed to DSOD, FERC, and FS, CDFG, and State Water Board within 90 days of the end of the comment period.

If approved by DSOD and FERC, the pulse flow release will be increased up to the magnitude deemed safe in the final report, with the following conditions:

- The same volume of water used in the interim pulse flow release will be used in the revised pulse flow release (i.e., same volume but the number of days of release will be decreased in accordance with the higher peak flow and modified down ramping schedule).
- The down ramping rate will be consistent with the rate of change specified in the interim pulse flow release and down ramping of spill schedule.

The Licensee will inspect and perform maintenance, if necessary, on the outlet works, consistent with standard Project practices, once test flows and/or pulse flows are initiated. Any concern regarding system reliability from releases will be reported promptly to DSOD, FERC, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board.

North Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows beginning in Year 5 after license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at a new gage below the North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Dam and North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433080).

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Release a minimum of 50 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 16. Close diversion completely.
- May 25. Release a minimum of 35 cfs or inflow, whichever is less (can reopen diversion).
- May 27. Release a minimum of 21 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 30. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal water years pulse flows will be provided according to the following schedule:

- May 1. Release a minimum of 50 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 2. Close diversion completely.
- May 4. Release a minimum of 35 cfs or inflow, whichever is less (can reopen diversion).
- May 6. Release a minimum of 21 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 9. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

At this location, if flows (e.g., accretion or storm events) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceed the average flow (24 hours) of a previous step, the down ramp will not be restarted. The pulse flows will be down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

South Fork Long Canyon Creek below Diversion Dam

The Licensee shall implement the following pulse flows beginning in Year 5 following license issuance. Pulse flows shall be measured at a new gage on South Fork Long

Canyon Creek and the South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Tunnel Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433060).

Wet Water Years

In Wet water years provide a pulse flow according to the following schedule:

- May 15. Release a minimum of 100 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 16. Close diversion completely.
- May 25. Release a minimum of 70 cfs or inflow, whichever is less (can reopen diversion).
- May 27. Release a minimum of 35 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 30. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Above Normal Water Years

In Above Normal water years provide a pulse flow according to the following schedule:

- May 1. Release a minimum of 100 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 2. Close diversion completely.
- May 4. Release a minimum of 70 cfs or inflow, whichever is less (can reopen diversion).
- May 6. Release a minimum of 35 cfs or inflow, whichever is less.
- May 9. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

At this location, if flows (e.g., accretion or storm events) during the down ramp portion of the pulse flow sequence exceed the average flow (24 hours) of a previous step, the down ramp will not be restarted. The pulse flows will be down ramped according to the schedule specified above.

Condition No. 24 – Ramping Rates

Down Ramping of Reservoir Spill Flows

The Licensee shall "down ramp" spill flows at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam and French Meadows Reservoir Dam. Compliance with the down ramp of spill flows requires that the Licensee meet the following conditions:

- All specified minimum streamflows are in cubic feet per second (cfs).
- Down ramp of spill flows must occur during the months specified in the schedule below.
- Initiation of down ramp flows must be made on the days specified in the schedule below unless access to the streamflow release infrastructure is prohibited by

hazardous conditions. If this occurs, FERC, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board must be notified of the circumstances as soon as possible, but no later than 10 days after such incident and the down ramp of spill flow must be released as soon as practicable.

- Down ramp of spill flow must be maintained for at least the number of days
 (duration) identified in each down ramp step. Each step of the down ramp can have a
 duration longer than that specified; however, at Rubicon River below Hell Hole
 Reservoir Dam and MFAR below Interbay Dam, the duration may be no longer than
 4 additional days.
- Below French Meadows Reservoir, once the flows are set, average daily flow (average of 15-minute flow data) must at all times be no less than the required streamflow release specified in the schedule.
- Hell Hole Reservoir, down ramp of spill flows will be made at the new Hell Hole Dam crest gates (or a slide gate opening at the crest gates) to be installed as part of the Hell Hole Reservoir Seasonal Storage Improvement. The Licensee will consult with the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board during the design of the Hell Hole crest gates and slide gates. As such, a rating curve for the spillway crest gates (or other gate openings) will be developed as part of the improvement project and used for compliance. The gate flow release setting will be adjusted once every 24 hours. The Licensee will use the reservoir water surface elevation at that time to set the gate position (according to the rating table) to meet the required flow release for the subsequent 24-hour period. Total flow will be based on the gate setting and the average 24-hour flow of any additional releases from the bottom of the dam (e.g., powerhouse release, minimum flow pipe); the daily flow setting (gate setting + average 24-hour flow from the bottom of the dam) must at all times be no less than the required streamflow release specified in the schedule.
- During the first two spill events when down ramp of spill flows occur at Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs, the Licensee will test their ability to manage spill flows to provide the flow schedules specified below. The Licensee will attempt to comply with the down ramp of spill flow schedules. Any deviations from the compliance criteria specified above will not be considered violations during these first two spill management events but will be reported to the FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board within 30 days of the occurrence. At the conclusion of each of the first two spill events, the Licensee will submit a testing report to the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. After the second spill event, the Licensee may recommend modification to the down ramp of the spill flow schedule(s), if needed, and consultation with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. Following FS, CDFG, and State Water Board approval, the Licensee will submit the modified down ramp of spill flow schedule(s) to FERC.

The Licensee shall provide the down ramp of spill flows specified in the following schedules.

Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam

The Licensee shall down ramp of spill flows at Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam beginning as soon as the Hell Hole Seasonal Storage Improvement is completed (anticipated to be completed in Year 5 and implemented in Year 6). The down ramp of spill flows shall be measured new gages at the Rubicon River at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam and the Rubicon River at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam Spillway (HHDS).

In the months of May through July, if a spill or multiple spills in excess of 600 cfs daily average flow (total combined spillway flow and flow releases from the bottom of the reservoir) occur at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam, the Licensee must down ramp the declining limb of the spill(s) the day after the daily average spill flow (total combined flow) becomes less than 600 cfs as follows:

First 600 cfs Spill Event Down Ramp Schedule

Day 1.	Establish the	daily flow	setting at 600 cfs. ¹
Duy 1.	Lota off off	dully 110 W	setting at ooo ers.

- Day 5. Reduce the daily flow setting to 400 cfs.
- Day 7. Reduce the daily flow setting to 285 cfs.
- Day 10. Reduce the daily flow setting to 170 cfs.
- Day 13. Reduce the daily flow setting to 95 cfs.
- Day 17. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

Subsequent 600 cfs (or greater) Spill Event Down Ramp Schedule (if they occur)

- Day 1. Reduce the daily flow setting to 400 cfs.
- Day 3. Reduce the daily flow setting to 285 cfs.
- Day 6. Reduce the daily flow setting to 170 cfs.
- Day 10. Reduce the daily flow setting to 95 cfs.
- Day 14. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If a spill event occurs (total combined flow) in the months of May–July that does not exceed an average 24-hour flow of 600 cfs, but exceeds the 400, 285, or 170 cfs flow levels in the above schedule, the Licensee must down ramp the spill according to the lower flow levels in the schedule. Spills that do not exceed 170 cfs (24-hour average flow) will not be down ramped.

Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam

The Licensee shall down ramp spill flows in the Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Dam beginning in Year 1 following license issuance. The down ramp

¹ During the first spill event, there must be at least four days of the 600 cfs flow setting.

of spill flows shall be measured at the Middle Fork American River at French Meadows gage (USGS Gage No. 11427500).

In Year 3 after license issuance (Year 1 begins 30 days after license issuance), flows will be measured at the Middle Fork American River at French Meadows Gage (USGS Gage No. 11427500) and at a new gage at the Middle Fork American River at French Meadows Dam Gage.

In the months of May through July, if a spill or multiple spills in excess of 400 cfs daily average flow (total combined spillway flow and flow releases from the bottom of the reservoir) occur from French Meadows Reservoir, the Licensee will down ramp the declining limb of the spill(s) the day after daily average spill flow (total combined flow) becomes less than 400 cfs as follows:

400 cfs Spill Event Down Ramp Schedule

- Day 1. Release a minimum flow of 400 cfs
- Day 2. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 275 cfs.
- Day 3. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 190 cfs.
- Day 4. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 115 cfs.
- Day 5. Reduce the flow to a minimum of 65 cfs.
- Day 7. Release minimum streamflow requirement.

If a spill event (total combined flow) occurs in the months of May through July that does not exceed an average 24-hour flow of 400 cfs, but exceeds the 275, 190, or 115 cfs flow levels, the Licensee will down ramp the spill according to the lower flow levels in the schedule. Spills that do not exceed 115 cfs (24 hour average flow) will not be down ramped.

Peaking Reach Ramping Rate and Oxbow Powerhouse Operations

The Licensee shall provide the following ramping rates in the months of March through October in the Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse based on the flow present in the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill USGS Gage (No. 11433300):

Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse Ramping Rate				
Up Ramp		Down Ramp		
	Maximum Flow	Maximum Flo		
Gage Flow ¹	Change ²	Gage Flow ¹	Change ²	
(cfs)	(cfs / hr)	(cfs)	(cfs / hr)	
≤175	300	≤500	250	
>175–400	450	>500–800	400	
>400–750	600	>800–1300	550	
>750	750	>1300	750	

In Years 1 and 2 after license issuance (Year 1 begins 30 days after license issuance), the ramping rate in the Middle Fork American River downstream of Oxbow Powerhouse shall be measured at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill Gage (USGS Gage No. 11433300.

In Year 3 after license issuance, or as soon as the new Oxbow Powerhouse Penstock gage is operational, the ramping rate requirement will be measured at the new Oxbow Powerhouse Penstock gage and the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill gage (USGS Gage No. 11433300).

During the months of November through February, the Licensee will make a good faith effort to regulate Oxbow Powerhouse flow releases in the peaking reach. This will include, to the degree possible, scheduling Oxbow Powerhouse generation to moderate peaking in the Middle Fork American River using available active Ralston Afterbay storage. If Ralston Afterbay spills due to natural flow conditions beyond the control of the Licensee, the effort to moderate Middle Fork American River peaking shall cease until control is regained or for the remainder of the period.

The Licensee shall make available to FS, CDFG, and State Water Board the streamflow records related to ramping rates upon request.

The Licensee shall be excused from complying with the ramping rate requirements in the event of law enforcement or search and rescue activities, Division of Safety of Dams compliance requirements, equipment malfunction or failure that is directly related to providing the specified ramping rates, or a large storm event that is beyond its ability to control. The Licensee shall provide notice to FS, CDFG, and State Water Board within 10 days after such an event occurs and shall provide a report documenting the reason that ramping rates were not followed within 1 month after such an event occurs.

Condition No. 25 – Outages

Unplanned Middle Fork and Ralston Powerhouse Outage (May–September)

If a short-term (less than 2 weeks) unplanned outage occurs at the Middle Fork Powerhouse and Ralston Powerhouse in May - September, the minimum flow in the Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse (peaking reach) during the outage will be established as described below based on the water surface elevation in Ralston Afterbay:

• If the Ralston Afterbay water surface elevation is greater than 1,161 feet at the time of the outage, the minimum flow release requirement will be 200 cfs or the minimum

¹Gage Flow is the discharge (cfs) at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill USGS Gage (No. 11433300) at the beginning of the Oxbow Powerhouse flow change.

²Maximum Flow Change is the maximum increase in Oxbow Powerhouse release given the Gage Flow for the up ramp, or the maximum decrease in Oxbow Powerhouse release given the Gage Flow for the down ramp.

flow specified in Condition No. 22, above, whichever is less, until the elevation reaches less than or equal to 1,161 feet.

• If the Ralston Afterbay water surface elevation is less than or equal to 1,161 feet any time during the outage, the minimum flow release requirement will be the October minimum flow specified in Condition No. 22, above.

It may be necessary to release additional water from Hell Hole Reservoir into the Rubicon River and from French Meadow Reservoir/Middle Fork Interbay into the MFAR to meet the minimum flow requirements in the peaking reach or downstream consumptive demands. In this case, release water will be split between the two rivers up to a maximum of 55 cfs release (60 cfs in Wet water years) in the Rubicon River and a maximum of 80 cfs in the MFAR below French Meadows Reservoir and below Interbay Reservoir. If these maximum flows of 80 cfs in the MFAR below Interbay Reservoir and 55 cfs (60 cfs in Wet water years) below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam are less than is necessary to meet the minimum streamflows described in the bulleted items above, the Licensee shall not release flows above these maximum flows except in the following circumstance: releases into the MFAR below Interbay Reservoir may exceed 80 cfs if necessary to meet consumptive demands and required minimum streamflows of 75 cfs at the American River Pump Station (75 cfs requirement pursuant to the Licensee's pump station mitigation requirement below American River Pump Station) upon commencement of FYLF monitoring and 24 hours advanced noticed to the FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board. If the unplanned outage extends beyond 2 weeks, minimum streamflows in the MFAR peaking reach during the remainder of the outage will be determined in consultation with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. The flow release in the Rubicon River during the remainder of the outage will also be determined in consultation with FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board. Flow releases in the MFAR (from French Meadows Reservoir and/or the Middle Fork Powerhouse) will be determined by the Licensee in accordance with minimum streamflow requirements, water supply demands, and operational requirements (e.g., reservoir management, power generation).

<u>Unplanned Ralston Powerhouse Outage (June–September) – Middle Fork</u> <u>Powerhouse Operational</u>

If the Middle Fork Powerhouse is operational during the short-term (less than 2 weeks) unplanned outage (and Ralston Powerhouse is not operational in June - September), water will be released from the Middle Fork Powerhouse for minimum flow compliance in the peaking reach, water supply, and to avoid or minimize spill of Hell Hole Reservoir. The minimum streamflow requirement in the MFAR below Interbay Dam will be based on the following table.

Middle Fo	rk American	River Bel	ow Oxbov	Powerho	use		
	Month	Minir	num Strea	mflow by \	Water Yea	r (cfs)	
		ED	CD	DRY	BN	AN	WET
	JUNE	100	160	210	245	300	350
	JULY	100	160	165	190	200	200
	AUG	100	160	165	190	200	200
	SEPT	100	160	165	190	200	200

Release water in the Rubicon River and MFAR to meet the minimum streamflow in the peaking reach will be split between the two rivers up to a maximum of 55 cfs release in the Rubicon River (60 cfs in Wet water years) and a maximum of 80 cfs in the Middle Fork American River below Interbay Reservoir. If these maximum flows of 80 cfs in the MFAR below Interbay Reservoir and 55 cfs (60 cfs in Wet water years) below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam are less than is necessary to meet the minimum streamflows described in the table above, the Licensee shall not release flows above these maximum flows except in the following circumstance: releases into the MFAR below Interbay Reservoir may exceed 80 cfs if necessary to meet consumptive demands and required minimum streamflows of 75 cfs at the American River Pump Station (75 cfs requirement pursuant to the Licensee's pump station mitigation requirement below American River Pump Station) upon commencement of FYLF monitoring and 24 hours advanced noticed to the FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board.

If the unplanned outage extends beyond 2 weeks, minimum streamflows in the peaking reach during the remainder of the outage will be determined in consultation with FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board. The flow release in the Rubicon River during the remainder of the outage will also be determined in consultation with the FS, CDFG, and the State Water Board. Streamflow releases in the MFAR (from French Meadows Reservoir and/or the Middle Fork Powerhouse) will be determined by the Licensee in accordance with minimum flow requirements, water supply demands, and operational requirements (e.g., reservoir management, power generation).

<u>Condition No. 26 – Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for the Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam</u>

The Licensee shall, within 1 year of license issuance, complete a Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for the Middle Fork American River below Ralston Afterbday Dam. The Licensee shall develop the plan in consultation with FS, CDFG, State Water Board, and other interested parties. Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the plan.

<u>Condition No. 27 – Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures</u>

New Information Relating to Special Status Species

The Licensee shall, beginning the first full calendar year after license issuance, in consultation with FS and CDFG, annually review the current list of special status plant and wildlife species (species that are Federal Endangered or Threatened, FS Sensitive, or Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Watch Lists State Threatened or Endangered, CDFG Fully Protected) that might occur on National Forest System lands in the Project area directly affected by Project operations. When a species is added to one or more of the lists, FS and CDFG in consultation with the Licensee shall determine if the species or unsurveyed suitable habitat for the species is likely to occur on such National Forest System lands. For such newly added species, if FS determines that the species is likely to occur on such National Forest System lands, the Licensee shall develop and implement a study plan in consultation with FS to reasonably assess the effects of the project on the species. The Licensee shall prepare a report on the study including objectives, methods, results, recommended resource measures where appropriate, and a schedule of implementation, and shall provide a draft of the final report to FS and CDFG for review and approval. The Licensee shall file the report, including evidence of consultation, with FERC and shall implement those resource management measures required by FERC.

If new occurrences of FS special status plant or wildlife species as defined above are detected prior to or during ongoing construction, operation, or maintenance of the Project or during Project operations, the licensee shall immediately notify the FS. If the FS determines that the Project-related activities are adversely affecting FS sensitive or watch list species, the Licensee shall, in consultation with the FS, develop and implement appropriate protection measures. If new occurrences of state or federally listed or proposed threatened or endangered species are detected prior to or during ongoing construction, operation, or maintenance of the Project or during Project operations, the Licensee shall immediately notify FS and the relevant Service Agency (United States Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service or CDFG) for consultation or conference in accordance with the Endangered Species Act. If state listed or fully protected species are affected, CDFG shall be notified.

Project Powerlines

Raptor-safe powerline design configurations described in Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: *The State of the Art in 2006* (APLIC 2006) will be used for all new powerlines or when replacement of existing poles, phase conductors, and associated equipment is required. The Bald Eagle Management Plan Table 6 provides a list of Project powerlines with one or more design elements that pose a risk for avian electrocution. The Licensee will initiate replacement or retrofitting of these poles pursuant to APLIC guidelines within 1 year of license issuance. Pole replacement or retrofitting will continue to be implemented over a 14 year period with completion of all poles, as specified in Table 6, within 15 years from license issuance.

Within 1 year of license issuance, the Licensee will conduct an evaluation of newly installed Project power poles to determine their consistency with Raptor-safe powerline design configurations described in suggested practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines (APLIC 2006). This includes an evaluation of the following:

- Poles installed in 2006 on the Ralston Powerhouse to Ralston Powerhouse Butterfly Valve House Communication Line/Powerline.
- Poles installed in 2008 on the French Meadows Powerhouse and Switchyard to Hell Hole-Middle Fork Tunnel Gatehouse, Dormitory Facility, Operator Cottages, and Hell Hole Powerhouse Communication Line/Powerline.

Following completion of the evaluation, a summary of the results will be provided to FWS, FS, and CDFG.

If it is determined that the configurations of the newly installed powerlines are determined to be consistent with APLIC guidelines, then no further action would be required. If it is determined that the configurations are inconsistent with APLIC guidelines, the poles will be replaced or retrofitted, as specified in Table 6, within 15 years of license issuance.

Bald Eagle Management Plan

Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the attached Bald Eagle Management Plan.

<u>Condition No. 28 – Monitoring Program</u>

The Licensee shall implement the following Monitoring Program after license issuance and through the term of the new license and any annual licenses, in coordination with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board. The Monitoring Program has been designed to monitor those items that are considered to be essential for determining if the resource objectives described in the Rationale Report are being met. Within the scope of the specified monitoring program, FS, CDFG, and State Water Board may select an equal number of alternative years to ensure that surveys occur during a range of water year types. Final study plans for each element of the Monitoring Program shall be approved by FS, CDFG, and State Water Board prior to implementation of the program. FS, CDFG, and State Water Board have the flexibility to alter the monitoring program methodologies and frequencies of data collection if it is determined that: (a) there is a more appropriate or preferable methodology or site to use than that described in the monitoring plan or (b) monitoring may be reduced or terminated because the relevant ecological resource objective has been met or no change in resource response is expected.

The Licensee shall file with FERC by June 30 of each year an annual report fully describing the monitoring efforts of the previous calendar year. FS, CDFG, and State Water Board shall have at least 30 days to review and comment on the draft report prior to filing with FERC. Comments shall be addressed in the final report, or as appropriate, comments shall be included with the filing to FERC. The Licensee shall provide copies of the annual report to FS, CDFG, and State Water Board.

The following guidelines shall be used in implementing the monitoring program: (a) monitoring and studies shall be relevant to the Project, (b) monitoring and studies shall be conducted such that they provide useful information for management decisions or establishing compliance with license conditions, and (c) monitoring and studies shall be as cost-effective as possible. Funding for performing the monitoring, as well as specified contingency funding, shall be provided by the Licensee.

For purposes of the Monitoring Program, each year is defined on a calendar year basis (i.e., January through December). This monitoring program covers monitoring to be conducted during all years until a new license is issued. Most monitoring described below is estimated to end after 30 years; however, if a new license is not issued within 30 years, FS, CDFG, and/or State Water Board reserve the right to extend the monitoring period as necessary.

The following monitoring plans have been identified as important for the Monitoring Program. FS and State Water Board, in coordination with CDFG, are currently working with Licensee and other relicensing participants to complete development of the appropriate monitoring plans.

The monitoring plans listed below were provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board, work to finalize the plans provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plans are complete, they will be included as part of this condition.

Fish Populations Monitoring

Foothill Yellow-legged Frog Monitoring

Western Pond Turtle Monitoring

Benthic Macroinvertebrates Monitoring

Mercury Bioaccumulation Monitoring

Geomorphology and Riparian Monitoring

Water Quality Monitoring

The monitoring plans listed below are important for the monitoring program and are either addressed in plans that are attached or addressed in plans that were submitted as part of the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board, finalize the plans provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plans are complete, they will be included as part of this condition.

Sensitive Plant Monitoring

Monitoring associated with sensitive plants or related to vegetation management will be described in the Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan (see Condition No. 46).

Monitoring Associated with Vegetation and Invasive Species Treatments

Monitoring associated with vegetation and invasive species treatments will described in the Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan (see Condition No. 46).

Recreation Survey

Monitoring associated with the recreation survey is described in the Recreation Plan (see Condition No. 33).

Review of Recreation Developments

Monitoring associated with the review of recreation developments is described in the Recreation Plan (see Condition No. 33).

Cultural Resource Monitoring

Monitoring associated with heritage resources is described in the Historic Properties Management Plan (see Condition No. 41).

Reservoir Levels Evaluation

Monitoring associated with reservoir levels is described in Condition No. 37.

The following monitoring plan was not included in the Final License Application and needs to be developed.

Bear Management Monitoring

Within 1 year of license issuance, the Licensee hall, in consultation with FS and CDFG, prepare a Bear Management Monitoring Plan that is approved by FS and CDFG.

Condition No. 29 – Large Woody Debris

Within 1 year of license issuance, the Licensee shall, in consultation with the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board, prepare a Large Woody Debris (LWD) Management Plan approved by FS. The Plan will specify:

• Describe existing locations of LWD collection by Project facilities.

- Describe potential options for moving LWD below Project facilities and keeping the LWD within the river corridor.
- Identify suitable locations where LWD can be placed within the active channel to be mobilized by 2- to 5-year high flow events.

Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the Plan.

Condition No. 30 – Annual Review of Ecological Conditions

Each calendar year, prior to May 15, when the annual maintenance schedule, final Water Year Forecast, and reservoir level forecasts are available, the Licensee shall schedule and facilitate a meeting with FS, CDFG, and State Water Board to review and discuss the results of implementing the streamflow and reservoir-related conditions, results of monitoring, and other issues related to preserving and protecting ecological values affected by the Project. The Licensee shall make available to FS, CDFG, and State Water Board 2 weeks prior to the meeting, an operations and maintenance plan for the year in which the meeting occurs. This meeting may be combined with the meeting described in Condition 1.

Condition No. 31 – Streamflow and Reservoir Elevation Gaging Plan

A Streamflow and Reservoir Elevation Gaging Plan was provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board, finalize the plan provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plan is complete, it will be included as part of this condition.

<u>Condition No. 32 – Penstock and Other Drainage Structure Emergency and Maintenance Release Points</u>

The Licensee shall, within 1 year after license issuance, file with FERC a plan approved by FS and State Water Board, to evaluate penstock and other drainage structure emergency and maintenance release points to determine if improvements can be made to minimize potential adverse water quality impacts when the release points are used. The Licensee shall also consult with the CDFG in the development of the plan. The Licensee shall implement the recommendations contained in the plan upon approval.

Condition No. 33 – Recreation Plan

Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the attached Recreation Plan.

<u>Condition No. 34 – Recreation Operation, Maintenance, and Administration</u>

FS is working with the Licensee to develop a separate agreement that addresses this condition. Once the agreement is finalized, this condition will be removed.

Beginning the first full year after license issuance, the licensee shall enter into a collection agreement to provide annual funding to FS to provide for operation, maintenance, and administration in accordance with the Recreation Plan (see Condition No. 33). The cost basis for these payments shall be year 2010. The cost shall be escalated annually based on the U.S. Gross Domestic Product – Implicit Price Deflator (GDP-IDP).

Condition No. 35 – Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites

FS is working with the Licensee to develop a separate agreement that addresses this condition. Once the agreement is finalized, this condition will be removed.

Within 10 years of license issuance, the licensee shall enter into a collection agreement with FS (year 2010 cost basis) to provide funding on a one-time basis for design and construction and installation of a toilet facility and an information kiosk at the Cache Rock site along the MFAR in the Peaking Reach. The cost shall be escalated annually based on the U.S. Gross Domestic Product – Implicit Price Deflator (GDP-IDP).

Condition No. 36 - Recreation Work Station and Storage Facility

FS is working with the Licensee to develop a separate agreement that addresses this condition. Once the agreement is finalized, this condition will be removed.

Within 5 years of license issuance, the Licensee shall, in consultation and coordination with FS, provide a Work Station and Storage Facility to serve the Hell Hole Recreation Area. The size, location, and required improvements for this facility shall be determined through agreement with FS. At a minimum, this facility will provide space for secured storage, work space, a fenced area, and necessary utilities. This facility may also serve a shared purpose with FS.

<u>Condition No. 37 – Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevations and Reservoir Levels Recreation Objectives</u>

Minimum Reservoir Pool Elevations

The Licensee shall, in Year 2 after license issuance, meet or exceed the following minimum reservoir pool elevations in French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs according to the following schedules. However, the Licensee shall make a good faith effort to implement the following minimum reservoir pools in Year 1.

	Water Year Type (based on American River Unimpaired Flow Below Folsom Lake (ac-ft)				
Reservoir	Bulletin 120 Forecast) ¹	Date Range	WSE ² (ft)	Date Range	WSE (ft)
	Wet	6/1–9/15	5,220	9/16–5/31	5,152
	Above Normal	6/1–9/15	5,220	9/16–5/31	5,152
French Meadows Reservoir	Below Normal	6/1–9/15	5,220	9/16–5/31	5,152
French Meadows Reservoir	Dry	6/1–9/1	5,200	9/2–5/31	5,152
	Critically Dry	6/1–9/1	5,175	9/2–5/31	5,152
	Extremely Dry	6/1–9/1	5,175	9/2–5/31	5,120
	Wet	6/1–Labor	4,530	After Labor	4,451
	Above Normal	6/1-Labor	4,530	After Labor	4,451
Hall Hala Danamaia	Below Normal	6/1-Labor	4,530	After Labor	4,402
Hell Hole Reservoir	Dry	6/1–9/1	4,485	9/2-5/31	4,402
	Critically Dry	6/1–9/1	4,455	9/2–5/31	4,402
	Extremely Dry	6/1–9/1	4,404	9/2–5/31	4,341

¹Unimpaired run-off of American River to Folsom Lake for current year, October 1 through September 30, as estimated by the DWR Bulletin 120 on or about the beginning of May.

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for the minimum pool requirements in Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs based on the DWR Bulletin 120 May forecast of American River Unimpaired Flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year and the water year type classification in Condition No. 22. The minimum pool requirements are to be implemented on June 1 of each year.

The compliance gage location for measuring reservoir water surface elevations in French Meadows is USGS Gage No. 11427400 and in Hell Hole Reservoirs is USGS Gage No. 11428700. Compliance with the reservoir minimum pool requirements requires that the Licensee meet the following conditions:

- Average weekly reservoir water surface elevation as calculated from the daily average water surface elevations at the existing reservoir gages will be used to measure compliance.
- Average weekly reservoir water surface elevations must at all times be no less than the minimum pool requirement.

For recreation purposes, the Licensee will provide FS a forecast of monthly June – November reservoir water surface elevations on or before May 15 and an updated forecast before July 1 each year. The Licensee will also provide reservoir water surface elevation information to the public via the Internet or other appropriate technologies as specified in the Recreation Plan (PCWA 2011a).

² WSE: water surface elevation

Reservoir Levels Recreation Objectives

The following objectives guide reservoir operation scheduling at French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs to support mid-summer reservoir water surface elevations for reservoir-based recreation. The Licensee will make every reasonable effort to achieve the reservoir water surface elevation objectives to support recreation while at the same time meeting the primary operation purposes of the Project (e.g., water supply, water rights, hydroelectric generation). If the May 15 or July 1 reservoir water surface elevation forecasts indicate the Licensee cannot meet the operation objectives (or higher elevations), the Licensee will consult with FS by June 1 or July 15, respectively. During CD or ED water years, consultation would be to (1) determine reservoir levels based on available water (including projected water deliveries) and priorities (e.g. boat ramp access) and (2) implementation of any additional measures to be funded by Licensee due to low reservoir levels (e.g. additional patrols, shoreline protection from motorized use, additional public information). Based on this consultation, the Licensee will provide an alternative reservoir operation forecast as appropriate. The reservoir water surface elevation objectives are not compliance criteria, rather they are operation goals.

Reservoir Levels Recreation Objectives¹

	Water Year Type ² and Water Surface Elevation Objectives											
Reservoir	Wet		Above	Normal	Below	v Normal	Dry Critically Dry		ally Dry	Extremely Dry		
	Date	WSE (ft)	Date	WSE (ft)	Date	WSE (ft)	Date	WSE (ft)	Date	WSE (ft)	Date	WSE (ft)
French Meadows												
Reservoir	15-Jul	5245	15-Jul	5245	15-Jul	5240	15-Jul	5220	15-Jul	5200		
Hell Hole												
Reservoir	15-Jul	4590	15-Jul	4580	15-Jul	4570	15-Jul	4530	15-Jul	4530	1-Sep	4450

¹Note that these reservoir water surface elevation objectives are not compliance criteria, rather they are operation goals that are mutually acceptable between the Licensee and FS.

Within 5 years of license issuance, and every 5 years thereafter, the Licensee shall prepare a report describing whether the reservoir scheduling objectives have been achieved, and if not, the reasons and time periods when the target reservoir levels were not achieved. The Licensee shall provide a copy of the report to FS, CDFG, State Water Board, and FERC.

Condition No. 38 – Reservoir Fish Stocking Program

Within 1 year of license issuance, the Licensee shall implement the reservoir fish stocking program as specified below, and consistent with that measure also described in the Recreation Plan (see Condition No. 33).

The Licensee will fund the stocking of fish in Hell Hole and French Meadows Reservoirs on an annual basis during the term of the new license. The fish stocking program will be supported at a rate equivalent to 100 percent of CDFG's annual management targets for

²Water year types are based on the DWR Bulletin 120 May forecast.

Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs or 100 percent of the historical average stocking into these reservoirs (2001-2009)², whichever is less. Fish species and size class stocking targets shall be determined by CDFG. If new regulatory requirements result from the American River Watershed Methylmercury TMDL and Basin Plan Amendment, or other regulatory actions related to fish stocking/species in French Meadows or Hell Hole reservoirs, then PCWA and CDFG will consult to determine the appropriate course of action

At the Licensee's discretion, Licensee will either: (1) acquire the fish directly from private fish hatcheries approved by CDFG; or (2) reimburse CDFG for the cost of the stocking program in Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs based on the criteria described above. Licensee will consult with the CDFG annually to determine fish species and stocking targets, fish acquisition, and verify the completion of the previous year's stocking commitment.

Condition No. 39 – Recreation Streamflows in the Middle Fork **American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse**

All provisions for recreation streamflows are subject to the safe operability of the Project facilities and equipment necessary to provide such streamflows. The recreation streamflows described below may be temporarily modified if required by equipment malfunction or operating emergencies reasonably beyond the control of the Licensee. If the described recreation streamflows are so modified, the Licensee shall provide Notice to FERC, FS, State Water Board, CDPR, and BLM as soon as possible but no later than 24 hours after such incident and shall provide Notice via the website to be developed by the Licensee to disseminate flow information. The described recreation streamflows may also be temporarily modified for short periods in non-emergency situations upon approval of FS. If the described recreation streamflows are so modified, the Licensee shall provide Notice to FERC, FS, State Water Board, CDPR, and BLM.

Hell Hole Reservoir

French Meadows Reservoir

Rainbow Trout – During the 2001–2009 period, an annual average of 10,500 (approximately 5,250 pounds) catchable rainbow trout were stocked.

² The average annual number of fish stocked in Hell Hole and French Meadows reservoirs from 2001 through 2009 is shown on REC Plan Table 6 and REC Plan Table 7, respectively and summarized in the following:

Brown Trout - During the 2001-2009 period, an annual average of 5,500 (approximately 2,750 pounds) catchable brown trout were stocked.

Kokanee salmon – During the 2001–2009 period, an annual average of approximately 24,600 fingerling Kokanee salmon were stocked.

Whitewater Boating

The Licensee shall, within 30 days following license issuance, provide the recreation streamflows specified in the following schedules based on water year type, date, and time. The recreation streamflows shall be measured at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill gage (USGS Gage No. 11433300). Recreation streamflow requirements for (1) weekday Class IV; (2) weekend Class IV; (3) Class II; and (4) and recreation events for recreation flow releases are specified below.

Weekday Class IV Run Recreation Streamflow Releases

Weekday Class IV Kull Recreation Streamflow Releases						
	Flow Magnitude ¹	Timing	June–Labor Day	After Labor Day-Sept 30		
Wet	1000 cfs	3 hrs (9 am–12 pm)	5 (M,T,W,Th,F)	4 (T,W,Th,F)		
Above Normal	1000 cfs	3 hrs (9 am–12 pm)	5 (M,T,W,Th,F)	3 (T,W,F)		
Below Normal	1000 cfs	3 hrs (9 am–12 pm)	4 (T,W,Th,F)	3 (T,W,F)		
Dry	1000 cfs	3 hrs (8 am–11 am)	3 (T,W,F) except for Friday before Labor Day	2 (W,F)		
Critical	1000 cfs	3 hrs (8 am–11 am)	2 (W,F) except for Memorial Day ²	Water Year Type		
Extreme Critical	1000 cfs	3 hrs (8 am–11 am)	1 (W)			

¹Flow compliance measured at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill USGS Gage (No. 11433300).

²One of the days during this week is used for the Class II Run (Confluence) boating.

Scheduled Weekend Class IV Run Recreation Flow Releases

Water Year Type	Flow Magnitude ¹	Timing	Saturday before Memorial Day–Labor Day	After Labor Day–Sept 30	
Wet	1000 cfs	4 hrs	Saturdays and	Saturdays and	
		(8 am–12 pm)	Sundays	Sundays	
Above Normal	1000 cfs	4 hrs	Saturdays and	Saturdays and	
1100 (0 1 (01 111111	1000 10	(8 am–12 pm)	Sundays	Sundays	
Below Normal	1000 cfs	4 hrs	Saturdays (except for	Saturdays and	
Delow I (of filat	1000 C13	(8 am–12 pm)	Western States 100	Sundays	
Dry	1000 cfs	3 hrs	Saturdays except for Western States 100 and Tevis Cup Race Days	Saturdays and Sundays	
		(8:30 am–11:30 am) Sundays except one Sunday ² in July			
Critical	1000 cfs	3 hrs	Saturdays except for Western States 100 and Tevis Cup Race Days	Saturdays	
		(8:30 am–11:30 am)	Sundays except one Sunday ² in July		
Extreme Critical	1000 cfs	3 hrs	Saturdays except for Western States 100 and Tevis Cup Race Days		
		(8:30 am–11:30 am)	Sundays except one Sunday ² in July		

¹Flow compliance measured at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill USGS Gage (No. 11433300). ²This Sunday used for Class II Run (Confluence) boating.

Scheduled Class II Run (Confluence) Recreation Flow Releases

		Timing	Weekdays		Weekends		
Water Year Type	Flow Magnitude 1		Memorial Day–Labor Day	Saturday before Memorial Day–June 30	July 1–Labor Day	After Labor Day–Sept 30	
Wet	800 cfs	5 hrs			Saturdays	2 Saturdays per	
*****	000 015	(3 am-8 am)			Suturacys	month	
Above	800 cfs	5 hrs			Saturdays	2 Saturdays per month	
Normal	800 C1S	(3 am-8 am)			Buturuays		
	800 cfs	4 hrs		2 Saturdays/Month	2 Saturdays per	1 Saturday per	
Below	800 CIS	(4 am-8 am)		2 Saturdays/Month	month	month	
Normal	1000 cfs	3 hrs		Western States 100	Tevis Cup Race		
	1000 CIS	(4 am-7 am)		Race Day	Day		
	1000 0	3 hrs	Memorial Day	Western States 100	1 Sunday in July		
Dry	1000 cfs	and Friday before Race Day Labor Day	and Tevis Cup Race Day				
Cuiting!	1000 6	3 hrs	Mamarial De-	Western States 100	1 Sunday in July		
Critical	1000 cfs	(4 am-7 am)	Memorial Day	Race Day	and Tevis Cup Race Day		
Extreme	1000 cfs	3 hrs		Western States 100	Tevis Cup Race		
Critical	1000 CIS	(4 am-7 am)		Race Day	Day		

¹Flow compliance measured at the Middle Fork American River near Foresthill USGS Gage (No. 11433300).

In addition to the above schedules, up to two unscheduled days per year may be scheduled for special whitewater flow events. Individuals, groups, or agencies may submit a request for single-day whitewater flow events to the Licensee by April 15 each year. The Licensee will select the event(s) based on available water supply and existing consumptive demands, hydro-electric generation demands, and generating unit availability. The Licensee will respond to requests for single-day flow event requests by May 15.

Whitewater boating flow requirements are superseded by the Tevis Cup and Western States 100 event recreation flows outlined in the special event recreation coordination section below.

The Licensee shall determine the water year type for recreation flow releases based on the DWR Bulletin 120 May forecast of American River Unimpaired Flow (ac-ft) below Folsom Lake for the water year and the water year type classification in Condition No. 22

Compliance with the recreation flow releases specified below requires that the Licensee meet the following:

• All specified minimum streamflows are in cubic feet per second (cfs).

- The recreation flow releases must be provided at the time specified.
- Once initiated, the streamflow hourly running average measurements (flow measured in 15-minute time increments) must be no less than the required recreation streamflow releases.
- If there is a forced or unplanned outage at the Middle Fork Powerhouse, Ralston Powerhouse or Oxbow Powerhouse then whitewater boating flow requirements will be suspended until the powerhouse(s) are returned to service.

Special Event Recreation Coordination

The Licensee will provide the whitewater boating and special event recreation flows in the peaking reach (Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse) as specified below

Tevis Cup and Western States 100 Events

The Licensee will annually coordinate with representatives of the Tevis Cup and Western States 100 to identify and provide flows suitable for trail crossing conditions for these events (when such flows are controllable by the Project). The Tevis Cup/Western States 100 event recreation flows, when they occur, take priority over whitewater boating flows. Where possible, whitewater boating flows will be provided as described in the whitewater boating section above.

Wounded Warrior

If the Licensee has been notified by June 1 that a Horseshoe Bar Fish and Game Preserve Wounded Warrior Event has been scheduled during an annual maintenance outage based on an annual maintenance outage schedule posted by the Licensee (May 1) and the outage schedule changes, the Licensee will work with the event organizers to provide steady flows during the event (for up to 5 days).

Condition No. 40 - Visual Resource Management Plan

Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the attached Visual Resource Management Plan.

Condition No. 41 – Historic Properties Management Plan

A Historic Properties Management Plan was provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, finalize the plan provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plan is complete, it will be included as part of this condition. The HPMP will be incorporated into the Programmatic Agreement (PA) by reference.

Condition No. 42 - Cultural Resource Discovery

If, prior to or during ground disturbance or as a result of Project operations, items of potential cultural, historical, archeological, or paleontological value are reported or discovered, or a known deposit of such items is disturbed on National Forest System lands and Licensee adjoining property, the Licensee shall immediately cease work in the area so affected. The Licensee shall then notify FS and shall not resume work on ground disturbing activities until it receives written approval from FS.

If it deems it necessary, FS may require the Licensee to perform recovery, excavation, and preservation of the site and its artifacts at the Licensee's expense through provisions of an Archaeological Resources Protection Act permit issued by FS.

Condition No. 43 - Transportation System Management Plan

A Transportation System Management Plan was provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, finalize the plan provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plan is complete, it will be included as part of this condition.

Condition No. 44 - Fire Management and Response Plan

A Fire Management and Response Plan was provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS, finalize the plan provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plan is complete, it will be included as part of this condition.

Condition No. 45 - Erosion and Sediment Control and Management

Within 1 year of license acceptance, the Licensee shall file with FERC an Erosion and Sediment Control Management Plan developed in consultation with FS and other interested parties, and approved by FS that will provide direction for treating erosion and controlling sedimentation within the Project and Project-affected NFS lands during the term of the new license. Upon FERC approval, Licensee shall implement the Plan.

The Plan shall include at a minimum the components included in the referenced by this condition, unless otherwise agreed to by the FS during Plan finalization. Minimum components include, but may not be limited to:

Sediment Management Plan

Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the Sediment Management Plan, attached.

Erosion Control Guidelines for Existing Project-Affected Areas

- Methods for initial and periodic inventory and monitoring of the entire Project area
 and Project-affected NFS lands to identify erosion sites and assess site condition for
 each. Periodic monitoring and inventory will include recording effectiveness of
 erosion treatment measures, and identification of new erosion sites for the term of the
 new license.
- Criteria for ranking and treating erosion sites including a risk rating and hazard assessment for scheduling erosion treatment measures and monitoring at each site.
- Erosion control measures that incorporate current standards, follow FS regulations and guidance (e.g. LRMP, RMO's, BMP's), are customized to site-specific conditions, and approved by FS.
- Develop and implement a schedule for treatment (e.g. repair, mitigate, monitor) of erosion sites, including a list of sites requiring immediate mitigation and schedule for their implementation.
- Effectiveness monitoring of completed erosion control treatment measures after treatment in order to determine if further erosion control measures are needed. If erosion control measures are not effective, the Licensee will implement additional erosion control measures approved by FS and continue monitoring until the site has stabilized.
- Protocols for emergency erosion and sediment control.
- Process for documenting and reporting inventory and monitoring results including
 periodic plan review and revision. Documentation shall include a FS compatible GIS
 database for maps keyed to a narrative description of detailed, site-specific, erosion
 treatment measures and sediment monitoring results.

Erosion Control Guidelines for New Construction or Non-Routine Maintenance

Licensee shall develop site-specific temporary erosion control measures for each project to be approved by FS. These temporary measures will prevent erosion, stream sedimentation, dust, and soil mass movement during the period of ground disturbance until replaced by permanent measures.

<u>Condition No. 46 – Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan</u>

A Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan was provided in the Final License Application. The Licensee will, in consultation with the FS and CDFG, finalize the plan provided in the Final License Application and submit for FS approval. Once the plan is complete, it will be included as part of this condition.

In addition to the elements in the draft plan, the Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan will address the following elements:

- Boat cleaning stations at boat ramps for the removal of aquatic Invasive weeds.
- A plan to address invasive species such as the New Zealand mudsnail (*Potamopyrgus antipodarum*), Quagga mussels (*Dreissena bugensis*), and zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) if they are found during any monitoring.
- The Licensee shall comply with the Eldorado National Forest and Tahoe National Forest prescriptions for seed, mulch, and fertilizer for restoration or erosion control purposes. Upon FERC approval, the Licensee shall implement the plan.
- Invasive algae (*Didymosphenia geminata*) was found throughout the Project area, although not detected in North Fork Long Canyon Creek and the North Fork American River. If future studies document a safe method of reducing this invasive algae in rivers, the Licensee may be asked to implement this task in Project-related locations.

ENCLOSURE B

California Department of Fish and Game

Rationale Report

In support of

Recommended Conditions

for
Fish and Wildlife
Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement

In the Relicensing of

Middle Fork American River Project (FERC Project No. 2079)

Enclosure B

Middle Fork American River Project, FERC No. 2079 Rationale Report for Proposed License Conditions And Recommendations

4 August 2011

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Resource Objectives	4
Duncan Canyon Creek Area	9
French Meadows Reservoir Area	10
Middle Fork Below French Meadows to Middle Fork Interbay Area	12
Middle Fork Interbay Area	13
Middle Fork American River Below Middle Fork Interbay Dam	13
Hell Hole Reservoir Area	
Rubicon River Below Hell Hole Dam Area	
South Fork Long Canyon Creek Area	
North Fork Long Canyon Creek Area	
Long Canyon Creek Area	
Ralston Afterbay Area	
Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse (Peaking Reach)	
Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam	
Rationale for Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement Measures – Middle Fork America	
Project	
Minimum Streamflows	
Rationale for Minimum Streamflows	
Duncan Creek Below Duncan Diversion Dam	
Middle Fork American River Below French Meadows Reservoir Dam	
Middle Fork American River Below Middle Fork Interbay Dam	
Rubicon River Below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam	
North Fork Long Canyon Creek Below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam	
South Fork Long Canyon Creek Below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam	
Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam	
Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse	
Pulse Flows.	
Rationale for Pulse Flows	
Ramping Rates/Down Ramping	
Rationale for Ramping Rates/Down Ramping	
Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for the Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam	
Rationale for Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for Middle Fork American River Below Ral	
Afterbay Dam	
Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures	
Rationale For Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures	
Monitoring Program	
Large Woody Debris	
Rationale for Large Woody Debris	
Annual Review of Ecological Conditions	
Rationale for Annual Review of Ecological Conditions	
Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging	
Rationale for Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging	
Preferred Penstock and Other Drainage Structure and Release Points	
Rationale for Preferred Penstock and Other Drainage Structure and Release Points	
Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan	
6	

Rationale for Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management PlanPlan	53
Recreation Plan	55
Rationale for Recreation Implementation Plan	56
Routine Operation, Maintenance, and Administration	57
Rationale for Routine Operation, Maintenance, and Administration Measures	57
Heavy Maintenance	71
Rationale for Heavy Maintenance	71
Specific Modifications and Enhancements at Existing Project Recreation Facilities and Water	
Supply Facilities, and New Project Recreation Facilities	72
Rationale for Specific Modifications and Enhancements at Existing Project Recreation Facilities and	d
Water Supply Facilities, and New Project Recreation Facilities	72
French Meadows Recreation Area	74
Hell Hole Recreation Area	84
Long Canyon Recreation Area, Middle Meadows Campground	87
Duncan Creek Diversion Area	88
Middle Fork Interbay Reservoir Area	88
Rubicon River Recreation Area, Ellicott's Bridge River Access Area	89
Ralston Afterbay Sediment Removal Access Point Area	89
Ralston Picnic Area and Cartop Boat Ramp	89
Middle Fork American River (Peaking Reach) Recreation Area	90
Periodic Recreation Use Monitoring, Visitor Surveys and Reporting	
Rationale for Periodic Recreation Use Monitoirng, Visitor Surveys and Reporting	
Fish Stocking Program	92
Rationale For Fish Stocking Program	
Consultation and Annual Coordination Meeting	
Rationale for Consultation and Annual Coordination Meeting	
Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites	
Rationale for Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites	
Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives	
Rationale for Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives	
Recreational Streamflows	
Rationale for Recreational Streamflows	
Visual Resource Management Plan	
Cultural Resources	
Rationale for Cultural Resources Measures	
Transportation Management	
Transportation System Management Plan	102
Land Management	
Fire Management and Response Plan	
Rationale for Fire Management and Response Plan	
Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan	
Rationale for Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan	
Rationale for Administrative FS Conditions	
Literature Cited	
Acronyms	118

Middle Fork American River Project, FERC No. 2079 Rationale Report for Proposed License Conditions And Recommendations

Introduction

On June 7, 2011, FERC solicited motions to intervene and protests; solicited comments; and requested recommendations, terms, conditions, and prescriptions for the Middle Fork American River Project, FERC No. 2079. The existing license for the Middle Fork American River Project expires on February 28, 2013. The Middle Fork American River Project is a 224-megawatt project that consists of two major reservoirs and five powerhouses, located on the Middle Fork American and Rubicon Rivers and their tributaries.

The following resource agencies have participated in the collaborative relicensing process and development of proposed license conditions and recommendations:

- California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)
- California State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board)
- California State Department of Parks and Recreation (CDPR)
- USDA Forest Service (FS)
- USDI Bureau of Land Management (BLM)
- USDI National Park Service (NPS)
- USDI Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation)

Additionally, many non-governmental organizations and individuals have participated in the collaborative process.

The relicensing participants have reached agreement on many of the proposed license conditions and recommendations in the various resource agency filings. In areas where agreement has not been reached, in most cases a substantial amount of work has been completed, and given a bit more time, it is likely the relicensing participants will reach agreement on proposed license conditions and recommendations.

This Rationale Report provides supporting documentation and the rationale used in developing the proposed license conditions and recommendations for consideration by FERC in its environmental analysis for the Middle Fork American River Project. The Rationale Report includes descriptions of the relationship between the supporting information and the resulting proposed license conditions and recommendations. However, the Rationale Report does not constitute the entire record supporting the proposed license conditions and recommendations nor does it detail every source of information used and every consideration made in developing the proposed license

conditions and recommendations. Rather, the Rationale Report should be considered in conjunction with the balance of the record supporting the application for new license.

Resource Objectives

The following resource objectives were developed from agency mandates, with consideration of licensee, and NGO goals. It is recognized that factors beyond the licensees' control could affect attainment of these objectives and that some or all of the objectives may not be achievable within the proposed license conditions and recommendations. The following objectives encompass FS's Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans (Forest Plans); however, more specific existing desired conditions are described in the following sections.

General Objectives

Aquatic Biota Objectives

Populations of native aquatic biota, including fish, benthic macroinvertebrates (including aquatic mollusks), amphibians, reptiles, and riparian species are viable with adequate habitat consistent with species' needs. Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species. Meet FS Riparian Conservation Objectives from the Forest Plans.

- Maintain, recover, and restore riparian resources, channel condition, and aquatic habitat.
- Maintain, recover, and restore streamflow regime sufficient to sustain desired conditions of native riparian, aquatic, wetland, and meadow habitats.
- Protect aquatic systems to which species are uniquely adapted.

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species Objectives

- Ensure that proposed license conditions and recommendations measures provide for well distributed, viable populations of Forest Service sensitive species and are consistent with any applicable biological opinion issued under the federal or state Endangered Species Act. Ensure that proposed license conditions and recommendations measures measures comply with the Forest Plans.
- Minimize the effects of stream diversion or other flow modifications from hydroelectric projects on threatened, endangered, or sensitive species.
- Manage sensitive species to ensure that species do not become threatened or endangered.
- Maintain and restore habitat to support viable populations of TES species.

• Avoid impact to species designated as fully protected under FGC sections 3511(b) and 4700(b).

Entrainment Objective

Minimize or avoid the entrainment effects of stream diversions or other flow modifications from hydroelectric projects on aquatic life including threatened, endangered, and sensitive species and fish. Mitigate for losses due to entrainment at tunnel intake structures and at the outlets of the reservoirs.

Macroinvertebrate Objective

Maintain high macroinvertebrate IBIs (metrics) in project streams to demonstrate healthy stream function and provide adequate prey base. Benthic aquatic invertebrates comprise the foundation of the food web critical to all aquatic carnivores, including fish. The organisms are also indicative of the overall aquatic habitat condition in which they occur because different kinds of taxa predominate in differing habitat conditions. Project bypassed reaches and reservoirs will receive increasing public visitation pressure into the foreseeable future. Watershed development adjacent to Project facilities may also occur. The prescribed benthic invertebrate sampling will be key to monitoring the status of the indicative populations that could be affected by Project-related disturbance sources. It is possible that, due to their primary role in the aquatic food web, changes to the basic composition of the aquatic invertebrate fauna over time may be evident through this sampling prior to the changes becoming evident by fish or hydrologic sampling. Ensure that proposed license conditions and recommendations measures measures provide for well distributed, viable populations of aquatic mollusks.

Large Woody Debris Objective

Ensure that the level of large woody debris in streams is within the range of natural variability in terms of frequency and distribution and is sufficient to sustain stream channel physical complexity and stability. If characteristics are outside the range of natural variability, implement mitigation measures and short-term restoration actions as needed to prevent further declines or cause an upward trend in condition. Ensure large woody debris passage beyond dams and diversions.

Natural Hydrograph Objective

- Develop and implement streamflow regimes that simulate the shape of the natural hydrograph in duration, magnitude, timing, rate of change, and frequency to the extent necessary to restore or protect applicable ecological functions.
- Ensure that seasonally-appropriate geomorphic flows occur at magnitudes and recurrence intervals necessary to maintain healthy stream processes and prevent riparian encroachment within channels that leads to channelization while allowing riparian establishment along stream banks.

- Minimize project-caused flow fluctuations uncharacteristic of the natural hydrograph to protect biota and maintain public safety.
- Manage spills from project reservoirs to simulate timing on natural hydrograph.

Channel Morphology and Sediment Transport Objectives

- Maintain or restore channel integrity.
- Maintain, improve, or restore fluvial processes to provide for balanced sediment transport, channel bed material mobilization and distribution, and channel structural stability that contribute to diverse aquatic habitat and healthy riparian habitat.
- Maintain sediment regime that addresses ecosystem values.
- Ensure delivery and transport of sediment are balanced so that stream channels are not excessively aggrading or degrading over time, and particle size distribution allows for diverse bed form within the stream channel.
- Keep sediment regimes as close as possible to those which aquatic and riparian biota evolved.

Stream Channel and Floodplain Objective

Ensure stream channels have appropriate cross-section size (width to depth) and stable stream banks, and floodplains and flood-prone areas have connectivity to the stream channel.

Riparian Habitat Objectives

- Maintain riparian vegetation in proper functioning condition.
- Maintain or restore riparian resources.
- Maintain or restore streamflow regime sufficient to sustain desired conditions of native riparian, aquatic, wetland, and meadow habitats.
- Address Riparian Conservation Objectives from Forest Plans.
- Manage streamflows so they are sufficient to sustain desired conditions of riparian plant communities.
- Manage streambanks and shorelines to minimize erosion and sustain desired riparian habitats.
- Manage riparian plant communities to maintain and improve the species composition and structural diversity.

Manage riparian plant communities to maintain and/or improve spatial and temporal
connectivity for native riparian plant species within and between watersheds to
provide physically, chemically and biologically unobstructed movement for their
survival, migration and reproduction.

Water Quality Objective

Ensure compliance with the water quality objectives to fully protect the beneficial uses as designated in the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan (Basin Plan).

Water Temperature Objective

Ensure that flows are protective of the designated beneficial uses of cold freshwater habitat and warm freshwater habitat as appropriate, and do not adversely affect water temperatures for local aquatic- and riparian-dependent species assemblages.

Algae Objective

Restore natural algae species to project reaches.

Invasive Vegetation Objective

- Contain, suppress, and where possible, eradicate or reverse the spread of invasive plant species.
- Implement weed prevention practices and mitigation measures as per FS Regional strategy. Include education as an integral part of prevention.

Invasive Aquatic Species Objective

- Protect against the introduction and establishment of quagga mussels and zebra
 mussels through the development and implementation of a Mussel Prevention
 Program for project reservoirs with boating and fishing activities (FGC §2302).
 Protect against the introduction and establishment of New Zealand mud snails in
 project waters.
- Protect against the introduction and establishment of aquatic invasive plant species such as hydrilla and Eurasian water-milfoil.
- Keep project reaches free of *Didymosphenia geminata* (diatomaceous algae).

Reservoir Level Objective

Maintain reservoir levels in Project reservoirs to protect beneficial uses. Maintain reservoir levels sufficient to ensure that aesthetic, recreational, ecological, and power production needs are addressed.

Recreation Management Objective

Provide for quality day use and overnight recreation opportunities associated with the Project and ensure that other resources are not adversely impacted by this recreational use.

Recreation Design Objective

Ensure Project-related facilities meet current FS design standards and standards for accessibility.

Public Safety Objective

Provide a safe recreational experience for the public. Provide public safety information at project reservoirs and primary river recreation access points. Provide an administrative presence during the public recreation and whitewater boating season.

Project-Related Recreation

- Ensure licensee provides for and is responsible for project-related recreation, including providing facilities, long-term maintenance, and periodic heavy maintenance.
- Post appropriate signs, including interpretive signs.

Streamflow and Reservoir Level Information Objective

Provide streamflow and reservoir level information for Project-affected reaches and reservoirs that is available to the general public and is adequate for river and reservoir recreation use.

Visual Resource Objective

Ensure that visual quality meets appropriate management area direction.

Cultural Resources Objectives

- Evaluate cultural resources that may be affected by the project (including project-related activities), and protect/conserve significant resources, or mitigate effects to those resources.
- Conduct, as part of Section 106 compliance, on-going consultation with the appropriate Native American tribe(s) as defined by the FS.
- Ensure full compliance of Section 106 through a Programmatic Agreement.

Transportation and Facilities Management Objectives

- Ensure appropriate level of maintenance on Project-related roads and trails. Ensure
 roads and trails are operated and maintained to established FS standards and are
 consistent with the Forest Plans. Ensure that substandard Project Roads and Trails
 conditions are brought up to current standards.
- Ensure Project-related facilities are appropriately identified and maintained.
- Ensure licensee is authorized for the use and is responsible for their commensurate share of road maintenance and repairs of General Access National Forest System Roads used to access Project facilities.
- Ensure that all traffic and information signs in project facilities comply with current MUTCD and FSH 7700-15 for size, shape, message, color, symbology and maintenance and replacement.

Special-Use Authorization Objective

Ensure that Project-related special-use authorizations are up to date and address current uses.

Vegetation Management and Fire Prevention Objective

- Ensure appropriate vegetation management for Project-related activities. Minimize loss of resources from Project-related fires. Provide treatments to reduce excessive fuels in applicable drainages; this may require a separate cooperative agreement.
- Provide defensible space around project structures.

Consistency with Plans

Ensure that hydropower operations are consistent with the applicable resource agency plans (for example, Forest Plan, Basin Plan, Rubicon River Wild Trout Management Plan) and their revisions over the life of the license.

Outages Objective

Ensure outages for routine project maintenance are scheduled to occur at times that minimize adverse effects. Ensure that minimum streamflows and water temperatures in affected streams are maintained during planned and unplanned outages. Avoid flow fluctuations associated with outages through appropriate ramping rates. Ensure that higher flows during unplanned outages do not adversely affect foothill yellow-legged frog life stages during their sensitive reproductive period.

Duncan Canyon Creek Area

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species.
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat through

Entrainment Objective

Avoid rainbow trout entrainment losses at Duncan Creek Middle Fork Tunnel intake structure. Consider diversion effects on trout fry and potential benefits of ending diversions earlier in the season.

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following in this reach: low dissolved oxygen concentration in lower Duncan Creek.

Sediment Transport Objective

Provide natural sediment pass-through rather than stockpiling it.

Recreation Objectives

- Design and construct a primitive setting campground near the bridge, gravel the parking spurs, and install sanitation facilities.
- Sign and maintain trails to project-related-facilities, including stream gages and monitoring sites as non-motorized.
- Improve the trail from the trash rack to the diversion dam FS trail to standard appropriate to its use (facility maintenance).

Transportation Objective

Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

French Meadows Reservoir Area

Large Woody Debris

There is an interest in passing through the large amount of large woody debris that is in French Meadows Reservoir to the MFAR below, where more large woody debris is needed to maintain and restore aquatic species habitat

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following:

- Elevated iron concentrations in reservoir (fall).
- Elevated manganese concentration in reservoir.

Boat Ramp Access Objective

- Maintain reservoir levels sufficient for use of boat ramps during summer and fall recreational season.
- Ensure there is access to reservoir waters for heavy equipment such as water trucks and fire engines for fire suppression purposes.
- Maintain reservoir water surface elevation as high as possible between Memorial Day until September 15 during Wet, Above Normal, and Below Normal water years, and until Labor Day Monday during Dry, Critically Dry, and Extreme Critical Dry years. This is to provide the public, particularly those camping and fishing, a positive recreation experience.

Specific Recreation Objectives

- Improve water systems to meet current standards and add additional capacity.
- Provide more group camping opportunities.
- Provide additional non-motorized trail opportunities.
- Look into improving road to Poppy Campground to facilitate facility improvements.
- Provide more group camping opportunities.

Reservoir Angling Objective

- Protect and enhance reservoir angling opportunities (shoreline and boat) at French Meadows Reservoir consistent with overall reservoir-based recreation and reservoir level goals through stocking, maintenance of structures, and access.
- Ensure fish stocking in French Meadows Reservoir is adequate for a quality angling experience; there may be a need for a cooperative agreement to meet this objective.
- Maintain reservoir levels sufficient for use of boat ramp during summer and fall recreational period.

Transportation Objectives

- North Shore Road (Lewis Campground to McGuire Boat Ramp) needs major improvements (possibly relocation) to address effects to riparian habitat. Interest in restoring riparian habitat that has been affected by road.
- There is an interest in mitigating high flows in MFAR that are eroding Mosquito Ridge Road between North Shore Road and Ahart Campground.
- There is an opportunity to reduce the amount of impacted land by unused parking and roads, and to restore those areas.

Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Middle Fork Below French Meadows to Middle Fork Interbay Area

Riparian Objective

Ensure riparian recruitment is adequate with sufficient high flow to create barren nursery sites (1 to 5 year reccurrence).

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species.
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat.

Recreational Streamflow Objective

Provide streamflow regime to address recreational opportunities, including whitewater boating, stream angling, swimming, waterplay, boating, and other recreational beneficial uses. Flows should address the following:

- Consistent with ecosystem capabilities and seasonal needs.
- Consistent with unimpaired hydrograph shape (do not fluctuate up and down on weekends, for example) and timing (not out of season).
- Minimize user and ecological conflicts.
- Maintain a high degree of user satisfaction as determined by user surveys and other means.
- Consider public safety.
- Consider reservoir levels and levels of quality reservoir-based recreation.

• May be a need for operation, maintenance, and administration personnel or funding to address increased use.

Recreation Objective

Provide reasonable access for recreational use of this segment for various forms of water-based recreation.

Middle Fork Interbay Area

Sediment Transport Objective/Stockpiling of Sediment

The spoils site is full, and there is an interest in providing sediment pass-through (rather than stockpiling all of it) and ensuring that metals and other non-desirable debris are removed prior to pass-through.

Recreation Objective

Provide reasonable access for recreational uses at this reservoir.

Middle Fork American River Below Middle Fork Interbay Dam

Sensitive Species Objective

Maintain and restore habitat to support viable populations of hardhead and foothill yellow-legged frogs, both sensitive species.

Water Temperature Objective

Ensure that flows are protective of the designated beneficial uses of cold freshwater habitat and warm freshwater habitat as appropriate, and do not adversely affect water temperatures for local aquatic- and riparian-dependent species assemblages. Ensure water temperatures maintain, enhance, or restore native sensitive species (foothill yellow-legged frogs and hardhead) populations.

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species, including hardhead, a sensitive species.
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes and desired non-native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat.

Transportation Objectives

- Interest in licensee being responsible for winter road use since Mosquito Ridge Road is plowed to the interbay turnoff for project access. Ensure licensee is responsible for commensurate share of road maintenance (lots of road work during winter due to rock falls/safety issue).
- Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Recreational Streamflow Objective

Provide streamflow regime to address recreational opportunities, including whitewater boating, stream angling, swimming, waterplay, boating, and other recreational beneficial uses. Flows should address the following:

- Consistent with ecosystem capabilities and seasonal needs.
- Consistent with unimpaired hydrograph shape (do not fluctuate up and down on weekends, for example) and timing (not out of season).
- Minimize user and ecological conflicts.
- Maintain a high degree of user satisfaction as determined by user surveys and other means.
- Consider public safety.
- Consider reservoir levels and levels of quality reservoir-based recreation.
- May be a need for operation, maintenance, and administration personnel or funding to address increased use.

Recreation Objectives

- Provide reasonable access for recreational use of this stream segment for various forms of water-based recreation.
- Discuss whether there is a need to address use in winter due to plowing.

Hell Hole Reservoir Area

Sensitive Plant Species Objective

Ensure that Stebbins' phacelia populations that may be affected by increasing the water surface elevation in the reservoir are addressed. Develop recreational opportunities in a manner that addresses Sensitive plant populations and habitat. Avoid impacts that may contribute to a trend towards federal listing.

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following in this reservoir:

- Slightly low dissolved oxygen (less than 7 mg/L) in some fall samples.
- Elevated mercury levels and bioaccumulation rates in resident fish.

Large Woody Debris Objective

Ensure large woody debris passage beyond Hell Hole Dam into Rubicon River.

Terrestrial Objective

Mitigate for terrestrial habitat lost through inundation as a result of increase in reservoir water surface elevation. Ensure protection of bald eagle habitat/nest.

Recreation Objectives

- To the extent possible, assure that the boat ramp is usable for a longer period into the fall.
- There is an interest in further assessing the design needs for Upper Hell Hole Campground (either at a lesser development level or in an alternative location that is more accessible through the season). Monitor use over the life of the license to determine if use increases and if changes are necessary.
- Provide more group camping opportunities.
- Provide additional non-motorized trail opportunities (consider reconstructing the trail completely around the reservoir).

Reservoir Angling Objective

- Protect and enhance reservoir angling opportunities (shoreline and boat) at Hell Hole Reservoir consistent with overall reservoir-based recreation and reservoir level goals through fish stocking, maintenance of structures, and access.
- Ensure fish stocking in Hell Hole Reservoir is adequate and consistent with goals of providing a trophy trout angling opportunity; there may be a need for a cooperative agreement to meet this objective.
- Maintain reservoir levels sufficient for use of boat ramp during summer and fall recreational period.

Streamflow and Reservoir Level Information Objective

Ensure the public is notified whether the upper portion of the reservoir is accessible by boat based on reservoir levels.

Transportation and Facilities Management Objectives

- The road to Hell Hole Reservoir may need to be improved. It is a chip-sealed road that is plowed in winter, resulting in extensive damage.
- There may be a need to improve trail access to Upper Hell Hole Campground.
- Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Rubicon River Below Hell Hole Dam Area

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species, including hardhead, a sensitive species.
- Ensure the wild trout fishery in this reach is maintained or enhanced in accordance with the goals in CDFG's Rubicon River Wild Trout Management Plan (CDFG 1979).
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat.

Sensitive Species Objective

Ensure sensitive foothill yellow-legged frogs are protected or enhanced. Maintain and restore habitat to support viable populations of this sensitive species.

Flow Continuity Objective

Consider pass-through of required minimum streamflow released from the Upper American River Project when developing flow regime for Rubicon River.

Natural Hydrograph Objective

Determine if there are any "biological hotspots" in this bedrock dominated reach that support unique and/or more diverse aquatic and riparian communities that are dependent on unimpaired annual hydrograph components.

Flow Fluctuations Objective

Manage Hell Hole Reservoir to ameliorate out-of-season spills in this reach.

Riparian Objective

Eliminate or reduce the continuous line of vegetation within the channel downstream of dam failure.

Dam Failure Objectives

- Remove debris from dam failure that is a safety hazard. Determine if there are any opportunities to improve the area.
- Mitigate for loss of stream and riparian habitat due to dam failure.

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following in this reach:

- Dissolved copper slightly exceeded criteria in one sample immediately below dam.
- Total alkalinity is low (less than 20 mg/L at several stream locations.

Water Temperature Objective

Ensure that flows are protective of the designated beneficial uses of cold freshwater habitat and warm freshwater habitat as appropriate, and do not adversely affect water temperatures for local aquatic- and riparian-dependent species assemblages. Ensure water temperatures maintain, enhance, or restore native sensitive species (foothill yellow-legged frogs and hardhead) populations.

Wild and Scenic River Objective

Ensure outstandingly remarkable wild and scenic river values are maintained or enhanced (the value in this reach is fisheries).

Recreational Streamflow Objective

Provide streamflow regime to address recreational opportunities, including whitewater boating, stream angling, swimming, waterplay, boating, and other recreational beneficial uses. Flows should address the following:

- Consistent with ecosystem capabilities and seasonal needs.
- Consistent with unimpaired hydrograph shape (do not fluctuate up and down on weekends, for example) and timing (not out of season).
- Minimize user and ecological conflicts.

- Maintain a high degree of user satisfaction as determined by user surveys and other means.
- Consider reservoir levels and levels of quality reservoir-based recreation.
- Consider public safety.
- May be a need for operation, maintenance, and administration personnel or funding to address increased use.

Specific Recreation Objectives

- There may be a need to provide for appropriate access and parking and to harden some sites along this reach to reduce resource impacts.
- Ensure river crossing for angling at Hale's Crossing (Deer Creek Trail) remains accessible. Maintain Ellicott's Bridge as a main recreational access point while controlling and eliminating invasive plant species.
- Minimize riparian and aquatic ecosystem impacts associated with dispersed recreational activities along stream channel.

South Fork Long Canyon Creek Area

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species.
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat.

Entrainment Objective

Avoid rainbow trout entrainment losses at South Fork drop inlet structure. Consider diversion effects on trout fry and potential benefits of ending diversions earlier in the season.

Facilities Objective

Ensure facilities are in working order at all times; the instream flow outlet at this site frequently becomes blocked.

Recreation Management and Design Objectives

 Provide for quality day use and overnight recreation opportunities associated with the Project and ensure that other resources are not adversely impacted by this recreational use.

- Ensure Project-related facilities meet current FS design standards and standards for accessibility.
- There may be a need to provide for appropriate access and parking and to harden some sites along this reach to reduce resource impacts.

Transportation Objective

Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Sediment Transport Objective

Allow pass-through of sediment downstream of diversion to maintain bedload continuity.

North Fork Long Canyon Creek Area

Fisheries Objectives

- Maintain, enhance, or restore all life stages of native aquatic species, including hardhead, a sensitive species.
- Maintain, restore, or recover favorable ecological conditions for all life stages of rainbow trout and other native fishes in their appropriate range and habitat through.

Entrainment Objective

Avoid rainbow trout entrainment losses at North Fork drop inlet structure. Consider effects of diversion on trout fry and potential benefits of ending diversions earlier in the season.

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following in this reach: Elevated fecal coliform bacterial concentrations downstream of diversion.

Facilities Objective

Ensure facilities are in working order at all times; the instream flow outlet at this site frequently becomes blocked, preventing minimum streamflow releases.

Sediment Transport Objective

Provide natural sediment pass-through to provide bedload continuity rather than stockpiling it.

Riparian Objective

Maintain or enhance riparian community to provide shading for thermal cover.

Recreation Objective

There may be a need to provide for appropriate access and parking and to harden some sites along this reach to reduce resource impacts.

Transportation Objective

Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Long Canyon Creek Area

Amphibian Objective

Minimize potential project impacts to amphibians due to stage change from project upstream.

Riparian Objective

Healthy distribution of age classes based on reference streams (within range of natural variability from riparian conservation objective).

Sensitive Species Objectives

Maintain and enhance sensitive plant populations within inner gorge (e.g., saw-toothed lewisia and Stebbins' phacelia).

Should it be included as a Sensitive Species, optimize effective habitat for western pearlshell mussel (*Margaritifera falcata*).

Transportation Objective

Repair, operate, and maintain project roads and trails. Mitigate unacceptable resource damage and safety conditions as discovered in study plans.

Ralston Afterbay Area

Sensitive Species Objective

Maintain habitat to support viable hardhead population abundance, size, distribution, age class, and condition. Minimize unseasonably cold temperatures and water level fluctuations that adversely affect hardhead.

Entrainment Objective

Avoid fish entrainment, including hardhead, at the Ralston-Oxbow intake structure and dam outlet structures

Water Quality Objective

There may be a need to address the following:

- Elevated fecal coliform bacterial levels at Ralston Afterbay near Ralston Picnic Area.
- Elevated mercury bioaccumulation rates in resident fish.

Large Woody Debris

Ensure large woody debris passage beyond Ralston Afterbay

Sediment Transport Objective

Provide natural sediment pass-through or make it available to the reach downstream.

Recreation Management Objectives

- Provide for quality day use recreation opportunities associated with the Project and
 ensure that other resources are not adversely impacted by this recreational use. This
 includes boat launching and day use.
- Ensure Project-related facilities meet current FS design standards and standards for accessibility.
- Consider the following measures:
 - o Improve trail access to the 5 picnic sites and convert one site to meet Forest accessibility standards.
 - o Pave the parking lot.
 - o Improve and pave the boat access.
 - o Reconstruct the existing trail up river of the picnic area (approximately 0.5 mile).

Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse (Peaking Reach)

Sensitive Species Objective

Optimize effective habitat for sensitive species (foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, and hardhead) to extent possible.

Benthic Macroinvertebrate Objective

Enhance benthic macroinvertebrate community abundance, diversity and health to serve as a primary food source for fish and aquatic life. This may be achieved through

maintaining or increasing wetted perimeter in the MFAR channel as well as hydraulic connectivity with tributary sources.

Freshwater Mussel Objective

Should it be included as a Sensitive Species, optimize effective habitat for western pearlshell mussel (*Margaritifera falcata*).

Recreational Streamflow Objective

Provide a streamflow regime which continues to provide for a variety of recreational opportunities along the length of the peaking reach, including whitewater boating, canoeing and down river boating, stream angling, swimming, waterplay, trail crossings, and other recreational beneficial uses.

Recreational Access Objective

- Provide safe and adequate year round access at appropriate access locations along the length of the Middle Fork and North Fork American River within the peaking reach to accommodate the variety of river-dependent and river-enhanced recreation uses. This includes adequately maintaining the existing access roads and improving other roads in order to provide adequate public access to the river in the peaking reach.
- Work with the licensee to accommodate trail crossing of the peaking reach for several existing major trail special events.

Recreation Management Objectives

- Provide for quality river related recreation opportunities in the peaking reach. River
 recreation access facilities will be operated and maintained to the appropriate land
 management agency's standards. Licensee to contribute fair share of the cost of
 providing for, operating and maintaining river related recreation facilities
 commensurate with impacts of project related flows. This includes administration of
 whitewater outfitter concession contract administration, river patrol, management of
 all river use including both commercial and non-commercial, and facility operation
 and maintenance.
- Remove project-related debris from the peaking reach, such as the steel and concrete bridge debris just below the Confluence, which presents a safety hazard to boaters, swimmers and other river recreation users. There may be projected related debris in other sections of the peaking reach. The sediment excavated from Ralston Afterbay and deposited downstream of Oxbow Dam may contain additional debris which may present a hazard to river recreation users in the future.
- Provide reasonable access and parking for recreational use of this segment for various forms of water-based recreation.

- There may be a need to harden some sites along this reach to reduce resource impacts.
- Consider improving the sandy beach area at Indian Bar and construct a trail to the sandy beach area.

Recreation Design Objective

Ensure project-related facilities meet the appropriate land management agency's design standards and standards for accessibility. Additional river recreation access facilities are needed in several locations in order to adequately serve recreation use created by project related flows in the peaking reach.

Public Safety Objective

- Remove unsafe bridge debris below confluence.
- Consider need for sanitation facilities.
- Provide public information as for stream flows and outages.

Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

Fisheries Objective

Enhance survivorship of rainbow trout YOY age-class downstream of Ralston Dam. The lifestages that are most sensitive to flow fluctuations and that are most likely to limit populations are spawning, incubation, hatching, emergence, fry, and early juvenile. For example, in their paper describing a 13-year study aimed at determining population-limiting salmonid habitats in 11 Colorado streams, Nehring and Anderson (1993) state the following:

"... it became apparent after 4-5 years of study that the early life stages (spawning, incubation, hatching, emergence, and early fry) were the most vulnerable to flow induced variations in habitat. These life stages, due to a stationary nature or relative immobility, are unable to respond quickly (if at all) to flow-induced habitat variations. It is at these early life stages that the "bottleneck" habitat theory is most valid. The loss of a year-class (in the early stages of development) due to flow-induced changes in habitat carries through for the entire potential life span of that cohort. Not only is the cohort lost from a recreational standpoint, but all of the potential progeny from the cohort are lost as well."

Recreation Objective

Much of the Middle Fork Project is within a Federal Power Project Withdrawn area. The Withdrawn lands are "reserved from entry, location, or other disposition" per Federal Register Notice dated November 2, 1961. The objective is to inform and educate miners

that the area is not open for mineral extraction; and if necessary cite individuals who do not comply with the withdrawl notice.

<u>Rationale for Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement Measures – Middle Fork American River Project</u>

The following section describes the scientific information and the rationale for the specific protection, mitigation, and enhancement measures in the settlement agreement.

ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Existing Conditions

- hydrograph shape. In the peaking reach, there is a ramping rate requirement that releases shall not cause vertical fluctuations greater than 3 feet per hour. In the large river bypass reaches (MFAR and Rubicon River) flows are altered year-round. Flows are typically reduced and more stable during the winter/spring as water is diverted into storage or used for power generation. During the summer and fall seasons, flows in the bypass reaches are typically equal to or greater than natural unimpaired conditions as water is released from storage to meet minimum streamflow requirements mandated in the FERC License. In the smaller stream bypass reaches (Duncan, North and South Fork Long Canyon, and Long Canyon creeks) flows typically are lower than natural flows during the winterspring season due to diversions. During the summer-fall season, the diversions are not operated because of low inflow and minimum streamflow requirements, and natural flows are present in the streams.
- In the peaking reach, flows can fluctuate substantially to meet daily power demands or to support whitewater recreation. Operations of the Project (except in the wettest of water years and/or seasons of the year) can result in daily flow fluctuations in the peaking reach from about 75 cubic feet per second (cfs) (FERC License minimum flow requirement) to the capacity of the Oxbow Powerhouse (approximately 1,025 cfs). Winter-spring season flows are often similar to natural flows due to the large amount of accretion from the North Fork of the Middle Fork American River and the North Fork American River (see below). Summer-fall season flows are typically higher and more variable than unimpaired conditions due to releases to meet consumptive water and power demands and whitewater recreation.
- All study streams contained suitably-sized spawning material (8-64 mm) for trout. However, gravel is not overly abundant. Project reservoirs and diversion pools capture a portion of the natural gravel supply in the streams and rivers, thereby, reducing sediment supply in the bypass and peaking reaches.
- Wide corridors of riparian vegetation were relatively uncommon except in the peaking reach and on the Rubicon River immediately downstream from Hell Hole Dam.

- Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the bypass and peaking reaches ranged between 7.1 and 11.7 during the spring and fall sampling events. These measurements are consistent with the Basin Plan objective of 7.0 mg/L.
- Project operations have altered the water temperature regimes in the large bypass and peaking reaches, particularly during the summer and early fall.
- The storage of cold water in French Meadows and Hell Hole reservoirs during the spring runoff period and its subsequent release from low-level outlets and powerhouses throughout the summer and fall have substantially reduced water temperatures of the MFAR and Rubicon River by as much as 15 degrees F.
- Under existing conditions, summer water temperatures in the large rivers are reset to cooler reservoir/powerhouse release temperatures (typically about 45-55F) below French Meadows Reservoir, Hell Hole Reservoir, Middle Fork Interbay, and Ralston Afterbay. The cool water released at the top of the reaches is warmed by air temperature and solar radiation as it moves downstream. For example, the daily average water temperature in the Rubicon River warms from approximately 45 to 72F from the Hell Hole Reservoir release to Ralston Afterbay. The peaking reach has the least amount of warming during the summer along its length relative to the other reaches. The peaking reach has highest relative starting temperature and the largest amount of water; therefore, warming is relatively slow (the lower difference between air temperature and water temperature and the large thermal mass of the river reduces the rate of warming). The average temperature of the water flowing from the peaking reach into Folsom Reservoir during the summer is much cooler than it would have been under unimpaired conditions
- Stream temperatures in the smaller bypass reaches (Duncan Creek and Long Canyon creeks) during the summer and fall are unaffected by the Project because water is generally not diverted during this time period.
- In general, water temperature in the bypass and peaking reaches is ideal for coldwater species such as rainbow trout. Mean daily summer temperatures along the length of most of the reaches ranges between 7°C-20°C (45°F-68°F). The water temperatures are consistent with the Basin Plan beneficial uses for coldwater freshwater habitat (COLD) and habitat for reproduction and early development of fish.
- Two of the river reaches have summer coldwater/warmer water transition zones and corresponding transition zones of coldwater/warmer water species (cold water trout and warmer water FYLF and hardhead). Transition zones are found in the lower portion of the Rubicon River and the lower portion of the Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay. These transition zones result from natural warming of the water along the length of the river reaches and are consistent with the beneficial uses designated for these streams in the Basin Plan.

Desired Conditions

- Ensure that sensitive aquatic species and their habitat are adequately protected, including foothill yellow-legged frog, hardhead, and western pond turtle.
- Ensure that native fish populations are protected and maintained. Improve habitat capability for native trout.
- Ensure the Project does not adversely affect water temperatures necessary for aquatic-dependent assemblages. Maintain or improve selected habitats for coldwater and warm-water species.
- Maintain water quality adequate to protect beneficial uses and meet state water quality standards.
- Ensure plant communities in riparian areas and wetlands are diverse and healthy and provide essential ecological functions.
- Maintain channels in a healthy, functioning condition.
- Prevent and eradicate populations of noxious weeds.
- Monitor to ensure objectives are met. Include consultations to discuss measures that may be implemented if objectives are not met.
- Provide habitat for healthy macroinvertebrate populations.
- Attempt to reduce flow fluctuations in the peaking reach.

Minimum Streamflows

Objectives Addressed by Minimum Streamflows

Aquatic Biota Objectives

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species

Objectives

Entrainment Objective

Macroinvertebrate Objective

Large Woody Debris Objective

Natural Hydrograph Objective

Channel Morphology and Sediment Transport Objectives

Stream Channel and Floodplain Objective

Riparian Habitat Objectives

Water Quality Objective

Water Temperature Objective

Algae Objective

Invasive Aquatic Species Objective

Reservoir Level Objective

Visual Resource Objective

Outages Objective

Information Used to Establish Minimum Streamflows

The following information was used to establish minimum streamflows:

- Instream Flow Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010a)
- Fish Population Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010g)
- Middle Fork American River Project Final License Application, Exhibit E: Water Use Affected Environment (PCWA 2011d)
- Special-Status Amphibian and Aquatic Reptile Species Technical Study Report (PCWA 2007a)
- Water Temperature Modeling Technical Study Report (PCWA 2011a)
- Dams and Downstream Aquatic Biodiversity: potential food web consequences of hydrologic and geomorphic change (Power et al. 1995)
- The Natural Flow Regime (Poff et al. 1997)
- Fish Health and Diversity: justifying flows for a California Stream (Moyle et al. 1998)
- Fish Population and Yield Estimates from California Trout Streams (Gerstung 1973)
- Flow Temperature, Solar Radiation, and Ice in Relation to Activities of Fishes in Sagehen Creek, California (Needham and Jones 1959)
- Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) (CVRWQCB 1998)

Rationale for Minimum Streamflows

The approach for evaluating and developing minimum streamflows for all Projectaffected stream reaches included the following steps, focused on the needs of the aquaticdependent biota (primarily fish, amphibians, macroinvertebrates, and riparian vegetation): (a) establishment of resource objectives for each reach, (b) evaluation of ecosystem conditions under regulated and unimpaired streamflows, (c) review of the ecosystem attributes (which are based on the resource objectives for each reach) to determine which attributes are important at different times of the year and where there may be limiting factors, (d) review of study results to develop a minimum streamflow regime for the months of below normal (BN) (or average) water years supported by study results, (e) development of minimum streamflows for the remainder of the BN water year type based on review of the natural hydrograph and study results, (f) development of streamflow regimes for other water year types using a similar process, while considering changes in precipitation/snow melt magnitude and timing, and (g) re-evaluation of the resulting minimum streamflows and adjustments to meet the interests of other parties, in particular, the hydroelectric generation interests as well as the Licensee's consumptive water delivery requirements.

Streamflow is strongly correlated with many critical physicochemical characteristics of rivers, such as channel geomorphology, water temperature, and habitat diversity, and can be considered a "master variable" that limits the distribution and abundance of riverine species (Power et al. 1996 and Poff et al. 1997). The natural, unregulated flow regime plays a critical role in sustaining native biodiversity and ecosystem integrity in rivers

(Poff et al. 1997). Higher spring flows are essential for maintaining resident native fishes in good condition for spawning and rearing (Moyle et al. 1998).

The following sections describe the minimum streamflow approach with the specific process for each reach.

<u>Evaluation of Aquatic Ecosystem Conditions Under Regulated and Unimpaired</u> Streamflows

Aquatic ecosystem conditions under existing minimum streamflows were evaluated for each Project-affected stream reach, based on a comparison with unimpaired conditions and with conditions in similar unaffected stream reaches both within the Rubicon and MFAR Basins and elsewhere in the Sierra Nevada mountains. Knowledge of existing and historical conditions was primarily based on: (a) studies conducted related to hydrology, geomorphology, fish populations, fish habitat, amphibians, macroinvertebrates, riparian vegetation, water quality, and water temperature; (b) personal field observations; (c) pertinent literature; (d) information from other hydroelectric relicensings, and (e) professional judgment. Existing fish population data from Project-affected stream reaches were compared between sampling sites and reaches, and with existing data from similar unaffected reaches in the drainage, historical data from the same reaches, and a compilation of historical data from several Sierra Nevada mountain drainages (Gerstung 1973). Macroinvertebrate data from Project-affected stream reaches were compared between sampling sites and reaches and with data from similar unaffected reaches in the drainage.

Comparison of Regulated and Unimpaired Streamflow Data

Regulated streamflow data were compared with unimpaired streamflow data for Project-affected stream reaches over a 30-year period to determine how hydrological conditions have been affected by Project operations on a seasonal basis. The average monthly streamflow was evaluated for each stream reach. The frequency, magnitude, and duration of peak flow events were also evaluated.

Review of Ecosystem Attributes and Identification of Potential Limiting Factors

Based on review of the ecosystem attributes and hydrology data, potential limiting factors for aquatic biota (primarily fish, amphibians, and macroinvertebrates) were identified under both unimpaired and regulated streamflow conditions. Examples of limiting factors include: low summer streamflows under unimpaired conditions, water temperatures that are too warm (according to the Basin Plan) or too cold, flow fluctuations caused by Project operations, reduced winter/spring streamflows, and delayed or lack of spring runoff under Project operations. Potential improvements were identified to restore the aquatic ecosystem as close as possible to a natural condition while addressing hydroelectric generation and recreation interests. The following factors were considered while developing minimum streamflows: (a) a resource management emphasis on native species (particularly rainbow trout, foothill yellow-legged frogs, western pond turtles, and hardhead), (b) the importance of mimicking the natural hydrograph for the protection of overall ecosystem function and individual target biota

(for example, amphibians and riparian vegetation), (c) maintenance of cold water and transitional habitats where appropriate, (d) maintenance of beneficial water quality conditions, (e) connectivity of flows above and below Project features, (f) preservation of geologic integrity, (g) recreational opportunities, (h) hydroelectric operations, (i) constumptive water deliveries, and (j) other resource objectives listed above.

Development of a Range of Minimum Streamflows to Protect Aquatic Resources

Minimum streamflows were developed on a seasonal and monthly basis to protect aquatic resources, recognizing that higher flows than the minimum streamflows (including natural peak flow events) may occur at times due to tributary accretion, storm runoff, fall releases, and snowmelt runoff. Results of the various studies listed above were used as tools in developing the minimum streamflows. Generally, because spring is a very important time of year for breeding, spawning, and other ecosystem processes, results of the various streamflow studies were used to establish springtime minimum streamflows. The springtime flows were usually designed to provide habitat levels from 80 to 100 percent of optimum weighted usable area (WUA) for the various life stages of rainbow trout in wetter water year types when adequate flow is available, although this varied at times due to the importance of other ecological objectives occurring within specific reaches. Once springtime flows were developed, emphasis was placed on developing streamflow regimes that mimicked the natural hydrograph as much as possible for overall protection of the aquatic ecosystem, although this was not always followed due to the importance of other ecological objectives or other objectives within specific reaches.

Streamflow regimes for drier water year types were developed following a pattern similar to that of the wetter water year types but generally providing habitat levels closer to 80 percent of optimum WUA for the various life stages of rainbow trout, and with careful consideration of flow characteristics offering protections for FYLF life stages (velocity and temperature) in those reaches which support known populations. This also varies at times due to the importance of other ecological objectives within specific reaches.

In some instances, flows vary from these patterns in an effort to meet hydroelectric generation or reservoir level objectives in specific reaches. In all cases, there may be variations in this process due to ecological objectives within a specific reach.

As streamflows were developed for each reach, strong consideration of the streamflows in the reach above and contributions from other hydroelectric projects in the basins were considered, and connectivity between streamflows above and below Project facilities was maintained wherever possible.

The following steps describe how minimum streamflows were developed for each season.

<u>High Flow Spring Period</u>: Primary considerations during this period included spawning rainbow trout, channel maintenance, sediment and large woody debris transport, and riparian habitat conditions. Spring is a critical time for fisheries reproduction and setting the stage for amphibian life stage activity for reproduction in late spring and early summer. During spring months it is important to have adequate flow and water

temperatures for trout and hardhead spawning. Existing streamflows during non-spill periods are substantially less than unimpaired conditions, potentially affecting aquatic biota and fluvial geomorphology processes. Increased minimum streamflow levels were included in the new streamflow regimes based on providing improved rainbow trout spawning and rearing at the 80-100 percent range of optimum WUA where possible and for riparian habitat. The concept of providing pulse flow events (see Rationale for Pulse Flows) in combination with minimum streamflows and naturally occurring peak flows or spill flows to provide for channel maintenance, sediment and large woody debris transport, and riparian habitat was included .

Late Summer and Early Fall: The relatively low streamflows that naturally occur during this period create limiting factors to aquatic biota such as reduced living space and potentially warm water temperatures. In reaches with upstream storage reservoirs, existing minimum streamflows provided by the licensee vary from base flow over unimpaired conditions in most water year types. In reaches without upstream storage, new minimum streamflows will allow for a closer representation of unimpaired base flow conditions. In general, where deemed necessary, the existing minimum streamflows (or flows of at least a similar magnitude) during late summer/early fall were included in the new streamflow regimes based on overall augmentation/maintenance values relative to unimpaired conditions, rearing suitability for rainbow trout, temperature control, and metamorphosing foothill yellow-legged frog tadpoles. In reaches with foothill yellow-legged frogs, during the period from approximately June through September, it was important to maintain a fairly stable flow (without substantial fluctuations) and a temperature at or above 17°C (daily average) for tadpole rearing and successful metamorphosis.

Late Fall/Winter: The remainder of the year was considered a transition period between the low-flow late summer/early fall period and the high-flow spring period. Existing streamflows during the late fall/winter are lower than unimpaired conditions and lack the typical transition pattern provided by the natural hydrograph. Minimum streamflows for this transition period were included to bridge the gap between low-flow and high-flow periods in a step-wise fashion and thus mimic the pattern of the natural hydrograph, although there are variations in some reaches to meet other objectives. Development of minimum streamflows during the transition period also took into consideration the occurrence of accretion flows (including peak flow events). Flows at this time are important to provide overwintering habitat for trout. Trout are known to feed in winter, and actively catch macroinvertebrates, even when water is between 32° and 33°F (Needham and Jones 1959).

Hydrology Evaluation for Minimum Streamflows

The information in hydrologic data bases provided by the Licensee (PCWA 2011d) was used as baseline information for comparison of daily average impaired and regulated streamflows for the 30-year period of record. Annual streamflow hydrographs were constructed for each Project-affected reach using the daily average streamflow data generated by the licensee. Components of the hydrograph (spring, summer, fall, and winter baseflow; fall and winter storm runoff; and ascending and descending limbs of the snowmelt hydrograph) that relate to each of the ecosystem attributes were examined for:

(a) comparison of the regulated and unimpaired streamflows and (b) indications of the typical magnitude of high and low streamflows for each time of the year.

The licensee and resource agencies developed an operations model to help evaluate and understand the effects of various streamflow and reservoir elevation target alternatives. The licensee also provided detailed information on the physical features and operating criteria for each of the Project facilities. Using the model, the resource agencies and other relicensing participants were able to view the impacts of the streamflow and reservoir elevation target alternatives within the bounds of the historic natural water balance in the system.

Aquatic Ecosystem Re-Evaluation of Minimum Streamflows

Once the minimum streamflows were reviewed using the operations model, adjustments were made to individual values to address site-specific considerations at various locations and to balance the minimum streamflows with other objectives, including hydroelectric generation, consumptive water deliveries, angling opportunities, reservoir levels, and recreational streamflows.

Duncan Creek Below Duncan Diversion Dam

Ecological objectives for Duncan Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam are to provide habitat for native fish and to establish some similarity to the unimpaired hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

Both rainbow trout and brown trout are present in Duncan Creek. During surveys in 2007 and 2008, trout per mile ranged from 3,173-3,468, with an average of 3,346 trout per mile. Biomass of trout in Duncan Creek averaged 54 lbs/acre (ranging from 42-69 lbs/acre) (PCWA 2010g).

To establish minimum streamflows for Duncan Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam, the resource agencies determined that some similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period.

Based on the ecosystem objectives for Duncan Creek below Duncan Diversion Dam, the period mid-March to June was determined to be important for rainbow trout spawning. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed (Table M-1A, PCWA 2010a), and based on this analysis, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning was equal to 33 cfs, while 24 cfs will provide 97 percent of spawning WUA. To conserve water and still protect spawning habitat, an incremental adjustment to offer close to optimum spawning habitat at 97 percent of WUA was made, and the minimum flow for the spring run-off period was set at 24 cfs in the wetter water year types (Wet and AN). Summer flow in Duncan Creek diminishes under the natural hydrograph, and this decrease in minimum flow has been replicated during June. To maintain any natural wetting of the channel below Duncan Diversion Dam in mid- to late-summer, the Licensee will cease all diversions from July through September.

Principles used to design Wet Year minimum flows have been applied in the development of Below Normal, Dry and Critical Dry water year minimum flow regimes, with considerations for limited hydrology under these drier conditions.

The Duncan Creek Diversion impounds water year-round, although the elevation of the pool can decrease 5 feet under low flow summer/fall conditions. When full, it is also relatively small (2.2 acres; 20 ac-ft). The licensee has proposed, in their FLA to modify the diversion dam to be a self-cleaning, stream-bottom intake. A concrete retaining wall and sloped wedge-wire screen will be constructed on the upstream side of the existing ogee dam/spillway of the diversion, and it is anticipated that sediment will fill behind the dam to restore riverine conditions. The top (crest) of the sloped wedge-wire screen will be 3.1 feet higher than the existing dam (the bottom of the slope screen will be at the elevation of the existing dam). The licensee has also proposed to continue its practice of not diverting during the summer, and there will be no diversions out of Duncan Creek during July, August, and September.

Rainbow trout and brown trout are present in Duncan Creek diversion pool. Fish sampling results for the diversion pool indicated very low numbers of fish (<15 observed) (PCWA 2010g). The physical habitat changes described above that will occur to the Duncan Creek diversion pool are not anticipated to affect fish populations in Duncan Creek compared to existing conditions due to the relatively minor changes to physical habitat relative to the total amount of habitat available to fish in these streams. The new diversion pools will become much shallower and more riverine. The area footprint of the new diversion pools will remain approximately similar to the existing diversion pools. The water surface of the new diversion pool will be 3.1 feet higher than the existing diversion pool. Once the diversion pool is aggraded, sediment moving downstream will pass over the diversion screen and downstream. The reduction in pool habitat that occurs is expected to have negligible effects because: (1) very little pool habitat currently exists under summer/fall low flow conditions; (2) abundant pool habitat exists throughout Duncan Creek; and (3) the pool habitat that was lost would be replaced with riverine habitat.

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen in the the diversion pool will be the same as the temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the inflowing streams. Dissolved oxygen under existing conditions was 7.0 mg/L and greater. This is consistent with the Basin Plan objective of 7.0 mg/L (CVRWQCB 1998). The new flows and facility modifications will maintain temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations similar to existing conditions.

Modifications to the Duncan Diversion could potentially affect entrainment of fish and fish populations in Duncan Creek and is one of the locations that could result in fish translocation or mortality through Project.

The number of days (duration) and years that the 5-year recurrence flows and the gravel initiation of motion flows occur (frequency) would be reduced when compared to the existing condition. However, the number of days/years these flow events would occur is sufficient to maintain low fine sediment content in pools and spawning gravels equivalent to the existing condition. In part, this is due to the low fine sediment supply present in

the system. A Geomorphology/Riparian Monitoring Plan that is being developed will be used to document fine sediment conditions in Duncan Creek. A report summarizing the data collected each monitoring period will be prepared by the licensee and distributed to the resource agencies for review and comment. Based on the results of the monitoring and/or comments received during the review process, the licensee and the resource agencies may meet to discuss the results.

The modifications to the Duncan Diversion will enhance sediment supply and particularly gravels that will pass downstream of this facility rather than being captured.

The continued practice of not diverting during summer should result in no change in the summer/fall rearing habitat for rainbow trout adult or juveniles.

Middle Fork American River Below French Meadows Reservoir Dam

Ecological objectives for Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam are to provide habitat for native fish and to establish some similarity to the unimpaired hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

Both rainbow trout and brown trout are present in this stretch of the Middle Fork American River. Water temperatures in the upper two-thirds of the river allow for cooler water temperatures throughout the summer from flows coming out of the dam. During surveys in 2007 and 2008, trout per mile ranged from 924-2798, with an average of 1,662 trout per mile. Biomass of trout in this reach of the Middle Fork American River averaged 29 lbs/acre (ranging from 18-42 lbs/acre).

To establish minimum streamflows for Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam, the resource agencies determined that some similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period.

Based on the ecosystem objective for Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam, the period mid-March to June was determined to be important for rainbow trout spawning. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed (Table M-5A, PCWA 2010a), and this analysis shows that, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning is provided by a 30 cfs, flow, while 20 cfs is predicted to give 95 percent of spawning WUA. With incremental reductions from the optimum, a 20 cfs discharge this was set as the minimum flow for spring months of the wetter water year types (Wet and AN). The summer hydrograph for the Middle Fork American River has a declining limb, and this decrease in minimum flow has been replicated during June, July, August and September. Winter flows in wetter years are established to maintain a wetted perimeter for support of the benthic community and to provide for greater than 50 percent WUA for adult rainbow trout holding habitat; although WUA analysis emphasizes spring/summer holding requirements, minimum thresholds to sustain biological behavior are achieved and accretion flow will contribute to this winter flow condition. Drier year (Below Normal, Dry, Cricital Dry) minimum flow schedules are established using principles similar to

that of the wetter years, but with recognition that precipation and resulting hydrology is reduced and seasonal changes may occur earlier in the year.

Middle Fork American River Below Middle Fork Interbay Dam

A primary objective in Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam is to provide habitat for healthy foothill yellow-legged frog and hardhead populations. Other objectives are to provide temperatures that allow for management of native fish and address FYLF breeding and rearing, and to establish some similarity to the natural hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

Both rainbow trout and brown trout are present in this stretch of the Middle Fork American River. Water temperatures in the upper two-thirds of the river allow for cooler water temperatures throughout the summer from flows coming out of the dams. During surveys in 2007 and 2008, trout per mile ranged from 372-456, with an average of 414 trout per mile. Biomass of trout in this reach of the Middle Fork American River averaged 11 lbs/acre (ranging from 9-14 lbs/acre).

To establish minimum streamflows for Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam and to address the primary ecological objectives described above, the resource agencies determined that some similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period. During the period from approximately June through September, it was important to maintain a fairly stable flow (without substantial fluctuations) and a temperature at or above 17°C (daily average) for foothill yellow-legged frog tadpole rearing and successful metamorphosis.

In above normal and wet years, summer minimum flows were increased to provide habitable summer water temperatures for trout in half of the water years. The upper half to two-thirds of the reach maintains cool water temperatures for trout year round in all water years, because of the cold water coming out of the bottom of French Meadows Dam and into Interbay.

Based on the ecosystem objectives for the Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam, the period mid-March to June was determined to be important for hardhead spawning and foothill yellow-legged frog breeding as well as habitat to support rainbow trout. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. An attempt was made to replicate the shape and timing of the natural hydrograph. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed for trout (PCWA 2010a), and based on this analysis, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning was equal to 75 cfs, and 65 cfs was set as the minimum flow for this period in the wetter water year types.

Foothill yellow-legged frog habitat in this reach is limited by suitable habitat and cool water temperatures. The best suitable breeding habitat occurred primarily in the lower one-third of the reach in the boulder-protected margins of runs and cobble-dominated pool tailouts where FYLFs were observed during surveys. Foothill yellow-legged frog 2D modeling was used to determine the streamflow which provided adequate suitable

habitat for reproduction. In this nine mile reach, water temperatures are cold when first coming out of Interbay, and by the time the water reaches the bottom of the reach the water warms an average of 8 degrees in June and 11 degrees in August under the current flows (PCWA 2011a). Foothill yellow-legged frogs have been shown to begin breeding at water temperatures of 17 degrees C and warmer; therefore, water temperature modeling was used to determine the minimum streamflows needed to start breeding with at least 17 degrees C in June (or late May). For adequate tadpole maturation over the summer and successful metamorphosis, stream flows were chosen to maintain water temperatures warmer than 17 degrees C.

The new minimum flows are expected to maintain the current upstream distribution/ abundance of FYLF in the Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam. During CD, Dry, and BN water year types when water temperature modeling showed that changes to minimum flows could affect water temperature (PCWA 2011a), new summer minimum flows were set to approximately maintain the existing location of the 17°C water temperature transition zone in the Middle Fork American River below Middle Fork Interbay Dam. Minimal change to the summer water temperature is expected to occur. Surveys and water temperature monitoring will be used to monitor FYLF (PCWA 2011b) and (PCWA 2011c).

Flows with water temperatures suitable for foothill yellow-legged frogs also provide the best habitat for hardhead. Hardhead were found within the lower one-half mile of the reach below a natual barrier.

Rubicon River Below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam

A primary objective in Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam is to provide habitat for healthy foothill yellow-legged frog and hardhead populations. Other objectives are to: provide temperatures that allow for management of healthy native fish populations in accordance with the objectives in CDFG's Rubicon River Wild Trout Management Plan and to address FYLF breeding and rearing requirements, and to establish some similarity to the natural hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

Rubicon River is both a rainbow and brown trout fishery. Water temperatures in the upper half of the river allow for cooler water temperatures throughout the summer from flows coming out of the bottom of Hell Hole Reservoir. During surveys in 2007 and 2008, trout per mile ranged from 204-1,944, with an average of 1,075 trout per mile. An average of 74 percent of the trout were young of the year. Biomass of trout in this reach of the Rubicon River averaged 15 lbs/acre (ranging from 7-23 lbs/acre) (PCWA 2010g).

To establish minimum streamflows for Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir Dam and to address the primary ecological objectives described above, the resource agencies determined that some similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period. During the period from approximately June through September, it was important to maintain a fairly stable flow (without substantial fluctuations) and a temperature at or above 17°C (daily average) for foothill yellow-legged frog tadpole rearing and successful metamorphosis. Water temperatures in the

Rubicon River in August under unimpaired flow conditions pre-project were in the 20 degrees C range. Summer flows were designed to mimic the natural aquatic regime, and are expected to maintain between 17-20 degrees C. This foothill yellow-legged frog population on the Rubicon River is an unusually robust population for a regulated river in the Sierras, thus is very important to maintain the health of this population for the survival of this sensitive species.

Foothill yellow-legged frog sightings and habitat in this reach are found between Oxbow Reservoir and Ellicotts Bridge to River Mile 20.9 (at 3.350 feet in elevation). During surveys, the Rubicon River had the highest density of foothill yellow-legged frog egg masses in the project (19 egg masses/km in the three lower sites) (PCWA 2008a). Foothill yellow-legged frog 2D modeling was used to determine the streamflow which provided adequate suitable habitat for reproduction.

In this 30 mile reach, water temperatures are cold when first coming out of Hell Hole Dam, and by the time the water reaches the bottom of the reach the water warms an average of 6 degrees in June and 14 degrees in August under the current flows (PCWA 2011a). Foothill yellow-legged frogs have been shown to begin breeding at water temperatures of 17 degrees C and warmer; therefore, water temperature modeling was used to determine the minimum instream flows needed to start breeding with at least 17 degrees C in May to June. For adequate tadpole maturation over the summer and successful metamorphosis, stream flows were chosen to maintain water temperatures warmer than 17 degrees C. Stream reaches, such as the Rubicon River, with daily average water temperatures above 60 degrees F for at least three to four months, especially in the drier water years, provides for successful rearing and metamorphosis of tadpoles.

Hardhead, a FS sensitive species, are found from Oxbow Reservoir to River Mile 6.0 on the Rubicon (to a natural barrier). Since hardhead are a warm-water species, flows for foothill yellow-legged frog reproduction provide water within suitable temperatare range for hardhead. Prescribed flows in the drier water years were specifically designed to benefit the warm-water sensitive species. Higher flows were prescribed during the above normal and wet years to allow a greater wetted perimeter for trout during these higher water years when foothill yellow-legged frog reproduction is generally not as successful.

Based on the ecosystem objectives for the Rubicon River, the period mid-March to June was determined to be important for rainbow trout spawning and May through June for foothill yellow-legged frog breeding. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). An attempt was made to replicate the shape and timing of the natural hydrograph. To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed (Table M-9A and Table M-10A, PCWA 2010a), and based on this analysis, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning is achieved with the release of 86 cfs, while 60 cfs will provide approximately 95 percent spawning WUA. Conservation of water and protection for other resource attributes support the use of flow that provides 95 percent of spawning WUA for rainbow trout and this was used to set the minimum flow for the spring period in the wetter water year types (Wet and Above Normal). The minimum flow hydrograph was built with a

descending limb gradually decreasing summer flow from June through September to hold lower flows through fall and winter when accretion contributes to the wetted channel.

Minimum flow schedules for drier years (Below Normal, Dry, and Critical Dry) used similar principles to address fishery attributes, while emphasizing protection necessary for biologically sensitive life stages of the FYLF. Amphibian populations have been documented throughout the lower Rubicon and upstream of river mile 20 on the Rubicon River, and flow characteristics of depth, velocity and temperature have been considered in establishing minimum flows during the periods of oviposition, egg mass incubation, and tadpole maturation (June through September). Instream Flow analysis in the lower Rubicon (Tables M-10A and M-10B, PCWA 2010a), informs of FYLF egg mass and tadpole habitat WUAs based on depth and velocity, where 85 percent of egg mass WUA can be achieved with 20 cfs and approximately 71 percent WUA for tadpole habitat WUA is provided at 20 cfs. A 15 cfs flow provides approximately 90 percent WUA for egg masses and about 78 percent WUA for tadpole habitat. New minimum flows for drier water years consider the need to avoid fluctuation in monthly minimum flow during the FYLF sensitive period of June through September, and establish steady flows of 20 cfs and 15 cfs to provide WUA.

The new minimum flows are expected to maintain the current upstream distribution/ abundance of FYLF in the Rubicon River. During CD, Dry, and BN water year types when water temperature modeling showed that changes to minimum flows could affect water temperature (PCWA 2011a), new summer minimum flows were set to approximately maintain the existing location of the 17°C water temperature transition zone in the Rubicon River. Minimal change to the summer water temperature is expected to occur. Surveys and water temperature monitoring will be used to monitor FYLF and its habitat (PCWA 2011b), and (PCWA 2011c).

North Fork Long Canyon Creek Below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam

Ecological objectives for North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam are to provide habitat for native fish and to establish some similarity to the unimpaired hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

North Fork Long Canyon is a rainbow trout fishery, as no brown trout were observed. Biomass and trout per mile were highest of any stream in the project. During surveys in 2007, trout per mile was calculated to be 4,777 (61 percent) of the trout were young of the year. Biomass of trout in the North Fork Long Canyon was 71 lbs/acre (PCWA 2010g).

To establish minimum streamflows for North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Dam, the resource agencies determined that some similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period.

Based on the ecosystem objectives for North Fork Long Canyon Creek below North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Dam, the period mid-March to June was determined to be

important for rainbow trout spawning. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). An attempt has been made to replicate the shape and timing of the natural hydrograph. To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed (Table M-2A, PCWA 2010a), and based on this analysis, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning was available with release of approximately 27 cfs while 80 percent spawning WUA is provided with 11-12 cfs. A spawning flow of 11 cfs was set as the minimum flow for April and May in the wetter water year types. (Wet, Above Normal. Summer flow in North Fork Long Canyon Creek diminishes under the natural hydrograph, and this decrease in minimum flow has been replicated during June. To maintain any natural wetting of the channel below North Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam in mid- to latesummer, the Licensee will cease all diversions from July through September. Minimum flows of 2 cfs (or natural stream flow) are set for fall and winter months when precipitation provides surface flow and accretions. Principles used to design wetter year minimum flows have been applied in the development of Dry and Critical Dry water year minimum flow regimes, with considerations for limited hydrology under these drier conditions. Minimum spring spawning flows of 10 cfs are set to maintain 75 percent of spawning WUA for trout in Below Normal and Dry years and 6 cfs provides greater than 50 percent spawning WUA in the Critical Dry years.

The North Fork Long Canyon creek diversion pool under existing conditions is essentially dewatered during the summer, with the creek winding through a short section of pool bed under low flow conditions. The diversion pool is very small (<1.0 acres) when full. The licensee has proposed, in their FLA to modify the diversion dam to be a self-cleaning, stream-bottom intake. A concrete retaining wall and sloped wedge-wire screen will be constructed on the upstream side of the existing ogee dam/spillway of the diversion. The top (crest) of the sloped wedge-wire screen will be 1.3 feet higher than the existing dam (the bottom of the slope screen will be at the elevation of the existing dam). The licensee has also proposed to continue its practice of not diverting during the summer, and there will be no diversions out of North Fork Long Canyon Creek during July, August, and September.

Rainbow trout are present in North Fork Long Canyon Creek diversion pool. Fish sampling results for the diversion pool indicated very low numbers of fish (<15 observed)

(PCWA 2010g). The physical habitat changes described above that will occur to the North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion are not anticipated to affect fish populations in North Fork Long Canyon Creek compared to existing conditions due to the relatively minor changes to physical habitat relative to the total amount of habitat available to fish in these streams. The new diversion pools will become much shallower and more riverine. The area footprint of the new diversion pools will remain approximately similar to the existing diversion pools. The water surface of the new diversion pool will be 1.3 feet higher than the existing diversion pool. Once the diversion pool is aggraded, sediment moving downstream will pass over the diversion screen and downstream. The reduction in pool habitat that occurs is expected to have negligible effects because: (1) very little pool habitat currently exists under summer/fall low flow conditions; (2)

abundant pool habitat exists throughout North Fork Long Canyon Creek; and (3) the pool habitat that was lost would be replaced with riverine habitat.

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen in the the diversion pool will be the same as the temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the inflowing streams. Dissolved oxygen under existing conditions was 7.0 mg/L and greater. This is consistent with the Basin Plan objective of 7.0 mg/L (CVRWQCB 1998). The new flows and facility modifications will maintain temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations similar to existing conditions.

Modifications to the North Fork Long Canyon Diversion could potentially affect entrainment of fish and fish populations in North Fork Long Canyon Creek and is one of the locations that could result in fish translocation or mortality through Project.

The number days and years that the 5-year recurrence flows and the gravel initiation of motion flows occur would be reduced when compared to the existing condition. However, the number of days/years these flow events would occur is sufficient to maintain low fine sediment content in pools and spawning gravels equivalent to the existing condition. In part, this is due to the low fine sediment supply present in the system. A Geomorphology/Riparian Monitoring Plan that is being developed will be used to document fine sediment conditions in North Fork Long Canyon Creek. A report summarizing the data collected each monitoring period will be prepared by the licensee and distributed to the resource agencies for review and comment. Based on the results of the monitoring and/or comments received during the review process, the licensee and the resource agencies may meet to discuss the results.

The modifications to the North Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion will enhance sediment supply and particularly gravels that will pass downstream of this facility rather than being captured.

The continued practice of not diverting during summer should result in no change in the summer/fall rearing habitat for rainbow trout adult or juveniles.

South Fork Long Canyon Creek Below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam

Ecological objectives for South Fork Long Canyon below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam are to provide habitat for native fish and to establish some similarity to the unimpaired hydrograph to restore ecological processes altered by the Project.

In general, South Fork Long Canyon is considered a rainbow trout nursery with an average of 59 percent (range of 46-74 percent) of the trout being young of the year at eight surveyed locations. No brown trout were observed. During surveys in 2007-2009 trout per mile ranged from 2,189-5,035, with an average of 3,529 trout per mile. Biomass of trout in the South Fork Long Canyon averaged 54 lbs/acre (PCWA 2010g).

To establish minimum streamflows for South Fork Long Canyon Creek below South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Dam, the resource agencies determined that some

similarity to an unimpaired hydrograph was important, especially during the spring spawning period.

Based on the ecosystem objectives for South Fork Long Canyon Creek below South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion Dam, the period mid-March to June was determined to be important for rainbow trout spawning. This period is also when the hydrograph peaks according to the hydrology report (PCWA 2011d). To set the minimum streamflow for this period, the unimpaired hydrology was reviewed, and the peak was determined by water year type. The Instream Flow analysis was reviewed (Table M-3A, PCWA 2010a), and based on this analysis, 100 percent of the WUA for rainbow trout spawning can be attained with flow releases of 30-35 cfs, while 14 cfs will provide 80 percent spawning WUA. Recognizing the need to conserve water for all uses, spawning flows incrementally below optimum were set for April and May, with 14 cfs minimum flow providing 80 percent WUA in Wet and Above Normal years and 12 cfs minimum flow giving approximately 75 percent spawning WUA in Below Normal and Dry water year types. Summer flow in South Fork Long Canyon Creek diminishes under the natural hydrograph, and this decrease in minimum flow has been replicated during June. To maintain natural wetting of the channel below South Fork Long Canyon Diversion Dam in mid- to late-summer, the Licensee will cease all diversions from July through September. Minimum flows of 5 cfs (or natural stream flow) are set for fall and winter months when precipitation provides surface flow and accretions. Principles used to design the more normal-to-wet year flow regimes have been applied in the development of Critical Dry water year minimum flows, with consideration for limits of the drier hydrologic conditions.

The South Fork Long Canyon Creek diversion pool under existing conditions is essentially dewatered during the summer, with the creek winding through a short section of pool bed under low flow conditions. The diversion pool is very small (<1.0 acres) when full. The licensee has proposed, in their FLA to modify the diversion dam to be a self-cleaning, stream-bottom intake. A concrete retaining wall and sloped wedge-wire screen will be constructed on the upstream side of the existing ogee dam/spillway of the diversion. The top (crest) of the sloped wedge-wire screen will be 3.0 feet higher than the existing dam (the bottom of the slope screen will be at the elevation of the existing dam). The licensee has also proposed to continue its practice of not diverting during the summer, and there will be no diversions out of South Fork Long Canyon Creek during July, August, and September.

Rainbow trout are present in South Fork Long Canyon Creek diversion pool. Fish sampling results for the diversion pool indicated very low numbers of fish (<15 observed) (PCWA 2010g). The physical habitat changes described above that will occur to the South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion are not anticipated to affect fish populations in South Fork Long Canyon Creek compared to existing conditions due to the relatively minor changes to physical habitat relative to the total amount of habitat available to fish in these streams. The new diversion pools will become much shallower and more riverine. The area footprint of the new diversion pools will remain approximately similar to the existing diversion pools. The water surface of the new diversion pool will be 3.0 feet higher than the existing diversion pool. Once the diversion pool is aggraded, sediment moving downstream will pass over the diversion

screen and downstream. The reduction in pool habitat that occurs is expected to have negligible effects because: (1) very little pool habitat currently exists under summer/fall low flow conditions; (2) abundant pool habitat exists throughout South Fork Long Canyon Creek; and (3) the pool habitat that was lost would be replaced with riverine habitat.

Water temperature and dissolved oxygen in the the diversion pool will be the same as the temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the inflowing streams. Dissolved oxygen under existing conditions was 7.0 mg/L and greater. This is consistent with the Basin Plan objective of 7.0 mg/L (CVRWQCB 1998). The new flows and facility modifications will maintain temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations similar to existing conditions.

Modifications to the South Fork Long Canyon Diversion could potentially affect entrainment of fish and fish populations in South Fork Long Canyon Creek and is one of the locations that could result in fish translocation or mortality through Project.

The number days and years that the 5-year recurrence flows and the gravel initiation of motion flows occur would be reduced when compared to the existing condition. However, the number of days/years these flow events would occur is sufficient to maintain low fine sediment content in pools and spawning gravels equivalent to the existing condition. In part, this is due to the low fine sediment supply present in the system. A Geomorphology/Riparian Monitoring Plan that is being developed will be used to document fine sediment conditions in South Fork Long Canyon Creek. A report summarizing the data collected each monitoring period will be prepared by the licensee and distributed to the resource agencies for review and comment. Based on the results of the monitoring and/or comments received during the review process, the licensee and the resource agencies may meet to discuss the results.

The modifications to the South Fork Long Canyon Creek Diversion will enhance sediment supply and particularly gravels that will pass downstream of this facility rather than being captured.

The continued practice of not diverting during summer should result in no change in the summer/fall rearing habitat for rainbow trout adult or juveniles.

Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

The ecological objective for Middle Fork American River below Ralston Afterbay Dam is to provide spawning habitat and a nursery for native fish.

Middle Fork American River Below Oxbow Powerhouse

Ecological objectives for Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse are to increase food production to improve habitat for native fish.

Both rainbow trout and brown trout are present in the Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse. Hardhead were also found in two isolated locations in the peaking reach downstream of Ralston Afterbay. The two locations were a pool in Otter Creek at its confluence with the Middle Fork American River and an in-channel dredging pool in the Middle Fork American River at river mile 23.5 (upstream of Tunnel Chute). During surveys in 2007 and 2008, trout per mile ranged from 47-409, with an average of 217 trout per mile. Biomass of trout in this reach of the Middle Fork American River averaged 13 lbs/acre (ranging from 3-27 lbs/acre) (PCWA 2010g).

The MFP has a single peaking reach, which extends from Oxbow Powerhouse / Ralston Afterbay to the high-water mark of Folsom Reservoir. In this reach, flows fluctuate substantially to meet power demands or to support whitewater recreation.

Pulse Flows

Objectives Addressed by Pulse Flows

Aquatic Biota Objectives

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species Objectives

Macroinvertebrate Objective

Large Woody Debris Objective

Natural Hydrograph Objective

Channel Morphology and Sediment Transport Objectives

Stream Channel and Floodplain Objective

Riparian Habitat Objectives

Water Quality Objective

Water Temperature Objective

Algae Objective

Invasive Aquatic Species Objective

Reservoir Level Objective

Information Used to Establish Pulse Flows

The following information was used to establish minimum streamflows:

- Middle Fork American River Project Final License Application Exhibit E: Water Use Affected Environment (PCWA 2011d)
- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9a (PCWA 2009a)
- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9b (PCWA 2011b)

Rationale for Pulse Flows

Scheduled pulse flows are included in May of Wet and AN water year types in all stream reaches except the Middle Fork American River below Ralston Afterbay Dam and Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Powerhouse. No pulse flows are proposed in these reaches because natural high-flow events from unimpaired river inflows (North Fork of the Middle Fork American River, North Fork American River) and accretion flows from smaller watersheds in the reach provide high-flow events in the Middle Fork American River below these facilities.

The Wet and AN water year types encompass approximately 50 percent of the water years in the 33-year period of record (1975–2007) (PCWA 2011d). The existing license does not specify pulse flows, although high spring flows have occurred historically as a result of reservoir spills or inflows exceeding the capacity of diversions. The Licensee's proposal increases the number of years that pulse flows would occur in May by 71–300 percent (11–16 years during the period of record) compared to the existing license (4–7 years during the period of record) depending on the reach. This analysis includes the reservoir spill flows or stream flows that exceed diversion capacity in May.

Comparing unimpaired to existing regulated flow shows that the monthly median flows have been most altered from December through June in all of the reaches where pulse flows are provided. For example, in the Middle Fork American below French Meadows, the median monthly flows were often an order of magnitude different in Wet and Above Normal Water Years as shown below:

Median Monthly Flow as mean daily cfs: MFAR below French Meadows Reservoir

	AN WYs		Wet WYs	
	Unimpaired	Existing	Unimpaired	Existing
Dec	26	10	55	10
Jan	57	11	145	12
Feb	138	15	170	13
Mar	197	15	251	14
Apr	281	13	342	12
May	672	18	560	13
June	372	12	333	12

While spill events with recurrence intervals greater than 5 years still occur under regulated conditions in similar frequency and magnitude to unimpaired events, the table above shows that the daily flow regime has been greatly altered. The pulse flow events will return some of the missing moderate flow events (PCWA 2011d).

While the pulse flows in the MFAR are similar in magnitude to historic median monthly flows, in the Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir, the proposed pulse events are much lower in magnitude than the median monthly flows as shown below. This is due to the constraints of the release structures associated with the dam. This also illustrates the necessity for the Rubicon Release valve testing since flows closer to 600 cfs would provide flow events closer to the historic medians than releases in the range of 200 cfs (PCWA 2011d).

Median Monthly Flow as mean daily cfs: Rubicon River below Hell Hole Reservoir

	AN WYs		Wet WYs	
	Unimpaired	Existing	Unimpaired	Existing
Dec	88	13	153	15
Jan	157	13	277	17
Feb	262	14	295	19
Mar	327	14	482	21
Apr	562	14	767	22
May	1,757	22	1,601	22

June	1,281	23	1,153	24

The Licensee has also proposed that reservoir spills be down ramped at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam and French Meadows Reservoir Dam when spill occurs in May–July. In addition, at Hell Hole Reservoir Dam some spills will be extended for 4 days and then down ramped. Based on the period of record, there would be nine years of spills that would be down ramped in the licensee's proposal.

The shape of the down ramp for both pulse flows and reservoir spills provides a slowly declining hydrograph that provides riparian and other environmental/recreational benefits compared to the faster decline of spill flows under the existing condition. The down ramp was set at an average rate of approximately 1.6 inches of stage per day. For the spill-event down ramp, the same rate was used for Hell Hole Reservoir Dam. A faster down ramp (approximately twice as fast) was used below French Meadows Reservoir Dam in part because FYLF are not present in the Middle Fork American River below French Meadows Reservoir Dam. Also, riparian vegetation is sparsely distributed due to the coarse substrate and bedrock-dominated channel) (PCWA 2009a, PCWA 2011b).

Accretion flows along the length of the stream reaches further shape the declining limb (recession) of the pulse flows. The recession of the Wet and AN water year types were compared for the licensee's proposal and the unimpaired hydrology. For the most part, recessions were similar or slower than the unimpaired hydrology.

Ramping Rates/Down Ramping

Objectives Addressed by Ramping Rates/Down Ramping

Aquatic Biota Objectives

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species Objectives

Macroinvertebrate Objective

Natural Hydrograph Objective

Channel Morphology and Sediment Transport Objectives

Stream Channel and Floodplain Objective

Riparian Habitat Objectives

Water Quality Objective

Water Temperature Objective

Reservoir Level Objective

Public Safety Objective

Information Used to Establish Ramping Rates/Down Ramping

The following information was used to establish ramping rates/down ramping:

- Special-Status Amphibian and Reptile Technical Study Report (PCWA 2008a)
- Instream Flow Study Technical Report (PCWA 2010a)
- Fish Population Technical Study Report 2007-2009 (PCWA 2010g)
- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9a (PCWA 2009a)

- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9b (PCWA 2011b)
- Literature related to amphibian life cycles (Lind and Yarnell 2010, Lind 2011)
- Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Final Record of Decision (USDA 2004a)

Rationale for Ramping Rates/Down Ramping

The reaches identified to have specified ramping rates were so determined because of sensitive aquatic species, such as amphibians or spawning trout that may be affected by abrupt changes in flow. In regulated rivers, spring down-ramping rates often do not follow natural snowmelt recession patterns (Lind 2010). In the Sierra Nevada, FYLF have evolved with and are adapted to the snowmelt recession period and typically lay eggs during the middle to the tail end of that period (Lind 2011). The primary risks during the snow-melt recession period (from pulse flow or spill) are scouring and stranding. Scouring can occur if water flows increase substantially after eggs have been laid. Stranding can occur if recession rates are too fast relative to water depth and egg development time.

The proposed ramping rates will provide fish additional time over the existing conditions to move from portions of the channel that will become disconnected from the main channel or that will become dewatered. Spill flows from the reservoirs (Hell Hole and French Meadows/Middle Fork Interbay) could disrupt breeding, destroy egg masses, and flush tadpoles of Foothill Yellow Legged Frog downstream. During the recession of spill flows tadpoles could be stranded. The proposed down ramp of spill flows will reduce potential stranding of tadpoles. Table 8.5-7 in the FLA also shows that there is a slight reduction in the number of spill events below Hell Hole Reservoir that would affect FYLF under the new license conditions compared to existing conditions.

After a spill, pulse flow or recreational flow, the flow differences between high and low are substantial so the ramping of the flow would preclude abrupt flow fluctuations that may adversely affect aquatic species or dislodge them from their preferred habitats. The differences in flow discharge between months with minimum streamflow releases are not as substantial but can affect sensitive reproductive stages of some aquatic species, such as FYLFs in reaches where they reside. The ramping rates proposed are typical for other hydropower projects in the Sierras and thus have a history of success.

Section 08-05 Fish/Aquatics Environmental Effects of the FLA provides specific information on the effects of the proposed ramping or reservoir spills below Hell Hole Dam and French Meadows Dam when spill occurs in May–July. The proposed ramping rates result in an additional nine years (in the 33-year period of record) where spills are down ramped that would not have been down ramped under existing conditions.

The shape of the down ramp for both environmental pulse flows and reservoir spills provides a slowly declining hydrograph that provides riparian and other environmental/recreational benefits compared to the faster decline of spill flows under the existing condition. The down ramp was set at an average rate of approximately 1.6 inches of stage per day. For the spill-event down ramp, the same rate was used for Hell Hole Dam; but a faster down ramp (approximately twice as fast) was used below French Meadows Dam due, in part, to the different environmental resource conditions (e.g.,

FYLF are not present in the river reach between French Meadows Dam and Middle Fork Interbay; riparian vegetation is sparsely distributed due to the coarse substrate and bedrock-dominated channel).

As noted in Section 08-05 of the FLA, accretion flows along the length of the bypass reaches further shape the recession of the pulse flows. The recession of the wet and above normal water unimpaired hydrographs were compared to the hydrograph resulting from the proposed ramping rate. The proposed ramp resulted in similar recessions to unimpaired recession in the downstream reaches of the Middle Fork and Rubicon Rivers.

In addition to aquatic organisms, riparian vegetation can be negatively impacted by recession limbs that are too steep. As noted in Section 08-08 Riparian Affected Environment of the FLA, literature indicates that seedlings typically survive down ramping rates that range from 0.4 to 1.6 inches per day. The proposed rate provides these conditions. On the larger bypass reaches, particularly immediately below the large dams, and in Duncan Creek, the FLA notes that the current recession rates of the spring high flow (early May to late June), were typically faster than those identified in the literature (2–3+ inches per day).

Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for the Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

Objectives Addressed by Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

Aquatic Biota Objectives Macroinvertebrate Objective Water Quality Objective Water Temperature Objective

Information Used to Establish Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

- Instream Flow Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010a)
- Fish Population Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010g)
- Middle Fork American River Project Final License Application, Exhibit E: Water Use Affected Environment (PCWA 2011d)
- Special-Status Amphibian and Aquatic Reptile Species Technical Study Report (PCWA 2007a)
- Water Temperature Modeling Technical Study Report (PCWA 2011a)
- Dams and Downstream Aquatic Biodiversity: potential food web consequences of hydrologic and geomorphic change (Power et al. 1995)
- Fish Health and Diversity: justifying flows for a California Stream (Moyle et al. 1998)
- Fish Population and Yield Estimates from California Trout Streams (Gerstung 1973)
- Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) (CVRWQCB 1998)

Rationale for Spawning Habitat Improvement Plan for Middle Fork American River Below Ralston Afterbay Dam

The Middle Fork American River just below Ralston Afterbay Dam is thought to be a prime location for enhancing trout spawning during spring flow releases and an opportunity to improve the young of the year recruitment into the peaking reach downstream. This location is untouched by daily fluctuating flows for recreation because of being upstream of the powerhouse. Daily flow fluctuations are not conducive to successful rainbow trout spawning, incubation, hatching, emergence, fry, and early juvenile stages (Nehring and Anderson 1993). Table AQ 2-6 of the Licensee's fish population report (PCWA 2010g) compares percent of young-of-the-year at all the quantitative sampling sites. All of the MFAR sites surveyed downstream of Ralston Afterbay resulted with no rainbow trout young of year, except one year (2007) had just 2% young of year at one site (MF14.1). These results are strikingly depauperate of young of year compared to all the other reaches.

Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures

Objectives Addressed by Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species Objectives

Maintain and restore habitat to support viable populations of TES and MIS species. Avoid impact to species designated as fully protected under FGC sections 3511(b) and 4700(b).

Protection of bald eagle habitat.

Information Used to Establish Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures

- Bald Eagle Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009h)
- Eldorado Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1990)
- Special-Status Wildlife Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009i)
- Special-Status Plants Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009b)
- Bald Eagle Management Plan (PCWA 2011f)

Rationale For Wildlife and Plant Protection Measures

The general protection measures are necessary to comply with FS management plans.

Measures are needed to ensure that Project facilities and associated recreational use do not result in bear-human interaction problems.

Migratory birds and raptors are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. Measures to ensure that Project powerlines do not result in unnecessary mortality to avian species are needed tocomply with these Acts and with general objectives of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to further the conservation of bird species of concern.

Bald eagles are protected under several existing federal laws including the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and the National Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Bald eagles are also considered a Forest Service Sensitive (FSS) species by USDA-FS. Furthermore, bald eagles are protected by the state of California as a fully protected species (FGC §3511) and as an endangered species under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Therefore, development of this Plan is required to demonstrate measures for avoidance of adverse impact to fully protected raptor species, compliance with the USFWS National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines (USDI 2007), and to facilitate issuance of any necessary permits.

The presence of bald eagles has been documented at Project facilities during field study conducted during 2007 and 2008. Surveys provide data on wintering habitat and nesting bald eagle territories known to be occupied in the vicinity of Hell Hole Reservoir, along the Rubicon River upstream of Hell Hole Reservoir, along the Rubicon River downstram of Hell Hole Reservoir, along New Orleans Gulch, and along the Middle Fork American River near Poverty Bar. (PCWA 2009h)

The Bald Eagle Management Plan has been developed to define appropriate measures to protect bald eagles during routine Project maintenance activities and from Project-related recreation during term of the new Project license. The Plan also provides measures to identify and reduce the risk of potential bald eagle electrocutions at Project powerlines. Periodic monitoring for nesting and roosting bald eagles and associated agency consultation are defined in the Plan and will serve to identify newly occupied territories within the Project boundaries. Monitoring will help to inform the FS, CDFG, and other agencies on reproductive success or natural recruitment rates for bald eagles into the Project area.

Monitoring Program

Objectives Addressed by Monitoring Program

Aquatic Biota

Fisheries

Macroinvertebrates

Reservoir Levels

Natural Hydrograph

Flow Fluctuations

Geomorphology

Riparian Habitat

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species

Recreation Streamflow

Resource Protection

Hydropower Operations

Connectivity

Water Quality

Water Temperature

Sediment Management

Large Woody Debris Recreation Management

Information Used to Establish Monitoring Program

The following information was used to establish the monitoring program and its measures:

- Recent environmental agreements (from other projects) containing adaptive management elements
- All information items listed in other sections of this Rationale Report for the conditions related to streamflows
- Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (USDA 2004)
- Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) (CVRWQCB 1998)
- Didymosphenia in Western Streams (US EPA 2005)
- Dartmouth Toxic Metals Research Program (Center of Environmental Health Sciences 2005)
- Water Quality Standards; Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California (USEPA 2000)
- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1990)
- The Natural Flow Regime (Poff et al. 1997)
- Hydrologic and Geomorphic Factors Affecting Conservation of a River-Breeding Frog (Kupferberg 1996).

Rationale for Monitoring Program

The Monitoring Program is designed to determine if the measures implemented provide the desired resource protection. It is limited to items considered to be essential for determining if the resource objectives are being met. The Monitoring Plan covers monitoring to be conducted during the term of the license. The methods and frequency of monitoring are designed to measure the response of resources to adjustments in streamflow and other conditions over the period of the license.

When dams are first built, there are first-order impacts, for example, reductions in peak flow, entrapment of sediment load, reduction in suspended sediment load, induced erosion immediately below the dam, and channel changes. These induce second-order impacts, such as changes in channels and invertebrate populations, taking place over a longer period after construction--perhaps as long as 50 years (Petts 1980). The information collected through this monitoring program will assist in gaining a better understanding of the changes to the ecosystem that are a result of the longer term impacts caused by dams and their effects on important ecological processes.

Moyle et al. (1998) and Platts and Nelson (1988) studied stream trout populations and found that they are variable in their biomass and numbers from year-to-year and within a

year. Because of these fluctuations, it is important to have multiple years of monitoring data to improve confidence with the results.

Monitoring shall be conducted to determine if the applicable ecological resource objectives are achievable and being met. Adaptive management decisions shall be based on monitoring results and other scientific information and a determination that the applicable ecological resource objectives will likely not be met without application of the adaptive management measures.

Large Woody Debris

Objectives Addressed by Large Woody Debris

Large Woody Debris Aquatic Biota Macroinvertebrates Water Quality Geomorphology

Riparian Habitat

Fisheries Production

Natural Hydrograph

Hydropower Operations

Flow Fluctuations

Recreational Streamflow

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species

Information Used to Address Large Woody Debris

The following information was used to analyze large woody debris:

- Middle Fork American River Project Final License Application Exhibit E: Water Use Affected Environment (PCWA 2011d)
- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9a (PCWA 2009a)
- Geomorphology Technical Study Report AQ-9b (PCWA 2011b)
- Fish Population Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010g)
- Diversity of juvenile anadromous salmonid assemblages in coastal Oregon basins with different levels of timber harvest (Reeves et al. 1993)

Rationale for Large Woody Debris

Large trees and snags that fall into streams play an important role in forming pools, metering sediment, trapping spawning gravels, and creating a more complex stream environment. Heavier pieces require higher flows for mobilization, and longer pieces are more likely to be caught by the stream bank and its vegetation. Reeves et al. (1993) found "that wood is a primary element influencing habitat diversity and complexity in streams. Consequences of decreased amounts of wood include loss of cover (for aquatic species) and structural complexity, decreased availability and abundance of habitat units, and reduced varieties of current velocities and other hydraulic features."

Annual Review of Ecological Conditions

Objectives Address by Annual Review of Ecological Conditions

Fisheries

Aquatic Biota

Macroinvertebrates

Large Woody Debris

Natural Hydrograph

Flow Fluctuations

Fluvial Geomorphology

Riparian Habitat

Connectivity

Water Quality

Water Temperature

Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging Plan

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species

Information Used to Address Annual Review of Ecological Conditions

See information in preceding sections.

Rationale for Annual Review of Ecological Conditions

It is the desire of the FS, CDFG, and State Water Board, along with other interested parties, to continue a level of coordination and adjustment for the Project. By having specific coordination meetings, results of surveys and other information will be reviewed. Data from ongoing monitoring will assist in making any needed changes in management of the area and in future planning. Also, because the licensees must provide an operations and maintenance plan for the year 2 weeks before this meeting, any necessary surveys or analyses for sensitive wildlife and plant and/or management indicator species can be completed.

Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging

Objectives Addressed by Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging

Natural Hydrograph

Flow Fluctuations

Geomorphology

Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging Plan

Hydropower Operations

Recreation Streamflow

Streamflow Information

Reservoir Level

Streamflow and Reservoir Level Information

Information Used to Establish Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging Condition

 Middle Fork American River Project Final License Application Exhibit E: Water Use Affected Environment (PCWA 2011d)

Rationale for Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging

The Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging Plan will specify how compliance with proposed license conditions and recommendations measures relating to streamflows and reservoir storage will be verified. The Streamflow and Reservoir Storage Gaging Plan will also provide useful information for interpretation of results of future monitoring efforts and will be used to determine the need for the implementation of adaptive management measures.

Preferred Penstock and Other Drainage Structure and Release Points

Objectives Addressed by Preferred Penstock and Other Drainage Structure and Release Points

Macroinvertebrates
Flow Fluctuations
Geomorphology
Riparian Habitat
Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species
Hydropower Operations
Water Quality

Information Used to Establish Preferred Canal Drainage Structure and Release Points Condition

Past leakage as described below.

Rationale for Preferred Penstock and Other Drainage Structure and Release Points

Several canals and other Project features are located on hillslopes or other unstable areas that may experience undesirable results in drainages and hillslopes below should there be a failure or release from the canals or other features. It is anticipated that developing a plan that designates preferred canal drainage structures and release points to be used for drainage during maintenance will minimize adverse impacts to water quality and aquatic biota. There has been a previous leak in a surge shaft that may have contributed to a land slide that affected a road and communication line for the Middle Fork Power House penstock valve house. The leak has been repaired.

Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan

Objectives Addressed by Vegetation and Invasive Plants Management Plan

Invasive Vegetation Objective

Transportation and Facilities Management Objectives
Vegetation Management and Fire Prevention Objective
Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species
Objectives
Aquatic Biota Objectives
Riparian Habitat Objectives
Water Quality Objectives
Algae Objective

Information Used to Establish Vegetation and Invasive Plants Management Plan

- Special-status Plants Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009b)
- Noxious Weed Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009c)
- Didyomosphenia geminata... an emerging invasive species that challenges conventional views on algal bloom development (Kirkwood et al. 2007)

Rationale for Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan

Vegetation management is implemented to ensure safe and effective operation of the Licensee's facilities by maintaining safe access to Project facilities including recreation facilities, protecting worker and public health and safety, and reducing fire hazards. Pest management addresses noxious weed management and rodent control. Noxious weed management complies with national, regional, and forest land management direction and contributes to ecological condition. The purpose of rodent control is to protect the structural integrity of dams, to maintain system reliability, and to protect worker and public health and safety by preventing rodent infestations in structures.

Noxious weeds occur in the Project area. Once noxious weeds colonize an area, they can be difficult and expensive to eradicate. The Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Record of Decision (USDA 2004) requires the FS to control the spread of noxious weeds by incorporating weed prevention and control measures into ongoing management or maintenance activities that involve ground disturbance or the possibility or spreading weeds. The amendment also requires the FS to complete noxious weed inventories based on Regional protocols, evaluate treatment options relative to the risk of weed spread, and monitor noxious weed populations. The amendment also requires the FS to include weed prevention measures when amending or issuing or re-issuing permits. The noxious weed management plan condition will assist in meeting these requirements on National Forest System lands affected by the Project.

Flow regulation by dams can create a stable flow environment preferable to *Didymosphenia geminata* (Kirkwood et al. 2007). It has a preference in lower discharge velocities and less variation in discharge. Its presence can result in dense algal blooms that block sunlight and disrupt ecological processes, causing a decline in native plant and animal life. The exact pathway is unknown, but it spreads easily through contaminated boats and fishing gear.

RECREATION AND VISUAL QUALITY

Applicable Sections

Recreation Plan
Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives
Recreational Streamflows
Visual Resource Management Plan

Existing Conditions

- Some of the current Project recreation facilities do not meet FS design and accessibility standards.
- Some of the Project recreation facilities are operated by a concessionaire under a special use permit that the FS must administer. These facilities provide services or opportunities for Project-related visitors.
- The licensee does not currently provide adequate assistance to address the level of project-related recreation, and the FS has not had the ability to manage all the project-related recreation in a manner that meets FS requirements.
- French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs are moderately high-elevation lakes with associated recreation developments. Public visitation is primarily during the summer and fall seasons when road access is available.
- Lake levels have not always been maintained in a consistent manner, or in cases, have not provided for Project-related visitor needs and desires.
- Boat ramps serving the project reservoirs are in need of some improvements to adequately meet visitor needs and desires.
- There is inadequate streamflow information and other information available about Project-related facilities and recreation opportunities.
- There are two stream segments that are recommended for inclusion in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System: Rubicon River between Hell Hole Reservoir and Ellicott's Bridge and Rubicon River between Ellicott's Bridge and Ralston Afterbay.
- There is a high level of recreational use in the Peaking Reach of the Middle Fork American River below Oxbow Dam, including angling, whitewater recreation, swimming and water play, and other uses.
- Angling and other forms of riparian recreation occurs along portions of all Project streams. However, ther eare only limited opportunities for whitewater recreation along these streams due to water diversion.
- Project facilities may not meet visual quality standards from the Forest Plans.

• Mining occasionally occurs within the Federal Power Project Withdrawn area where lands are reserved from entry, location, or other disposition.

Desired Conditions

- Ensure project-related facilities meet FS design and accessibility standards.
- Provide adequate boat launching facilities at Project reservoirs.
- Provide funding to assist the FS in administering special use permits and recreational uses that exist due to the Project.
- Determine the appropriate level of licensee responsibility for Project-related recreation, and ensure the licensee provides that level of assistance.
- Maintain lake levels to address recreation needs.
- Provide and maintain trails and trailheads at Project reservoirs.
- Provide streamflow and other Project information to the public or assist FS in providing such information.
- Ensure that existing and future development at or near the Project reservoirs meets Forest Plan direction.
- Protect the outstandingly remarkable values on the two recommended wild and scenic river segments.
- Ensure Project facilities meet visual quality standards.
- Monitor to ensure objectives are met.
- Market the recreation opportunities and provide public information.

Recreation Plan

Objectives Addressed by Recreation Plan

Recreation Management Objective Resource Protection Objective Recreation Design Objective

Information Used to Establish Recreation Plan

The following information was used to establish the need for a Recreation Plan:

- Middle Fork American River Project Existing Resource Information Reports (June 2006b)
- Middle Fork American River Project Description (PCWA Draft 2006a)
- Recreation Use and Facilities Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010b)
- Recreation Visitor Surveys (PCWA 2010c)
- Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (PCWA 2010d)
- Stream-based Recreation Opportunities (PCWA 2010e)
- Contingency Whitewater Boating Study (PCWA 2010f)
- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans (USDA 1990)
- Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (USDA 2004)
- Angler Focus Group Meeting Comments of Anglers (Carnozza, 2010a)
- Foothills Angler Group Facilities Project List (Carnozza, 2010b)
- Forest Service Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines (FSORAG) (USDA 2006b)
- FS Trail Accessibility Guidelines (FSTAG) (USDA 2006a)
- Feasibility Report on Middle Fork American River Project (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964)
- April 11, 2008 Letter from FERC to PCWA requesting additional information (FERC 2008)
- Principles of Recreation Resource Planning (Haas 2007)
 Memorandum of Understanding Between Placer County Water Agency and United States Forest Service for Administration, Operation, and Maintenance of Recreation Facilities on the Middle Fork American River Project on the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests (PCWA 1968)

Rationale for Recreation Implementation Plan

The purpose of the Recreation Plan is to identify the Licensee's responsibilities related to the management of recreation facilities associated with the Project over the term of the new license. This plan also identifies measures that the Licensee will implement to enhance recreation opportunities in the vicinity of the Project. The Recreation Plan consists of a number of elements, including:

- Routine Operation, Maintenance and Administration
- Heavy Maintenace of Recreation Facilities
- Specific Modifications and Enhancements of Project Recreation Facilities
- Construction of New Porject Recreation Facilities
- Periodic Recreation Use Monitoring, Visitor Surveys and Reporting
- Resource Protection Measures

- Measures to Enhance Project Recreation Opportunities including distribution of information
- Fish Stocking
- Implementation Scheduling
- Consultation
- Reporting
- Triggers for Future Enhancement Actions
- Process for Updating the Recreation Plan and Dispute Resolution

The following narratives describe the objectives and rationale for each of the principle sections of the Recreation Plan:

Routine Operation, Maintenance, and Administration

Objectives Addressed by Routine Operation, Maintenance, and Administration Measures

Recreation Management Hydropower Operations

Rationale for Routine Operation, Maintenance, and Administration Measures

Within the MFAR Project, the licensee's role in facility and infrastructure development has significantly modified the visitation within the area. As described in the Rationale for Specific Recreation Measures, below, the licensee is responsible for most of the recreation development within the MFAR Project Area or for providing the streamflows that have created or augmented the recreation opportunities. As such, providing for the operation and maintenance on these facilities and areas is a critical aspect of their recreation program.

As described in the Rationale for Specific Recreation Measures, the developed recreation facilities are either operated by a concessionaire under a permit, or directly by the FS or CDPR. There are numerous reasons for this management strategy, some of which include: (a) there are operational flexibilities attained by both the concessionaire and the respective agency by operating the facilities under the current strategy; (b) the diversity in managing authority allows for better reactions to changing budgets, personnel, and regulations; (c) the smaller facilities often cost more to operate than the revenues that can be developed at the site, making them unattractive to concessionaires (conversely, the largest facilities are operated by concessionaires because they have highest revenue earning opportunities); (d) the Service Contract Act (USDOL 1978) precludes concessionaires from operating sites where fees are not charged (and there are a number

of facilities in the MFAR Project area that are deemed to be important as either free and/or low fee sites); and (e) having uniformed FS presence would be required for public contact and visitor management, regardless of the number of concession operated facilities.

Actual operation and maintenance of the various licensee developed sites is generally conducted by seasonal staff. In addition, individual facilities and adjacent use areas are "lumped" into discrete geographic areas that serve as individual "patrol" units. This provides the most efficient means of managing the recreation at and between recreation facilities along the reservoirs and river reaches. The following section has been organized to follow this management strategy, and each individual "unit" is separately described. The total annual cost associated with the operation and maintenance of the MFAR Project related recreation is estimated to be \$410,000 as described in the summary table below.

The licensee and Agencies have tentatively reached an agreement within which funding is consolidated for FS operation, maintenance, patrol, administration, and public information. This Rationale Report displays the specific rationale separately for each of the geographic areas. The funding levels displayed in these sections total more than the amount in the settlement agreement and preliminary conditions and recommendations; however, it is believed that it is beneficial to display the rationale for each specific amount. FS believes that the funding levels in the collection agreement and/or conditions and recommendations are adequate to meet the resource objectives described above and in each of the respective sections of this Rationale Report. In the utilization of these funds for these various operation, maintenance, administration and patrol needs, FS will make efforts to be as efficient as possible, will prioritize the work to be accomplished to stay within available funding, and will look to other funding sources to accomplish the work needed to meet the recreation visitor needs and to address impacts from recreation visitors as necessary. Additionally, the Recreation Plan contains a clause that provides for review and adjustment of these funding levels in the event they are incorrect.

Summary O & M and Administration Cost Spreadsheet		
Area	Total	
Hell Hole Area	\$159,436.20	
Long Canyon Area	\$47,827.29	
French Meadows Area	\$62,286.17	
Duncan Canyon Area	\$14,094.12	

Rubicon River Area	\$4,512.48
Ralston Afterbay and Indian BarArea	\$72,375.09
Cache Rock Area	\$4,719.54
Subtotal	\$365,250.83
Administration	\$169,649.97
Total (rounded):	\$535,000

Hell Hole Recreation Area

The Hell Hole recreation facilities are operated and managed by the FS. The facilities were originally constructed by the licensee with no funds provided for operation and maintenance of these facilities. More recently, the licensee has been providing funding for necessary operation and maintenance of the recreation facilities (PCWA 2006a). The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are directly a result of the licensee's recreation development. On-site operations and maintenance by seasonal and permanent FS staff is required to meet health and safety standards, maintenance standards, and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use at the recreation facilities around Hell Hole Reservoir and generally within ¼ mile of the reservoir. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, maintain day use sites (such as concentrated use areas), respond to fires and other emergencies, assist in search and rescue, conduct facility maintenance at those recreation facilities not operated by the concessionaire, and maintain the access trails to various recreation sites in order to meet existing maintenance standards. Campground Host services are needed to provide visitor information and better visitor management. The campground host will be located at Big Meadows campground, although this host may be utilized at other campgrounds around Hell Hole Reservoir or at the facilities around French Meadows Reservoir during the post-Labor Day season. The method of providing for this service (direct employment, service contract, etc.) will be determined in consultation with the licensee. There are regular costs associated with the maintenance of these facilities, as identified in the "fixed cost" portion of the spreadsheet below. In addition to the facility maintenance, there will be shoreline cleanup and resource protection measures within and immediately adjacent to the reservoirs. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits.

Operation and Maintenance Costs			
Area: Hell Hole Area			
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total

Recreation Technician (GS-5)	120	\$162.00	\$19,440.00
Recreation Technician (GS-5)	120	\$162.00	\$19,440.00
Recreation Technician (GS-4)	62	\$150.00	\$9,300.00
Recreation Technician (GS-5)	64	\$162.00	\$10,368.00
Recreation Technician (GS-5) (public contact, counts,			
fee collection, etc.)	60	\$162.00	\$9,720.00
Maintenance Technician (GS-7)	40	\$193.00	\$7,720.00
Recreation Manager (GS-9)	80	\$240.00	\$19,200.00
Resource Officer (GS-11)	0	\$350.00	\$0.00
Resource Business Manager (GS-7)	5	\$193.00	\$965.00
Resource Specialists (GS-9)(Heritage @5 days,			
Botany @ 2 days, Interp @6 days)	13	\$240.00	\$3,120.00
Resource Specialist (GS-11)(Wildlife@2 days)	2	\$350.00	\$700.00
Campground Host	Season		Unknown
Subtotal			\$99,973.00
			φοσ,σ. σ.σσ
	Month		
Vehicles:	S	miles	Total
Recreation Patrol (2848)	8	12,000	\$9,640.00
Maintenance Technician (0707)	3	6,000	\$3,456.00
Recreation Manager (5554)	3	3,000	\$2,685.00
Recreation Officer (3780)	1	1,000	\$602.00
Resource Specialists (1592)	3	1,000	\$1,224.00
Subtotal			\$17,607.00
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles are split	hotwoon sover	al projects/area	20)
Boat replacement @\$28,000 over 10 years (not include			\$2,800.00
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	, , ,
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts:			Total
Paint, supplies, cleaning supplies, tools, materials			\$4,500.00
Signs, posts, etc			\$1,000.00
uniforms			\$500.00
garbage			\$3,000.00
toilet pumping			\$2,500.00
water permits			\$1,200.00
water testing (\$18/sample 10 samples/season)			\$200.00
Equipment maintenance (power washer, generator, etc.			\$1,000.00
Boat maintenance and fuel			\$2,500.00
Subtotal			\$16,400.00
			M 100 222 53
Sub-Total:			\$133,980.00
Overhead (19%):			\$25,456.20
Total:			\$159,436.20
CTG = Cost to Government (2010 costs)			

Long Canyon Recreation Area

The Long Canyon recreation facilities are operated and managed by the FS. These facilities consist of the Middle Meadows Group Campground and various concentrated

use areas adjacent to or accessed by project roads and facilities. The facilities were originally constructed by the licensee with no funds provided for operation and maintenance of these facilities. More recently, the licensee has been providing funding for necessary operation and maintenance of the recreation facilities (PCWA 2006a). The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are directly a result of the licensee's recreation development and project development. On-site operations and maintenance by seasonal and permanent FS staff is required to meet health and safety standards, maintenance standards, and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use at the recreation facilities in the Long Canyon Recreation area. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, conduct facility maintenance, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, maintain day use sites (such as concentrated use areas), respond to visitor-caused fires and other emergencies, and assist in search and rescue. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits.

Operation and Maintenance C Area: Long Canyon Area (inc. Middle Mea			_
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total
Recreation Technician (GS-5) (public contact	,		
CG maint, patrols)	100	\$162.00	\$16,200.00
Recreation Technician (GS-4)	6	\$150.00	\$900.00
Recreation Technician (GS-5)	2	\$162.00	\$324.00
Maintenance Technician (GS-7)	20	\$193.00	\$3,860.00
Recreation Manager (GS-9)	20	\$240.00	\$4,800.00
Resource Officer (GS-11)	0	\$350.00	\$0.00
Subtotal			\$26,084.00
	Month		
Vehicles:	S	miles	Total
Recreation Patrol (2848)	0	0	\$0.00
Maintenance Technician (0707)	5	9,000	\$5,310.00
Recreation Manager (5554)	1	1,000	\$895.00
Recreation Officer (3780)	1	1,000	\$602.00
Resource Specialists (1592)	0	0	\$0.00
Subtotal			\$6,807.00
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some veh	icles are split betw	een several projects/	areas).
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts	S :		Total
Paint, supplies, cleaning supplies, tools, mate	erials		\$2,000.00
Signs, posts, etc			\$300.00
uniforms			\$200.00
garbage			\$2,000.00
toilet pumping			\$1,000.00

water permits water testing (\$18/sample 10 samples/season) Equipment maintenance (power washer, generator, etc.	\$1,200.00 \$200.00 \$400.00
Subtotal	\$7,300.00
Sub-Total: Overhead (19%): Total:	\$40,191.00 \$7,636.29 \$47,827.29

French Meadows Recreation Area

The French Meadows recreation facilities are managed by the FS, although most operation and maintenance of facilities are conducted by a concessionaire under FS permit. The facilities were originally constructed by the licensee with no funds provided for operation and maintenance of these facilities. More recently, the licensee has been providing funding for administration of the concessionaire permit and other necessary operation and maintenance of the recreation facilities (PCWA 2006a). The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are directly a result of the licensee's recreation development. Permanent and seasonal FS staff administers the concession permit as well as assist the permittee to meet customer service and public health and safety needs (e.g. repair water systems) and maintenance standards. The FS also ensures that if resources are impacted by recreation operations or visitors that those impacts are mitigated, that recreation visitors are having a quality experience, and other services described below.

French Meadows Campground is immediately adjacent to the reservoir, and many of the sites overlook the water; this is a unique developed site recreation setting in the Middle Fork Project area. French Meadows is a relatively swallow reservoir. The following table provides specifications:

PCWA License Application, REC-3, 2011 current reservoir specifications

	Water Surface	Operating	Depth	Shorelin
	Elevation	Water Surface	(feet)	e (miles)
	(feet)	(Acres)		
Maximum	5262	1433	214	10.5
Minimum	5125	392	77	5
Difference	137 feet	73%	137	52%
Max&Min				

Minimum recorded WSE is 5158 feet

A lower operating water surface elevation increases the exposed shoreline (and potential of motorized vehicle use of the shoreline); changes the recreation setting that people would expect when paying to camp on a reservoir; and increases the potential for exposed obstacles (stumps, boulders, land masses). The recreation surveys conducted for this relicensing indicate that 61% of the people use fishing boats at French Meadows; the lower the water surface, the smaller the reservoir pool, a greater potential for conflict (PCWA 2010d and Bosely 2005). Survey participants were asked whether their recreation experience was negatively affected by reservoir water surface level; of the people who responded 41% said that their recreation experience was negatively affected by WSE (PCWA 2010c). As such, the FS advocates that reservoir water surface elevation at French Meadows is maintained as high as possible during the primary recreation season while balancing ecological needs and other uses in the watershed and project area, between Memorial Day until September 15 during Wet, Above Normal, and Below Normal water years, and until Labor Day Monday during Dry, Critically Dry, and Extreme Critical Dry years. This is to provide the public, particularly those camping and fishing, a positive recreation experience.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use at the recreation facilities around French Meadows Reservoir and generally within ¼ mile of the reservoir. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, maintain day use sites (such as concentrated use areas), respond to fires and other emergencies, assist in search and rescue, administer the concessionaire special use permit, and conduct facility maintenance at those recreation facilities not operated by the concessionaire to meet existing maintenance standards. There are regular costs associated with the maintenance of these facilities, as identified in the "fixed cost" portion of the spreadsheet below. In addition to the facility maintenance, there will be shoreline cleanup and resource protection measures within and immediately adjacent to the reservoirs. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits.

Operation and Maintenance Cost Area: French Meadows Area	S		-
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total
Recreation Technician (GS-5)	20	\$150.00	\$3,000.00
Maintenance Technician (GS-9)	20	\$300.00	\$6,000.00
Recreation Officer (GS-9)	30	\$300.00	\$9,000.00
Resource Officer (GS-11)	5	\$340.00	\$1,700.00
Fire Prev Tech/Patrol (GS-7)(fire season)	14	\$314.38	\$4,401.32
Resource Specialists (GS-9)(Heritage @5 days,	17	φο ι 4.00	Ψ+,+01.02
Botany @ 2 days, Interp @6 days)	13	\$280.00	\$3,640.00
Resource Specialist (GS-11)(Wildlife@2 days)	2	\$350.00	\$700.00
Resource Improvement Crew (i.e. maintain fuel	_	Ψ000.00	Ψ100.00
breaks around rec areas, etc)	10	\$1,500.00	\$15,000.00
Subtotal			\$43,441.32
Vehicles:	Month	miles	Total
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles a projects/areas).	re split betwe	en several	
All vehicles use and FOR addressed in Admin			
Subtotal			\$0.00
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts:			Total
Bulletin boards, posters, plumbing, etc.			\$4,000.00
Signs, posts, etc			\$1,000.00
Equipment maintenance (generator for water			,
systems)			\$1,800.00
Propane for water system generators			\$1,600.00
Concessionaire use of storage bay at Admin			
Site see below:			
Equipment maintenance (generator for power)			\$500.00
Subtotal			\$8,900.00
Sub-Total:			\$52,341.32
Overhead (19%):			\$9,944.85
Total:			\$62,286.17

Duncan Canyon Area

The Duncan Canyon area is a concentrated dispersed use area that is immediately adjacent to Duncan Creek and in proximity to the Duncan Diversion pool and facilities. There are no developed recreation facilities in this area at this time, although there is public use (based on FS staff observations and comments from the public (PCWA 2010e). This area is accessed by FS road that terminates at the diversion facilities. The licensee has been providing funding for patrol and fiduciary maintenance of the area. The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are directly related to the licensee's proposed primitive setting recreation development. On-site operations and maintenance by seasonal and permanent FS staff is required to meet health and safety standards, maintenance standards, and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use at the recreation facilities in the Duncan Canyon area. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, conduct facility maintenance, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, maintain concentrated use areas, respond to visitor-caused fires and other emergencies, and assist in search and rescue. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits.

Operation and Maintenance Costs Area: Duncan Canyon					
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total		
Fire Prev Tech/Patol (GS-7)	10	\$314.38	\$3,143.80		
Recreation Officer (GS-9)fill in as needed, supervision of GS5	10	\$300.00	\$3,000.00		
Recreation Technician (GS-5)(mid May-late		•	. ,		
Oct)	20	\$150.00	\$3,000.00		
est Duncan open-no snow-24 weeks/year					
Subtotal			\$9,143.80		
Vehicles:	Months	miles	Total		
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehi All vehicles use and FOR addressed in Admin Project Supplies, Materials and	cles are split	between several	projects/areas).		
Contracts:			Total		
Bulletin boards, posters, cleaning supplies, etc. Signs, posts, etc			\$1,000.00 \$300.00		
Garbage* Toilet pumping Equipment maintenance (power washer,			\$1,200.00		
etc)			\$200.00		

Garbage* cost is lumped with Ralston and Indian Bar Subtotal	\$2,700.00
Sub-Total:	\$11,843.80
Overhead (19%):	\$2,250.32
Total:	\$14,094.12
CTG = Cost to Government (2010 costs)	_

Rubicon River Recreation Area

The Rubicon River recreation area does not include any developed recreation facilities at this time, although there is public use at this time (based on observations by FS staff and comments from the public (PCWA 2010e). The Ellicott's Bridge River Access site provides the primary access to the Rubicon River between Hell Hole Reservoir and Ralston Afterbay. This site is used by anglers and other water-based recreationists using this bypass reach of the Rubicon River. At this time, the FS provides clean-up and visitor management at the concentrated use areas within the Rubicon River area. The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are a result of the licensee's recreation developments in the Hell Hole and French Meadows area as well as improved access to the area. In addition, the project flows have increased fish population and improved angling opportunities through higher summer flows and colder summer water temperatures, An appropriate level of on-site operations and maintenance by seasonal and permanent FS staff is required to meet health and safety standards, maintenance standards, and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use within the concentrated use area at the Ellicott's Bridge river access point in the Rubicon River Recreation area. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct weekly patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, respond to visitor-caused fires and other emergencies, and assist in search and rescue. Following construction of the Ellicott's Bridge River Access facility, there will be a need to adjust the operation and maintenance costs to include the cost for toilet pumping, toilet cleaning, signboard maintenance, and other associated costs. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits.

Operation and Maintenance Costs Area: Rubicon Area (including Ellicott's)	_		-
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total
Recreation Technician (GS-5) (public contact, site maintenance, patrols)	16	\$162.00	\$2,592.00
Recreation Manager (GS-9) fill in and respond to needs	5	\$240.00	\$1,200.00
Subtotal			\$3,792.00

Vehicles:	Months	miles	Total
Vehicle costs incidental to vehicle costs for Hell F	Tole and Long Ca	anyon Areas	
Subtotal			\$0.00
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles ar	e split between sever	al projects/areas).	
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts:			Total
Subtotal			\$0.00
Sub-Total:			\$3,792.00
Overhead (19%):			\$720.48
Total:			\$4,512.48
CTG = Cost to Government (2010 costs)			

Ralston Afterbay Picnic Area

This area provides no-fee day use opportunities consisting of water based recreation such as angling, swimming, boating and picnicking. Facilities at this location along the Middle Fork American River are a bathroom, picnic tables, grills, and a car top boat ramp. There is also a ramp used for sediment removal upstream of the confluence of the Middle Fork American River at the afterbay, a short distance away. The licensee has been providing funding for necessary operation and maintenance of the recreation facilities (PCWA 2006a). The operation, maintenance, and administration costs are directly a result of the licensee's project development. On-site operations and maintenance by seasonal and permanent FS staff is required to meet health and safety standards, maintenance standards, and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Cost: The costs are to manage for the recreation use at the recreation facilities in the Ralston Picnic and Afterbay area. For this area, these funds would be utilized to conduct patrols, pick up litter, provide public information, conduct facility maintenance, enforce rules and regulations, rehabilitate impacted areas, address sanitation, and maintain day use sites, respond to visitor-caused fires and other emergencies, and assist in search and rescue. The following estimate shows the cost to manage for these visitors and the impacts from their visits. Costs for the annual operation and maintenance of this site are combined with the cost for the annual operation and maintenance of the Indian Bar area totaling \$72,375.09. It is estimated that year round operation and maintenance cost at the Ralston Picnic and Afterbay area is approximately \$12,375.00.

Indian Bar River Access

This site is located on NFS land (Tahoe NF); however, CDPR largely operates and maintains the facilities, including pumping the vault toilets. This site serves as the put-in for the Tunnel Chute whitewater run. While whitewater boating is the primary use of this site, a beach area that is along the channel (3 cfs) from the dam to the confluence with the channel from the powerhouse is also attractive anglers, miners, swimmers, and sunbathers. Since the release down this channel is controlled by the Project and is very low, this is an instance where recreational uses are a flow-dependent recreation activity.

Existing improvements and facilities at this site include:

- 5 pre-cast concrete vault toilets
- 2 parking lots (approximately 50 vehicles)
- ramp/path to river
- signs
- 3 information kiosks
- trash containers

During the primary whitewater boating season, from April through September, seasonal CDPR park aides are on site at Indian Bar six hours per day (including travel) six days per week. CDPR monitors commercial boating activity, maintain the restrooms and other facilities and manages the parking and traffic. CDPR pumps the vault toilets at Indian Bar two times during the season which involves six days of park maintenance worker time. In addition to routine cleaning and maintenance, there is extra time involved in addressing vandalism. CDRP uniformed patrol officers patrol the put in area as well as the peaking reach recreation uses. FS recreation, fire prevention, and law enforcement personnel patrol the area year round.

Costs for the annual operation and maintenance of this site are combined with the cost for the annual operation and maintenance of the Ralston area totaling \$72,375.09. It is estimated that year round operation and maintenance cost at Indian Bar is approximately \$60,000.00, 100 percent of which is attributable to flow-related recreation.

Operation and Maintenance Costs Area: Ralston and Indian Bar Areas			
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total
Fire PrevTech/Patrol (GS-7)	30	\$314.38	\$9,431.40
Recreation Officer (GS-9) off season and patrol	30	\$300.00	\$9,000.00
Recreation Technician (GS-5)(mid April to mid May) Ralston serviced at same time as Duncan for 20 wk/yr Days for Ralston are in addition to those for Duncan before access	4	\$150.00	\$600.00
State Park Aides (Jim Micheaels input)	160	\$137.00	\$21,920.0 0
Subtotal			\$40,951.4 0
Vehicles:	Months	miles	Total
FS Rec Officer/tech mileage (30 x 34days at .45/mi) State Park Ranger/LE Patrol (40miles x60 trips 2400	mi at		\$510.00
.50/mi)			\$1,200.00
Maintenance (30mi x 200 trips = 6000)			\$3,000.00
FPT mileage (30x30 days at .62/mi)			558

Subtotal	\$5,268.00
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles are split between set All vehicles use and FOR addressed in Admin	veral projects/areas).
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts:	Total
Pump Ralston and Indian Bar Toilets (6 total)	\$6,000.00
Garbage* cost is lumped with Duncan - dumpster	
rental	\$1,500.00
Bulletin boards, posters, cleaning supplies, etc.	\$3,000.00
Signs, posts, etc	\$500.00
Equipment maintenance (power washer, etc)	\$200.00
Develop written MOU with State Parks and BLM	
GS-11 10 days	\$3,400.00
	\$14,600.0
Subtotal	0
	\$60,819.4
Sub-Total:	0
	\$11,555.6
Overhead (19%):	9
	\$72,375.0
Total:	9
CTG = Cost to Government (2010 costs)	

FS Administration

The recreational use and demand within the MFAR Project area and generated by the MFAR project facilities and operations, as described above, has also lead to the need for the various land management agencies to provide administrative oversight of the public recreation services being provided. These oversight duties include, but are not limited to such tasks as program development and oversight, planning and budgeting, hiring and supervision, relevant correspondence, prospectus development, coordination and review of reservation system input, review and coordination of recreation use monitoring efforts and results, reporting and record keeping, fee collection oversight and audits, coordination with FERC and the Licensee, etc, The following estimate shows the cost to provide for the administrative oversight associated with management of the recreation use.

Administration Cost Spreadsheet Eldorado National Forest					
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total		
Resource Officer (GS-11)	60	\$350.00	\$21,000.00		
Recreation Manager (GS-9)	40	\$240.00	\$9,600.00		
District Admin Support	10	\$240.00	\$2,400.00		
Forest Recreation Officer (GS-12)	20	\$436.00	\$8,720.00		
Landscape Architect	20	\$413.00	\$8,260.00		
Admin Assistant	10	\$320.00	\$3,200.00		
Subtotal			\$53,180.00		

Vehicles:	Months	miles	Total	
Recreation Patrol (2848)	0	0	\$0.00	
Maintenance Technician (0707)	0	0	\$0.00	
Recreation Manager (5554)			\$0.00	
Recreation Officer (3780)	3	3,000	\$1,806.00	
Landscape Architect (2094)	1	2,000	\$908.00	
Subtotal			\$2,714.00	
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles are split between several projects/areas).				
Project Supplies, Materials and Contrac	ts:		Total	
Testing			\$500.00	
Lump Sum Payments (seasonals)			\$2,400.00	
uniforms			\$300.00	
TOS (\$30,000/6 yrs)			\$5,000.00	
OWCP			\$0.00	
Unemployment (\$2,300/seasonal; 6 seasona	ls)		\$13,800.00	
Subtotal			\$22,000.00	
Sub-Total:			\$77,894.00	
Overhead (19%):			\$14,799.86	
Total:			\$92,693.86	

In addition to the above, the Tahoe National Forest has identified the need for 20 days of funding for a uniformed Forest Service Law Enforcement Officer (LEO). In addition to the technical training and skills required for a LEO by FS policy, all violation notices and incident reports written by Forest Protection Officers (FPO) must be processed by a LEO within 10 days. FPOs do the majority of the patrol on NFS lands.

The Final LAND 3 Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010h) Table E-4 (Summary of 2006 and 2007 USDA FS TNF Emergency Incident Responses at Project Facilities, in the Vicinity of the Project, or in the Vicinity of the Peaking Reach) indicates 21 law enforcement responses. Table E-5 (Summary of 2006 and 2007 USDA FS TNF Emergency Incident Responses) indicates 37 law enforcement responses. Each response requires an incident report. Table E-6 indicates a total of 3 accidents. Regardless of who responds to the accident, if it occurs on National Forest System lands it requires interagency cooperation, an accident investigation conducted by the FS (most likely a LEO), and an incident report. Other tables in LAND 3 Technical Study Report (Tables E-7 and E-8) indicate Placer County Sheriff actions regarding missing persons, search and rescue, agency assists (including FS) also require interagency interaction, sometimes an investigation, and in all cases an incident report. Many violation notices written by FPOs end with a court appearance to assist the US Attorney and substantiate the government's case. This requires LEO interaction and involvement as well.

Administration Cost Spreadsheet Tahoe National Forest				
Personnel:	Days	CTG*/Day	Total	
Resource Officer (GS-11)	25	\$340.00	\$8,500.00	
Recreation Manager (GS-9)	45	\$300.00	\$13,500.00	
District Admin Support	30	\$252.00	\$7,560.00	
Forest Recreation Officer (GS-12)	10	\$436.00	\$4,360.00	
Landscape Architect/Facility Engineer/COR	10	\$413.00	\$4,130.00	
Admin Assistant	30	\$215.00	\$6,450.00	
Law Enforcement Officer	20	\$320.00	\$6,400.00	
Subtotal			50,900.00	
Vehicles:	Months	miles	Total	
Recreation Patrol (FPT)	1	2,000	\$1,515.00	
Recreation Technician (8666)	2	3,000	\$1,854.00	
Recreation Officer (1401)	5	8,000	\$4,900.00	
Subtotal			\$8,269.00	
Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicle	Note: Fleet Vehicles require 12 months FOR (some vehicles are split between several projects/areas).			
Project Supplies, Materials and Contracts:			Total	
uniforms			\$500.00	
TOS (\$30,000/6 yrs)			\$5,000.00	
Subtotal			\$5,50000	
Sub-Total:			\$64,669.00	
Overhead (19%):			\$12,287.11	
Total:			\$76,956.11	

Heavy Maintenance

Objectives Addressed by Heavy Maintenance

Recreation Management Objective Resource Protection Objective Water Quality Objective Recreation Design Objective

Rationale for Heavy Maintenance

Heavy maintenance and rehabilitation are necessary to keep existing FS facilities in serviceable condition to meet health and safety requirements, protect resources, and meet public needs. Heavy maintenance and rehabilitation include components of recreation facilities such as water systems, traffic control barriers, roads, spurs, and associated drainage structures, grills and fire rings, picnic tables, toilets, and signboards. Long-term

and heavy maintenance includes: but is not limited to, repairing and re-surfacing paved areas, replacing culverts and other heavy maintenance along access roads, re-roofing and painting buildings, replacing picnic tables and other accessory structures, replacing the composting unit on the composting toilets, and replacing toilets and septic systems. As a part of the annual consultation and coordination meetings, necessary maintenance, rehabilitation, and reconstruction will be determined through a periodic review of the facilities by the resource agencies and licensees. These reviews will determine the necessary work, based on facility condition and other factors at the time. Data from ongoing monitoring will assist in making needed changes in the work schedule and in future planning.

Specific Modifications and Enhancements at Existing Project Recreation Facilities and Water Supply Facilities, and New Project Recreation Facilities

Objectives Addressed by Specific Modifications and Enhancements at Existing Project Recreation Facilities and Water Supply Facilities

Recreation Management Objectives Recreation Design Objective Resource Protection Objective

Rationale for Specific Modifications and Enhancements at Existing Project Recreation Facilities and Water Supply Facilities, and New Project Recreation Facilities

The licensee has been, and continues to be, the substantial force in recreation development within the MFAR project area. The licensee's role in facility and infrastructure development has been pervasive over the last 50 years.

Recreation facilities were planned by the licensee during the same period that initial project development occurred. In as early as the 1960's, the licensee acquired funds to build recreation facilities at Hell Hole and French Meadows Reservoirs, in the vicinity of the Long Canyon Diversions and at Ralston Afterbay. These funds, received through Davis-Grunsky Act Recreation Grants, required a feasibility report that described the need for the various recreation facilities (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964). The original Agreement between the licensee and the FS for the Administration, Operation and Maintenance of Recreation Facilities on the Middle Fork American River Project on the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests (1965) recognized that the construction by the Licensee of French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs, Duncan Creek and Long Canyon Diversions, and Ralston Afterbay created mountain lakes having great potential recreational use by the public and that the Agency had a responsibility to provide such facilities as roads, parking areas, water and sanitary facilities, campgrounds, picnic areas and boat ramps and housing and support facilities.

Through the development of the MFAR reservoirs, the accompanying infrastructure development improvements to access roads, and the recreation facility development, the licensee has been and is the greatest influence within the MFAR Project area. In order for visitors to experience quality recreation opportunities and be able to fully utilize

recreation sites within the Project area, it is necessary to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is in place, in good condition, and that the appropriate level of accessibility is provided through design standards. Ongoing maintenance and improvement efforts coordinated between the FS and the Licensee have provided for accessibility at some of the recreation facilities; however other accessibility needs have been identified by FS in the Licensee's REC-1 Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010b). FERC regulations at 18 CFR 2.8 require the licensee to "develop suitable public recreational facilities upon project lands and waters and to make provisions for adequate public access to such project facilities and waters and to include therein consideration of the needs of physically handicapped individuals in the design and construction of such project facilities and access." FS policy (USDA 1998 and USDA 2000) is to provide 100 percent barrier-free access where possible, consistent with the intent of the Region 5 (R5) "Universal Access Strategy."

User surveys conducted by the licensee indicate how important the reservoirs are to the visitors themselves. The three most popular activities for visitors to the MFAR Project are camping at developed sites, fishing and reservoir recreation. For example, 83 percent of visitors surveyed at French Meadows Reservoir indicated they intended to camp at a developed site, 48 percent said they would engage in reservoir recreation and 37 percent indicated they intend to fish. Of the people who responded to the Fishing survey at French Meadows, more than 50 percent fished from a boat (PCWA 2010c). Seventeen to 34 percent of visitors to Hell Hole indicated they had brought a boat trailer with them (PCWA 2010c). In addition, over 60 percent of visitors who participated in the survey at the Hell Hole and French Meadows Reservoir areas indicated that identified that hiking trails are important to very important as a part of their recreation visit (PCWA 2010c). This is consistent with Statewide and Regional studies of the types of recreation activities visitors participate in and desired opportunities. Although 60 percent of visitors said that hiking trails are very important or important to choosing the area to recreate at, only 34 percent of visitors said that they had or will hike or walk during their visit (PCWA 2010c). This discrepancy demonstrates the need and demand for walking and hiking opportunities within the MFAR Project.

The licensee has, through collection agreements, funded some of the recreation operations at recreation facilities within the MFAR Project. Numerous other funding sources, including Appropriated, Recreation Enhancement Funds, grants obtained from the California Department of Boating and Waterways (DBAW), Granger-Thye Act fee offset¹, and others have been used to supplement licensee funds. Even with these funds, there is still a substantial amount of deferred maintenance at the recreation facilities within the MFAR Project (PCWA 2010b).

There are a number of amenity upgrades and improvements in the specific recreation plan conditions. These have largely been developed through the analysis of the licensee's visitor survey results (PCWA 2010c), the recreation site condition survey results (PCWA 2010b), the reservoir recreation studies (PCWA 2010d), the stream-based recreation studies (PCWA 2010e), and FS knowledge of uses, trends and needs within and adjacent

-

¹ Under the authority of the Granger-Thye Act, campground concessionaires operating government facilities (campgrounds) renovate, recondition, improve and maintain the facilities in lieu of feed due to the government. This heavy maintenance work is referred to as "fee offset".

to the project area. These information sources highlighted needs identified by visitors for new facilities and upgrades to existing amenities at licensee-constructed facilities.

Additional specific rationale sections accompany each of the following reservoirs or areas:

French Meadows Recreation Area

The water systems for the French Meadows area were installed in the 1960's and is showing signs of age by frequently breaking and becoming unreliable. This affects customer service when water is not available for visitor use; repair costs are increasing; and when there is a leak or a break potable water quality is often compromised. Per Licensee's REC-1 Table 1-12 (PCWA 2010b) the access routes to water system facilities has rills and the remarks indicate that the erosion control structures are not effective. The road/trail shall be brought up to current FS standards to mitigate resource impacts. Use occurs in the French Meadows area all year long. The reservoir is accessed in winter and spring/early summer by vehicles such as snowmobiles, or occasionally by 4-wheel drive enthusiasts who participate in snow-play-driving to get to French Meadows reservoir to go fishing. There have been occasions when snowmobiles pull boats to the reservoir (personal communication, Ed Moore, 2010). Most recently this was evidenced in May of 2010 when Forest Road 22 was plowed to the Hell Hole turn off and tracks through the snow on the 22 road through 12 or more inches of snow gained access to French Meadows reservoir where Kiewit Pacific Corporation was initiating modification to the LL Anderson Spillway (via plowing and closure of the Mosquito Ridge Road). Several fishermen said that fishing was great at French Meadows reservoir during the spring snow melt (personal communication, Mo Tebbe, 2010). Once the area is accessible in the spring the concessionaire prepares to open the campgrounds including turning on the water system and obtaining water tests to determine potability. Snow drives out those that recreate in the area in late autumn though the concessionaire typically chooses to close most of the campgrounds and winterize the water system in mid September when use sharply declines.

Proposed license conditions and recommendations measures measures needed in the French Meadows area include bringing the area up to current FS policy such as FSORAG (Forest Service Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines - USDA 2006b). FSORAG provides barrier-free access where possible, consistent with the intent of the most current FS policy by:

- Replacing, resetting, or retrofitting site infrastructure (e.g. tables, fire rings, or barriers).
- Moving infrastructure to be accessible (i.e. moving food storage lockers, faucets and sumps, providing approaches to facilities consistent with the campground or use area's surface, relocating toilets closer to a road and providing a turn out on the road for the toilet).
- Grading or compact walking surfaces, in some areas steps may be necessary.

- Leveling the site and removing protrusions.
- Providing a minimum of 1,200 square feet per single site or 2,400 square feet per double site, etc.
- Reconstructing spurs to meet accessibility standards which may include resetting barriers to allow access from spurs to the unit.

There is a substantial demand from visitors to the Project area and campgrounds for hiking and walking opportunities, and a corresponding lack of these opportunities. This conclusion is reflected in the discrepancy between the number of visitors that reported hiking and walking as important to very important to their visit, in comparison to the percentage of visitors that reported participating in this activity. The feasibility report for the MFAR (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964) identified the intent to provide a foot trail all the way around the reservoir.

Current accessibility standards for trails outside of developed recreation sites are different from the standards for walkways within developed sites. (USDA 2006a and USDA 2006b), and allow for departures from the guidelines when application of a technical provision would cause a change in the trail's setting or the purpose or function for which the trail is designed., An example of the difference in standards is the current standard for maximum grade of a walkway within a developed site is 5 percent, whereas the maximum grade for a trail is up to 10 percent for limited stretches.

There is continued visitor interest in trail access to the reservoir as identified in the Licensee's REC-2 Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010c). This TSR lists the most frequent responses for secondary reasons for visiting the area as "access to lake/reservoir", identified that 66 percent of visitors said hiking trails are very important or important, and that nearly 66 percent of visitors said that fishing access trails are very important or important. In contrast, only 34 percent of visitors said that they had or will hike or walk during their visit (PCWA 2010c). This discrepancy demonstrates the need for and demands for walking and hiking opportunities within the MFAR Project.

A south shore reservoir area pedestrian and bicycle trail will provide a means for visitors to access French Meadows reservoir from the French Meadows Campground. Sixty-seven percent of the visitors surveyed at this campground said that fishing trail access is very important or important. There are several existing, now vegetated old roads in the vicinity of the campground that could be improved to provide hiking and bicycling opportunities. The FS proposes opening some of these old roads, starting with the road between French Meadow Campground and the French Meadow Boat Ramp When a recreation facility, (i.e. campground) is brought up to accessibility standards, replace, repair, or reconstruct the interior campground roads and spurs, and associated features (i.e. culverts) to remedy the issues identified in the Licensee's REC-1 TSR Tables REC 1-11 and REC 1-12 (PCWA 2010b).

When flush toilets are replaced the septic and leach systems would be evaluated for replacement.

Ahart Campground

This campground is unique in that it is the only developed project campground located on a river (Middle Fork American River upstream of French Meadows Reservoir). Ahart and the western loop of French Meadows campgrounds are the only campgrounds in this recreation area that are open to use after the concessionaire, who operates and maintains the recreation facilities under a 10-year term special use permit, has left the area in the autumn (typically mid-September). Occupancy in the autumn is a normal occurrence (Personal Communication, Ed Moore 2010) The closest potable water is available at Lewis Campground (approximately 1 mile) when the concessionaire is in the area and before the water systems are winterized. No potable water is available once the water system is winterized.

Ahart Campground is approximately 3.5 miles from the nearest boat ramp (McGuire). Visitor surveys indicate that 18 percent participate in reservoir recreation, 32 percent fish, and 12 percent participate in streamside day use. The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they participated in was camping in developed sites (55 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was relaxing (42 percent) and hiking/walking (38 percent).

Upon review of the campground in 2010, the FS proposes reconstruction of the campground road and spurs and surfacing with compacted aggregate in lieu of pavement (PCWA Recreation Plan, 2011h). Construct drainage diversions around sites 1 and 8 to prevent erosion through the sites.

The Feasibility Report for the MFAR Project completed to accompany the application for Davis Grunsky Funds for construction of the MFAR recreation facilities described the Licensee's commitment to provide water at camping, picnicking and boating areas where facilities are constructed by the Agency (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964). Fifty-nine percent of the people responding to the 2007 visitor survey said that drinking water was very important to important to them.

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or removal/reduction of a facility are:

- Repair and pave Forest Road 96 from the end of the pavement near the 42 road intersection past campsite 10 in the Ahart campground to provide visitors with a more enjoyable opportunity through dust reduction.
- Provide a potable water source, operated by hand pump, at this campground so that water is available, on site, whenever the area is accessible.
- Replace the double unit vault bathrooms that were installed in the 1960s, that do not meet current accessibility standards and show signs of rot.
- Upon FS facility assessment in 2010 campsite 9 appeared to have no use; and in conversation with retired Recreation Officer Ed Moore, this site seldom was used. Campsite 9 is recommended for abandonment and restoration.

• Place additional barrier rock between sites 1 and 2 to limit motorized use to the spur.

French Meadows Campground

This campground is located on the south shore of the French Meadows Reservoir and is approximately 0.5 mile from the French Meadows Boat Ramp and approximately 4 miles from the McGuire Boat Ramp.

The western loop (sites 32-75) of French Meadows campground and Ahart are the only campgrounds in this recreation area that are open to use after the concessionaire, who operates and maintains the recreation facilities under a 5-year term special use permit, has left the area in the autumn (typically mid-September). Occupancy in the autumn is a normal occurrence when the concessionaire is in the area and before the water systems are winterized (Personal Communication, Ed Moore 2010). No potable water is available once the water system is winterized.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they engaged in was camping in developed sites (62 percent), followed by reservoir fishing (23 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was oriented with water play (54 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or enhancement, enlargement, removal, reduction of a facility are:

- Replace toilets to meet current accessibility standard as well as address deferred
 maintenance which includes rot in most of the buildings (FS review, 2010). Relocate
 toilets to meet accessibility standards: locating the toilet closer to roads, construct
 adjacent accessible turnouts and walkways. Furnish and install one additional single
 unit toilet in the west loop.
- A grant from the National Forest Foundation provided funding in 2002 for animal resistant food lockers. This was the first time that these lockers had been installed on the Tahoe National Forest, little was known about them. One season of use showed the FS that the smaller lockers were not large enough to accommodate the size of cooler typically brought by visitors.
- Campground hosts have nearly full-time presence on site from before opening to after closing the campgrounds. The special use permit has a term of 5 years with the ability to extend to 10 years. Sites 3 and 32 are dedicated hosts sites. By providing an on site holding tank the host would not need to leave the site to go to the dump station, this would provide more time for facility operation and maintenance and customer service and provide incentive for host retention and management continuity.
- Some area visitors tend to bring vehicles that fill up the entire spur space, indicating a
 need for larger sites. Whereas other visitors bring and maneuver trailers or
 motorhomes into site spaces that are not designed to meet this type of recreational
 vehicle. It is noted in the Licensee's REC-1 TSR Appendix J-1 (PCWA 2010B) in
 the remarks column notes that many spurs are narrow. Given the topography of this

campground, and to meet demand for wider and/or longer spurs a few sites can be converted to accommodate larger/more vehicles and better meet accessibility standards. As a minimum the following sites would be converted to pull through sites (61 and 62, 33 and 2, and 19 and 20), or enlarged (24, 34, 66) and in one case enlarged for a larger RV (25).

- Sites 16, 55, 65, 69 and 72 do not receive much use and would be abandoned and rehabilitated.
- Sites 6, 11, 43, 71 and 8 would be reconfigured to better optimize the area by moving site infrastructure to end of the spur (6-71) or away from the road (site 8).
- Reconstruct interior campground roads to meet accessibility standards above, to widen, and to repair or replace road infrastructure issues such culverts in poor condition, buried or clogged, rusted or crushed (PCWA 2010b, Tables 11 and 12).

Lewis Campground

This campground is located on the south shore of the French Meadows Reservoir and is approximately 3.5 miles from the French Meadows boat ramp and less than a mile from the McGuire Boat Ramp.

This campground is on the north shore water system which is typically winterized first, right after the Labor Day weekend. No potable water is available once the water system is winterized.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they engaged in was camping in developed sites (62 percent), followed by reservoir fishing (23 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was oriented with water play (54 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or enhancement, enlargement, removal, reduction of a facility are:

- Replace toilets to meet current accessibility standard as well as address deferred
 maintenance which includes rot in most of the buildings. Relocate toilets to meet
 accessibility standards: locating the toilet closer to roads, construct adjacent
 accessible turnouts and walkways. Furnish and install one additional single unit toilet
 in the west loop.
- Campground hosts have nearly full-time presence on site from before opening to after closing the campgrounds. The special use permit has a term of 5 years with the ability to extend to 10 years. Site 1 is a dedicated host site. By providing an on site holding tank the host would not need to leave the site to go to the dump station. This would provide more time for facility operation and maintenance and customer service and provide incentive for host retention and management continuity.

- Some area visitors tend to bring vehicles that fill up the entire spur space, indicating a
 need for larger sites. Whereas other visitors bring and maneuver trailers or
 motorhomes into site spaces that are not designed to meet this type of recreational
 vehicle. To meet these demands several sites would be converted to pull through
 sites (27 and 29).
- The following are considered the minimum sites that can be enhanced to meet accessibility standards. Sites other than these listed here may be widened or lengthened: widen to 16 feet (site 37); widened to 20 feet (sites 21, 38, 39); the spur lengthened to 50 feet (site 13).
- Enlarge the living area of sites 5 and 20 to a minimum of 1200 square feet.
- Reconstruct interior campground roads to meet accessibility standards above, to widen, and to repair or replace road infrastructure issues such culverts in poor condition, buried or clogged, rusted or crushed (PCWA 2010b, Tables 11 and 12).

Poppy Campground

This campground is located on the north shore of French Meadows Reservoir and is accessible by either boat or the Western States Trail.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they engaged in was camping in developed sites (67 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was non-motorized reservoir boating, relaxing, and reservoir oriented water play or sun bathing (100 percent) (PCWA 2010b). Since this is a boat or hike in campground, one could deduce from the primary and secondary activities that all persons arrived at Poppy via boat. This could be quite a challenge for some people since the reservoir is typically very windy.

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or enhancement, enlargement, removal, reduction of a facility are similar to the Licenese's DLA Recreation Plan Table 3 with minor revisions:

- Sites 6, 9, 11 and 12 have historically been under-used. To reduce maintenance costs remove these sites and rehabilitate/revegetate the site.
- Remove and replace the two single unit toilets with one accessible single unit toilet. The type of toilet will be determined at the time of construction and approved by the FS based on advances in technology which deals with low or no maintenance issues.
- Remove obstacles and level camp sites 1-5, 7, 8 and 10, where feasible and compact sites to a minimum of 1,200 square feet.
- Under Poppy Campground Trailhead the trailhead would be moved, and a connector trail created, see below.

The Western States Trail is the hiking/bicycle trail used to access Poppy
Campground. The WST is maintained by the FS and Western States Foundation and
provides trail opportunities on the north side of the reservoir. Direction signing needs
to be placed on the WST (16E10) from each trailhead (Red Star Ridge and new
trailhead).

Coyote Group Campground

This Group campground consists of four group sites and is located on the east side of the French Meadows Reservoir.

While daily data has not been collected by concessionaires, there was a record of reservations kept by the previous concessionaire American Land and Leisure. It is common that each group site is reserved every weekend during the summer (Personal Communication, Ed Moore 2010). These group campgrounds are typically open for use from snow melt or Memorial Day (which ever occurs first) through the Labor Day weekend. The gates to the sites are closed when there is no reserved use; however these sites can be used if vacant without a reservation.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they engaged in was camping in developed sites (44 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was reservoir fishing (56 percent) and relaxing and water play in the reservoir/sunbathing (45 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

In review of the Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) there appears to be a greater diversity of cultures utilizing the group campgrounds than the family campgrounds or other project recreation areas.

All four group sites: The majority recreation plan elements bring these sites up to current accessibility standard or specific routine heavy maintenance items.

There are some circumstances where the campsite can neither be leveled nor protrusions removed in order to make the site accessible due to excessive rock. Alternation of the site would adversely change the character of the setting.

Gates Group Campground

This Group campground consists of three group sites and is located on the east of the French Meadows Reservoir adjacent to the Middle Fork American River.

While daily data has not been collected by concessionaires, there was a record of reservations kept by the previous concessionaire American Land and Leisure. It is common that each group site is reserved every weekend during the summer (Personal Communication, Ed Moore 2010). These group campgrounds are typically open for use from snow melt or Memorial Day (whichever occurs first) through the Labor Day weekend. The gates to the sites are closed when there is no reserved use; however these sites can be used if vacant without a reservation.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they engaged in was camping in developed sites (70 percent) followed by stream based water play/sunbathing, The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was both hiking/walking and stream based water play/sunbathing (59 percent) and relaxing (44 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

In review of the Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) there appears to be a greater diversity of cultures utilizing the group campgrounds than the family campgrounds. For the Gates Group in particular even though only 43 people responded to the survey question there was representation from the widest range of counties (7) in California in this group camp than anywhere else in the French Meadows area.

Like Coyote Group sites the majority recreation plan elements bring these sites up to current accessibility standard.

There are some circumstances where the campsite can neither be leveled nor protrusions removed in order to make the site accessible due to excessive rock. Alternation of the site would adversely change the character of the setting.

Many Gates group visitors participate in stream based recreation creating a network of user created trails. Formalizing one or two trails that can be accessed by any visitor to the Gates group and signing the trail would provide management of river access. User created trails that are impacting resources would be closed and rehabilitated/revegetated.

French Meadows Boat Ramp

This boat ramp is located on the south shore of the French Meadows Reservoir and encompasses the French Meadows Picnic Area and associated parking areas.

The French Meadows Boat Ramp is constructed of concrete and extends to an elevation of 5,200 feet (PCWA 2010d). This report recognizes that the reservoir water level has dropped below this elevation during the predominant recreation use season (May to September) during dry and critically dry years. Future operations will likely continue to cause reservoir levels to drop below the bottom of the boat ram during the predominant recreation use season in the future.

The Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) identifies that overall the boat ramp is in fair condition; the concrete is spalling and cracked, and vegetation is growing in the cracks.

In addition to providing access to recreationist and fisher-people, as well as others seeking easy access to the reservoir, the boat ramp provides access to reservoir waters for other uses such as fire suppression and Licensee facility operation and maintenance (such as low level outlet repairs in the reservoir).

The boat ramp is used by the recreating public whenever snow does not prohibit its use as evidenced by FS personnel visit. As previously mentioned the concessionaire is typically on site from snowmelt or just prior to Memorial Day weekend, whichever occurs first, until mid September.

As would be anticipated the most common response given by visitors to the area about the primary activity they were engaged in was reservoir fishing (58 percent) followed by camping in a developed site (17 percent). The secondary activity identified by visitors was hiking/walking and reservoir swimming/water play/sunbathing (21 percent each).

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or enhancement, enlargement, removal, reduction of this area is:

- Based on the lack of disturbance of vegetation around picnic tables and grills (Personal communication, Ed Moore 2010) it appears that the French Meadows Picnic Area is used very infrequently and would probably be used more frequently if the opportunity were located closer to toilet, water and garbage facilities. Relocate facilities from two of the picnic sites to the vegetated area southwest of the bathroom facility adjacent to the parking area on the French Meadows Boat Ramp Road. Paint traffic markings in the parking area to identify parking for the picnic sites. The remainder of the facilities in the French Meadows picnic area including waterlines, bathroom, signs, trail, etc. would be removed and the area restored, rehabilitated/revegetated. The Picnic Area parking could be left as is.
- Sign the location of the new picnic area and provide information on website and pamphlets.
- Replace the flush toilets (the buildings are displaying signs of rot) with accessible vault toilets to provide sanitation whenever the boat ramp is accessible and to lower operating costs. Construct the accessible water faucet and sump near the toilets.
- Replacing wooden barriers with suitably sized rock decreases maintenance and provides a substantial barrier to keep traffic where it is intended to be. Cable and post barriers do not comply with FS policy and present a hazard; replace post and cable with suitably sized barrier rock.
- Drainage work is needed to direct snowmelt and rainwater through reconstruction of drainage ditches.
- This boat ramp is the more frequently used boat ramp at the reservoir and as stated in the Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) is functional but contains cracking and spalling concrete with vegetation growing in the cracks. In years when the end of the boat ramp is out of the water, there is a sharp drop-off that prohibits vehicles from continued use of the boat ramp, instead boat towing vehicles drive onto the reservoir bed to access the water, disturbing the shoreline. Importantly for the FS this boat ramp is also frequently used for fire suppression activities. Water trucks (including nursetankers and fire engines) can not navigate the drop off and cannot easily or quickly negotiate obstacles on the reservoir bed or take the risk of becoming stuck on the reservoir bed. Resurfacing and the extension of the boat ramp, meeting the California Department of Boating and Waterways guidelines, which are in compliance with National guidelines meet the needs of the recreating public in dry and critically dry water years, and serve in the protection of watershed resources.

More effective signing and barriers along the boat ramp would keep people from driving on the reservoir bed when the water surface is dropping.

• Reestablish road clearing limits to provide line of site for motor vehicles.

French Meadows RV Dump

The remains of the visitor center present a safety hazard and is visually unattractive and must be completely removed. This area would then be surfaced and utilized as part of the facility. Provide painted markings to direct traffic including parking spaces. Bring the site up to current FS accessibility standards.

McGuire Boat Ramp and Associated Parking Areas Including Poppy Trailhead

This trailhead and boat ramp is located on the north shore of French Meadows Reservoir and encompasses a total of three parking areas. The previous concessionaire, American Land and Leisure did not conduct any counts at these facilities. The current concessionaire, California Land Management will be collecting fees at the boat ramp via a FS purchased and installed fee tube.

As previously mentioned the concessionaire is typically on site from snow melt or just prior to Memorial Day weekend, whichever occurs first, until mid September although north shore facilities are winterized before south shore facilities.

As would be anticipated the most common response given by visitors to the area about the primary activity they were engaged in was reservoir fishing (33 percent) followed by camping in a developed site (28 percent). The secondary activity identified by visitors was reservoir swimming, water play/sunbathing (53 percent each) followed by relaxing and reservoir fishing both (42 percent). Given that there is a greater response for the second primary activity of developed camping than at the French Meadows Boat Ramp, it could be deduced that more of the users of this boat ramp camp within the French Meadows area.

Specific measures for routine heavy maintenance items or enhancement, enlargement, removal, reduction of this area include removing the current Poppy Campground Trailhead Parking Area, road, and facilities; then restoring and revegetating the area while blocking off vehicular traffic and consolidating the facilities into one area.

- Remove post and cable barriers from the previous Poppy Trailhead parking area to facilitate restoration activities.
- There are two toilet facilities in the vicinity of the Poppy Trailhead, a 2-unit flush and 1-unit vault. The use of the area does not warrant this level of development. Remove all toilets and associated plumbing including faucets, drains, sumps and the fire hydrant and restore the area.
- Consolidate trailhead/boat ramp parking and facilities into one area at the McGuire Boat Ramp Parking SE Lot Area near the access road. To facilitate accessibility for

visitors to this area pave and designate and sign six parking spaces nearest the toilet, water, garbage, fee station and information walkway.

- Construct and sign an extension trail from the new parking area to the Poppy Trail (16E10; Western States Trail).
- Sign the remainder of the McGuire SE Parking Lot as parking for boaters.
- Providing barrier rock around the McGuire Parking Lots will keep motorized traffic on existing compacted/hardened surfaces.
- The 2 access roads to the McGuire NE Parking Lot are an un-necessary impact to resources; one of these access roads can be removed and restored/revegetated.

McGuire Picnic Area and Beach

Located on the northshore of the reservoir this area contained a manmade beach. The picnic area and beach was combined with the McGuire Boat Ramp and associated parking area for visitor survey and thus the use at this location can not be differentiated from the boat ramp. However, based on frequent patrols and administration of the area the FS acknowledges that the area is not frequently used.

The previous concessionaire, American Land and Leisure, reported that the group campgrounds tended to be reserved each weekend between Memorial Day and Labor Day weekends; given this areas lack of use, and proximity to the reservoir and present infrastructure the FS proposes to develop the area into a group campground with two sites, one 25 PAOT and one 50 PAOT.

In addition to the Licensee's REC-1, Table 4 (PCWA 2010b) current FS accessibility standards shall be applied to this site utilizing as many of the existing features and facilities that are in good condition as possible (reusing bear proof food lockers, existing roads and trails, etc.)

Hell Hole Recreation Area

Hell Hole Campground

This campground is located 1.3 miles from the Hell Hole Reservoir boat ramp and yet trailers are not recommended due to limited parking space. Visitor surveys indicate that 37 percent participate in reservoir recreation and 26 percent fish. Drainage from the parking area is leading to erosion of the access path to the toilet and some camp sites (PCWA 2010b). Three campsites within this campground are located within an area of sensitive resources.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the activities they intend to participate in was camping in developed sites (56 percent), followed by reservoir fishing (19 percent). The most frequent secondary activity visitors identified was hiking/walking (44 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

The specific measures identified are to address drainage problems associated with the parking area, to provide for access for boat trailers and larger vehicles, provide desired hiking and walking opportunities, and to protect sensitive resources in the vicinity of the campground. By eliminating some of the campsites there is a need to reconfigure the facility. As a part of reconfiguring the campground, there is an opportunity to improve utilization of the campground and better meet public need.

There are limited hiking opportunities in the vicinity of the Hell Hole Reservoir recreation facilities, and there are no trails directly linking the recreation facilities. Maintenance and reconstruction of the Hell Hole Reservoir trail will provide a recreation opportunity for visitors to the Hell Hole area. Current accessibility standards for trails outside of developed recreation sites are different from the standards for walkways within developed sites. (USDA 2006a and USDA 2006b), and allow for departures from the guidelines when application of a technical provision would cause a change in the trail's setting or the purpose or function for which the trail is designed., An example of the difference in standards is the current standard for maximum grade of a walkway within a developed site is 5 percent, whereas the maximum grade for a trail is up to 10 percent for limited stretches.

Big Meadows Campground

Portions of this campground have been reconstructed and improved over the last several years. However some of the camp units still do not meet current accessibility standards and have other deficiencies. Additionally, the campground is near an area suitable for interpretation regarding the rich cultural resources.

The most common response of campground visitors regarding the primary activities they intend to participate in was camping in developed sites (37 percent), followed by reservoir fishing (32 percent). One of the frequent secondary activities visitors identified was hiking/walking (35 percent) (PCWA 2010b).

There are limited hiking opportunities in the vicinity of the Hell Hole Reservoir recreation facilities, and there are no trails directly linking the recreation facilities. Maintenance and reconstruction of the Hell Hole Reservoir trail will provide a recreation opportunity for visitors to the Hell Hole area.

Upper Hell Hole Campground

Upper Hell Hole Campground is located on the southeast shore of Hell Hole Reservoir, about four miles from Hell Hole Boat Ramp. This site is accessed by boat and by foot travel, via the Hell Hole Reservoir Trail (FS Trail 14E02). There are sensitive resources located within or adjacent to the campground which warrants the need to remove the improvements at the campground and allow for dispersed use at the site. Future site monitoring and clean-up of the site is incorporated into the ongoing operations and maintenance. Information from future recreation surveys, along with information from monitoring of the site and assessment of impacts to sensitive resources will be used to

determine whether future enhancements are needed, such as establishment of a boat-in/walk-in campground within the Upper Hell Hole area.

Hell Hole Boat Ramp and Associated Parking Areas

The Hell Hole Boat Ramp extends to an elevation of 4,530 feet (PCWA 2010d). The REC-3 TSR recognizes that the reservoir water level has dropped below this elevation during the recreation use season during dry and critically dry years. Future operations will likely continue to cause reservoir levels to drop below the bottom of the boat ramp during the recreation use season in the future.

REC-1 TSR identifies that the pavement in the upper parking area is generally in good condition, but that some segments are in poor condition and that the stripping is no longer visible (traffic markings and parking space stripping was completed in Summer 2010, however there is a need for regular repainting). The existing chain link fence is visually inappropriate at this site and needs to be replaced with fencing that meets the Licensee's needs while still maintaining the visual quality at the site.

There is a need for potable water at the Hell Hole Boat Ramp. The Feasibility Report for the MFAR Project completed to accompany the application for Davis Grunsky Funds for construction of the MFAR recreation facilities described the Licensee's commitment to provide water at camping, picnicking and boating areas where facilities are constructed by the Agency (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964). 60 percent of visitors surveyed at Hell Hole Reservoir, as reported in the Licensee's REC-2 TSR (PCWA 2010c), identified that drinking water is important to very important.

Hell Hole Vista and Associated Parking Area

The Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) identifies the Hell Hole Vista Parking Area as being in poor condition and lacks an accessible parking space. These conditions were recently addressed. The REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) goes on to identify that the Vista site is not accessible due to obstacles, level changes and the presence of stairs. In addition, the access trail from the parking area to the Vista and the picnic table along the trail are in poor condition and not accessible. The measures proposed for the Hell Hole Vista would address these deficiencies.

Hell Hole Administrative Station

The Hell Hole Administrative Station is in disrepair and in need of upgrading to better meet current administrative need, including adequate workspace and storage for operation and maintenance of the Hell Hole recreation facilities. This facility was identified in the 1965 MOU between the FS and the Licensee to serve as a housing and support facility to be provided by the Licensee. The facility was subsequently constructed under the Davis Grunsky funding to provide the needed administrative site for better managing and operating the campgrounds and facilities. This condition proposes to modify this facility to provide the space needed at this time for administrative workspace and storage along with providing a recreation rental to serve the visiting public that are looking for a recreation opportunity other than camping, but still in

proximity to Hell Hole Reservoir. The FS has found that other recreation rentals on the Eldorado National Forest are in high demand and have high occupancy rates, indicating that there is a need for this type of recreation opportunity.

Hell Hole Reservoir Trail

As stated above, there is a substantial demand from recreation visitors to the MFAR Project area and campgrounds for hiking and walking opportunities and a lack of these opportunities. This conclusion is reflected in the discrepancy between the number of visitors that reported hiking and walking as important to very important to their visit, in comparison to the percentage of visitors that reported participating in this activity. The feasibility report for the MFAR (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964) identified the intent to provide a foot trail all the way around the reservoir and addressed the need to move the trail on the south side of the reservoir due to the project (also see the memorandum of understanding between FS and the Licensee (PCWA 1968)). The Exhibit R map (PCWA 1967) shows the trail along the south side of Hell Hole Reservoir as "relocated" and shows the trail extending to the east and along a portion of the north side of the reservoir, connecting with the Hell Hole 4WD Trail. Further, PCWA obtained an easement from PG&E for the right to "construct, maintain and use trails suitable for both pedestrian and for equestrian use for the eventual use of public recreational purposes in connection with PCWA's Hell Hole Reservoir project (Grant Deed dated 2/18/1966). Additionally, Upper Hell Hole Campground is repeatedly referred to in the Recreation Feasibility Report and in the Exhibit R maps as a "Trail Camp" with both boat and trail access. There is continued visitor interest in trail access to the reservoir as identified in the Licensee's REC-2 TSR (PCWA 2010c). This TSR lists the most frequent responses for secondary reasons for visiting the area as "access to lake/reservoir", identified that 66 percent of visitors said hiking trails are very important or important, and that nearly 66 percent of visitors said that fishing access trails are very important or important. In contrast, only 34 percent of visitors said that they had or will hike or walk during their visit (PCWA 2010c). This discrepancy demonstrates the need for and demands for walking and hiking opportunities within the MFAR Project.

Long Canyon Recreation Area, Middle Meadows Campground

Middle Meadows Group Campground consists of two group sites which are heavily used during the summer period, particularly during weekends, as shown in the Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b). The Recreation Plan recognizes the need for monitoring of use and establishment of triggers to determine when development of an additional group camping site at this facility is needed.

Duncan Creek Diversion Area

Much of the area to the north and east of the diversion pool is utilized for dispersed recreation when snow does not limit access. The FS agrees with the Licensee's REC -1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) and adds that the heaviest use is in the fall until the end of October, or deer hunting season.

The visitor use survey had a limited response (5 individuals) when analyzed in whole acknowledged tent camping (4 answered the length of stay question with an average 2.8 nights) was a primary activity. There seems to be a tendency towards stream based recreation as well (PCWA 2010c).

The FS agrees with the Licensee's proposal in REC-1 Table 4 (PCWA 2010b) to install sanitation facilities and barrier the perimeter of the concentrated use area as well as to define camping sites. This area is in a wildland setting. As such continuing the rustic semi-primitive setting is appropriate for this area. An information board would be constructed and installed on which to post pertinent information (fire restrictions, etc).

Middle Fork Interbay Reservoir Area

By agreement with the relicensing participants, including the FS, no surveys were conducted in the Interbay Reservoir Area. However, since the beginning of the relicensing effort the FS has heard stakeholders report that the Middle Fork American River Interbay area is favored by anglers as a unique stream based recreational activity.

Upon visiting the Middle Fork Powerhouse area in October 2010, the FS found evidence that sanitation facilities are necessary and that upstream access is prohibited by fencing. As such the FS urges PCWA to meet with interested stakeholders to develop a way to access the upstream area while PCWA meet their security needs.

Rubicon River Recreation Area, Ellicott's Bridge River Access Area

The Ellicott's Bridge River Access site provides the primary access to the Rubicon River between Hell Hole Reservoir and Ralston Afterbay. This site is used by anglers and other water-based recreationists using this bypass reach of the Rubicon River. The Ellicott's Bridge River Access Area also serves as a trailhead for the Hunter Trail, which provides access along this segment of the Rubicon River for anglers (Carnazzo 2010a) This site is regularly used, based on observations by FS staff, in the comments submitted by representatives at the Angler Focus Group Meeting (Carnazzo 2010b), and as reported in the Licensee's REC-4 TSR (PCWA 2010e). At this time, the FS provides clean-up and visitor management at this site. The use at this site is a result of the licensee's recreation developments in the Hell Hole and French Meadows area, improved access to the area, and from project flows which have increased fish populations and improved angling opportunities through higher summer flows and colder summer water temperatures. There is a need for improved parking, sanitation facilities and access at this river access site for anglers, whitewater boaters and other water-based recreationists, as described in the Licensee's REC-4 TSR (PCWA 2010e), the comments from Foothills Angler Group (2010), comments from the Foothills Water Network (2009, as presented in the Licensee's REC-4 TSR) (PCWA 2010e), and FS staff observations.

Ralston Afterbay Sediment Removal Access Point Area

This site is identified as an access point for boaters to the afterbay and is open to the public, although it is not considered a developed recreation facility. In particular, this site serves as a launch area for trailered boats, since it is accessible from Forest Road 23, is not as steep as the Ralston Picnic Area Car Top Boat Ramp, and is not blocked by large rocks (PCWA 2010d). In order to provide reasonable access for trailered boats, this site will be improved to a limited extent. The improvements will clearly indicate the launch and limit the potential for widening or expansion of the launch from uncontrolled use.

Ralston Picnic Area and Cartop Boat Ramp

This facility is located on the Middle Fork American River just upstream of Ralston Afterbay.

The FS operates and maintains this area through funding from PCWA under the current license.

The primary activity that visitors participate in is reservoir or stream fishing. The secondary activity is reservoir swimming/waterplay/sunbathing (31 percent) or stream swimming/waterplay/sun bathing (33 percent).

Sites 1 and 5 are seldom utilized as evidenced by herbaceous vegetation that is around the site and by weekly maintenance staff and could be removed to reduce maintenance costs.

The car top boat launch has several large boulders prohibiting access to the river; this was done several years ago when wheeled motorized vehicles were entering the river. A

better, multi-craft entry to the afterbay is available at the sediment removal access point less than a 0.25 mile to the southeast. There is available parking for vehicles with boat trailers at the picnic area.

There is a user-created trail, along an historic ditch, that travels upstream of the picnic area. This trail has a number of user-created sub trails accessing the MFAR. This trail also accesses a water temperature gage (designated as MF 26.0) that is under special use permit to the Licensee. Developing this trail to a standard that meets FS specification would provide protection of adjacent resources.

Middle Fork American River (Peaking Reach) Recreation Area

Indian Bar River Access Area

Located on Tahoe National Forest this area is a popular white water boating launch area as well as day use area. The Licensee's REC-1 TSR (PCWA 2010b) survey indicates that the primary use of this area was whitewater boating (43 percent) with secondary activities being picnicking, fishing, and stream based water play or sunbathing (29 percent each). When weather conditions are favorable it is common to see anglers and day users between the Ralston Afterbay dam and the Oxbow Powerhouse tailrace (boater input channel).

Facilities were constructed with California State Department of Boating and Waterways grant funding obtained by the FS. For many years California State Parks has operated and maintained these facilities during commercial white water boating season, though FS has attempted to provide a year round presence as well.

The raft launch is down a steep earthen slope to an eddy that has little space. A slide ramp would enhance the ability to launch water craft. Use figures gathered by State Parks for 2010 indicates that there were 17,262 commercial clients that put in at this launch facility. This was lower use year than five years ago when the reported usage was nearly 30,000 people. Typically the majority of commercial boating is conducted over a five-month period from May to September; the majority of this use occurs in a small time frame after arriving on site and prior to launching. Toilet facilities are overwhelmed. Modifying the existing toilets, to meet peak use will prevent sanitation issues from continuing to arise. There are issues with the toilets venting, this may be because of their location in proximity to shade. If shade is not determined to be the issue, installation of ventilation systems would improve the facility.

The area between Ralston Afterbay Dam and downstream of the Oxbow Powerhouse are popular mining areas even though the area was Withdrawn from mineral entry, location, or disposition in November 2, 1961 to facilitate the establishment of this ProjectThe Licensee has requested that the FS address the mining. Posting the area with appropriate regulation to notify the public that the area is not available for mining would educate the public and provide the FS the tools necessary to take enforcement action if it were necessary.

Parking and commercial rafting transportation drop-off for the site is on a sediment augmentation pile. This sediment is meant to be released downstream during high flow events. Camping tends to interfere with commercial rafting transportation and so is not tolerated during the height of rafting season. Signs need to be installed saying no overnight camping April through September. However the area is available for camping (not to exceed 14 days maximum by TNF Forest Order) October through March, and signage should represent this recreation opportunity.

There is an opportunity to utilize the Ralston Afterbay Overflow Parking area as a unique day use area and for parking. Providing shade armadas over two picnic tables would provide year round comfort on this rather hot area that overlooks the river.

Periodic Recreation Use Monitoring, Visitor Surveys and Reporting

Objectives Addressed by Periodic Recreation Use Monitoring, Visitor Surveys and Reporting

Recreation Management Objective Resource Protection Objective Reservoir Levels Objective

Rationale for Periodic Recreation Use Monitoirng, Visitor Surveys and Reporting

As part of managing the recreation resources within or affected by the Middle Fork American River (MFAR) project, understanding the dynamic changes in recreation over the life of the license is critical. It is widely recognized that substantial changes in recreation use, activities, motivations, and other related items can happen in a short span of time. These trends are important to recognize and track so that adjustments in management strategies can be made in order to prevent the degradation of either resource conditions or recreation experiences. As an example, the Outdoor Recreation Resources Review Commission, which was largely responsible for developing use, activity, and motivation data starting in 1960, recommended completing recreation surveys on a 5year interval (Haas 2007). The change over time of visitor attitudes, preferences, use patterns, experience, and capacity may require modifications to the management of recreation within the Project area. This form of information gathering is aimed at fully using recreation sites while mitigating Project-related impacts within and adjacent to Project-affected areas and the downstream footprint area of the project. The timing of this measure (6 years) was developed to ensure changes in recreation could be identified with sufficient time for management programs to react and to correspond with reporting requirements for recreation that FERC requires. This measure will provide the licensee and FS the ability to react to changes and provide the quality recreation opportunities in the Project area required to meet the Forest Plan, and other applicable management standards.

Fish Stocking Program

Objectives Addressed by Fish Stocking Program

Reservoir Angling Objective (Hell Hole Reservoir / French Meadows Reservoir):

- Protect and enhance reservoir angling opportunities (shoreline and boat) at Hell Hole Reservoir consistent with overall reservoir-based recreation and reservoir level goals through fish stocking, maintenance of structures, and access.
- Ensure fish stocking in Hell Hole Reservoir is adequate and consistent with goals of
 providing a trophy trout angling opportunity; there may be a need for a cooperative
 agreement to meet this objective.
- Protect and enhance reservoir angling opportunities (shoreline and boat) at French Meadows Reservoir consistent with overall reservoir-based recreation and reservoir level goals through stocking, maintenance of structures, and access.
- Ensure fish stocking in French Meadows Reservoir is adequate for a quality angling experience; there may be a need for a cooperative agreement to meet this objective.

Information Used to Establish Fish Stocking Program

The Licensee has explored and compiled historic CDFG fish stocking records for the water bodies within the MFAR watershed (PCWA 2011c, Table 6 and Table 7). Stocking management targets and annual caps, not to exceed the average fish stocking rates from years 2001 -2009, are expected to be applied to an ongoing stocking program under a new MFAR Project license.

Rationale For Fish Stocking Program

Project facilities and operation have a direct affect on the movement of fish within habitat of the Middle Fork watershed, causing isolation to those populations supported by impounded waters upstream of Project dams. The licensee is responsible for providing reservoir-based recreation, including angling opportunities at facilities developed on public lands. It is reasonable to expect that the licensee will fund 100% of future fish stocking costs at two of the three large MFAR project impoundments as enhaced recreation and ongoing mitigation for impacts to the historic fishery. The fish stocking program for French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs should be fully funded through a new MFAR Project license.

Consultation and Annual Coordination Meeting

Objectives Addressed by Consultation and Annual Coordination Meeting

Visual Resources Objective Recreation Management Objective Recreation Design Objective Recreational Access Objective Resource Protection Objective

Rationale for Consultation and Annual Coordination Meeting

It is the desire of the FS, along with other interested parties, to continue a similar level of coordination and adjustment for the Project. By having specific coordination meetings, public interests including the results of surveys, resource protection measures, and other input from prior years can be reviewed. These reviews will allow for the determination of necessary maintenance, rehabilitation, construction, and reconstruction work needed, based on facility condition and other factors at the time. Data from ongoing monitoring will assist in making any needed changes in the schedule of work, and for future planning.

Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites

Objectives Addressed by Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites

Recreation Management Objectives Recreation Design Objective Resource Protection Objective

Information Used to Establish Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites

The following information was used to establish the need for a Recreation Plan:

- Middle Fork American River Project Existing Resource Information Reports (June 2006b)
- Middle Fork American River Project Description (PCWA Draft 2006a)
- Recreation Use and Facilities (PCWA 2010b)
- Recreation Visitor Surveys (PCWA 2010c)
- Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (PCWA 2010d)
- Stream-based Recreation Opportunities (PCWA 2010e)
- Contingency Whitewater Boating Study (PCWA 2010f)
- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (199))
- Angler Focus Group Meeting Comments of Anglers (Carnozza 2010a)
- Foothills Angler Group Facilities Project List (Carnozza 2010b)
- Forest Service Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines (FSORAG) (USDA 2006b).

Rationale for Specific Improvements at Dispersed Recreation Sites

Cache Rock River Access

The Cache Rock River Access area does not include any developed recreation facilities at this time, although there are 10 designated primitive camping areas. The area is used as a

lunch stop by whitewater boaters (PCWA 2010b) and by individuals accessing the river via the 4WD access road (Forest Road 14N35A) to fish and participate in other water-based recreation activities (based on observations by FS staff and comments from the public (PCWA 2010e). At this time, there is no public right of way across the private land located south of the Cache Rock River Access Area; however the Forest Service is currently working with the private landowner to acquire public access. At this time, the FS provides clean-up and visitor management at the Cache Rock River Access Area. Sanitation facilities and safety information is needed at this location to better provide for public health and safety for recreating visitors at this site and to ensure recreation visitors are having a quality experience and not impacting resources.

Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives

Objectives Addressed by Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives

Recreation Management

Macroinvertebrates

Temperature

Dissolved Oxygen

Water Quality

Natural Hydrograph

Flow Fluctuations

Geomorphology

Riparian Habitat

Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species and Management Indicator Species Hydropower Operations

Information Used to Establish Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives

The following information was used to establish the need for the Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objective:

- Middle Fork American River Project Existing Resource Information Reports (PCWA 2006b)
- Middle Fork American River Project Description (PCWA Draft 2006a)
- Recreation Use and Facilities (PCWA 2010b)
- Recreation Visitor Surveys (PCWA 2010c)
- Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (PCWA 2010d)
- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (1990)
- Feasibility Report on Middle Fork American River Project (Leeds, Hill and Jewett, 1964)

Rationale for Reservoir Minimum Pool Elevation and Scheduling Objectives

Estimated public recreational use of the two major storage Project reservoirs (French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs) is displayed in the REC 1 and REC 3 Technical Study Reports (PCWA 2010b and PCWA 2010d). These reports show that these reservoirs receive recreational use during the summer and fall periods when surveys were conducted. This recreational use includes boating for pleasure, fishing, swimming and other forms of water play or shoreline use.

Reservoir level minimums and objectives have been developed to allow for continued recreational use of the Project reservoirs, and to maintain the aesthetic quality of the recreation experience, while still meeting other recreational needs, resource objectives, and hydropower generation. Factors considered in developing desired reservoir levels included (1) maintaining the functionality of facilities and improvements serving recreation visitors, such as boat ramps, picnic areas, etc., (2) maintenance of aesthetic qualities and public perceptions, (3) continuing to provide for the recreation activities visitors have come to enjoy, and meeting anticipated future uses and trends, (4) historic reservoir levels with associated uses, conflicts, and other management issues, and (5) personal observations of recreation managers from the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests.

French Meadows Reservoir

French Meadows Reservoir provides a variety of recreation opportunities including fishing, reservoir boating for pleasure (motorized and non-motorized), swimming and water play, and other shoreline recreation. The desired condition for management of French Meadows Reservoir, from a recreation perspective, is to maintain the reservoir level as high as possible during the recreation season, to restrict encounters with physical hazards associated with stumps and other protrusions, and to maintain reasonable access to the shoreline from developed recreation facilities. The recreational use season at French Meadows Reservoir typically extends from snowmelt (often in late May to early June) through November. There are two boat ramps at French Meadows reservoir. The minimum reservoir level at which at least one of the boat ramps is useable is 5,200 feet in elevation. The reservoir elevation has historically fluctuated during the recreation season generally between 5,260 and 5,190 feet elevation, with the peak typically in late June (PCWA 2010d).

Hell Hole Reservoir

Hell Hole Reservoir provides a variety of recreation opportunities including fishing, reservoir boating for pleasure (motorized and non-motorized), and dispersed camping. Swimming, water play, and other shoreline recreation are less common due to the steep shoreline and limited access. The desired condition for management of Hell Hole Reservoir, from a recreation perspective, is to maintain the reservoir level as high as possible during the recreation season and to allow for access to the upper portion of the reservoir as late into the recreation season as feasible. The recreational use season at Hell Hole Reservoir typically extends from snowmelt (often in late May to early June) through late October. The minimum reservoir level at which the boat ramp is useable is 4,530 feet in elevation. The upper portion of the reservoir becomes inaccessible or difficult to access when the reservoir level drops below 4,530 to 4,560 feet in elevation.

The reservoir elevation has historically fluctuated during the recreation season generally between 4,630 and 4,500 feet elevation, with the peak typically in late June (PCWA 2010d).

Recreational Streamflows

Objectives Addressed by Recreational Streamflows

Recreational Streamflow Objective

Information Used to Establish Recreational Streamflows

The following information was used to establish recreational streamflows:

- Streambased Recreation Opportunities Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010e)
- Contingency Whitewater Boating Study (PCWA 2010f)
- Instream Flow Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010a)
- CDPR Whitewater Use Data, Whitewater Recreation Office, Auburn SRA (CDPR 2010a)
- Visitor Attendance Data, Auburn SRA (CDPR 2010b)
- Auburn State Recreation Area Interim Resource Management Plan (CDPR 1992)
- Draft Whitewater Management Plan North and Middle Fork, American River (CDPR 1987)
- Proposed Whitewater Management Plan for the North and Middle Fork American River, California (USDI Bureau of Reclamation and CDPR 1985)
- American River Wild and Scenic Eligibility Study)USDI Bureau of Reclamation 1993)
- American River National Recreation Area Feasibility Study (USDI Bureau of Land Management 1990)

Rationale for Recreational Streamflows

As discussed above in the portion of the Specific Recreation Measures which addresses section the Middle Fork American River (Peaking Reach) Recreation Area section, flow-dependent recreation activities occur along the peaking reach. These activities include whitewater rafting and kayaking, canoeing and fishing. The hydroelectric project results in higher flows during the summer and fall, which would not occur in the unimpaired condition. These higher flows have attracted the flow-dependent whitewater recreation use. Similarly, the project results in higher flows and colder water temperatures during the summer and fall than the unimpaired condition which sustains a cold water fishery which has attracted angling use along the peaking reach.

The unimpaired hydrograph for the peaking reach indicates that in most water type years there is insufficient flow to support the primary whitewater recreation that occurs on this reach, the rafting on the Class IV-V Tunnel Chute run, from approximately mid-June to late November or early December. This run requires a minimum of 1,000 cfs in order to provide an acceptable recreation experience. The unimpaired hydrograph indicates that

flows would drop below this level from sometime between early June to early July, depending upon water year type, and flows would not again reach this volume until late November or early December.

Whitewater use data, both private (actual reported amount) and commercial use on the Tunnel Chute and Mammoth Bar runs, from 1995 through 2009 totals 270,710 people. Of this total, 231,961 people used the river during the period from mid-June through the end of November, which is eighty six percent of the total whitewater recreation use in the peaking reach. The impaired flows from the PCWA project are responsible for 86 percent of the primary flow dependent use within the peaking reach.

Tunnel Chute Run

A key interest of the resource agencies is to maintain the current level of whitewater boating flows that have been provided for the Class IV Tunnel Chute run over the past decade or more. These recreation flows of 1,000 cfs, for 3 or 4 hours, between 8 or 9am and noon, for seven days per week from May through September in many if not most water year types.

The resource agencies believe that the flow magnitude for whitewater boating flows on the Tunnel Chute Run, should be 1,000 in all water year types. The resource agencies commented on the reports of these flow studies in an October 2009 letter, including specific comments on the identified acceptable flow ranges stated in these reports. The resource agencies have also reviewed the individual survey forms from the whitewater recreation flow studies.

The Streambased Recreation Opportunities Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010e) suggested that 800-900 cfs is an appropriate minimum acceptable flow for whitewater boating on the Tunnel Chute Run. However, the resource agencies believe that 1,000 cfs is the minimum acceptable flow to provide for whitewater boating on this run. This Class IV run has steep, rocky technical drops and the 100-200 cfs difference between 800 or 900 cfs and 1,000 cfs is significant. As noted in Appendix Q of the whitewater recreation flow study, at 1,000 cfs the rocks and boulders on the run are covered with water, there are more and better routes through the rapids and safety concerns relative to swims are reduced as compared to the lower flows. Reading the completed survey forms of the participants in the flow study for this run is also revealing. Despite marking on the form that 800 cfs would be an acceptable flow, several of these same respondents go on to note that the "rapids are much safer with more water" or note the problems of boating at 800 cfs including greater potential for wraps, pins and dangerous swims. The CDPR whitewater ranger, with more than 20 years of experience on this river and run, firmly believes that 1,000 cfs is the appropriate minimum acceptable flow. The resource agencies believe that recreation flows provided as part of license requirements should be developed closer to the low end of optimal flows particularly when optimal flows reduce safety concerns.

Mammoth Bar and Confluence Runs

The resource agencies have a strong interest in providing adequate recreation flows for the Mammoth Bar and Confluence runs earlier in the day during the primary summer season, than currently occurs. Specifically, the resource agencies are interested in the licensee providing recreation flows of a minimum of 800 cfs at the Confluence by noon to 2pm at least some weekend days in most water year types. The travel time for flows down the peaking reach varies depending on the minimum and peak flows. As noted in the Instream Flow Technical Study Report, with a base flow of 200 cfs and a peak flow of 1,000 cfs, the travel time from Oxbow to the Confluence is 9.7 hours. Over the past decade or two, the summer peak flows of approximately 1,000 cfs have not reached the Confluence until 5 or 6pm. For much of this time this wasn't an issue because the river was closed to recreation use below the Confluence due to the danger of the Auburn Dam diversion tunnel, which diverted the entire river through a half mile tunnel at the Auburn Dam site.

In 2008, the Licensee and Reclamation completed the American River Pump Station project which, in addition to constructing a pump station, closed the diversion tunnel and restored the river to its historic channel. The Confluence Run has been available for public use since that time. The China Bar run slowly gained popularity in the first two seasons of use (2008-2009) that this stretch has been available. This Class 2 run, which is accessible to a wide range of boating skill levels, is very close to the City of Auburn and highly accessible via Highway 49. The man-made bypass channel constructed as part of the Pump Station diversion was designed to be attractive to whitewater boaters. This run can serve a variety of recreational boating interests, from casual down river boaters enjoying the scenery, to whitewater play boaters who are attracted to the waves and holes on the run a certain flows. While the vast majority of the use on the Tunnel Chute is provided by commercial whitewater outfitters, the use of the Confluence run is "private" boating use. A whitewater festival was been held on this run in 2010 which attracted several hundred participants and spectators. Provided adequate flows are available at appropriate times and sufficient access is provided, the resource agencies expect this run to become very popular in the future.

The Streambased Recreation Opportunities Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010e) suggested that the acceptable minimum boating flows for the Confluence Run are from 350 to 600 cfs. The flow study was based on seven survey participants at the lowest flow of 368 cfs and five participants at flows of 600, 800 and 1,000 cfs. These are not large sample sizes. A number of these participants indicated the minimum acceptable flow was 600 cfs and others made comments that more water would be better and provide greater room to maneuver. Some study participants also noted that even at flows of 800 cfs, the water level was shallow and rocky at the Pump Station Bypass channel. One participant noted that the bypass channel was nearly unnavigable at 368 cfs. It is the resource agencies' understanding that the Pump Station bypass channel was designed to accommodate recreation boating at a range of flows with a minimum flow of 600 to 800 cfs. Understanding the range of flows for which the bypass channel was designed could help inform the acceptable minimum flows for this run. The resource agencies believe that a flow of 800 cfs may provide the best recreation opportunities for a variety of watercraft on the Confluence Run.

The limited storage capacity of Ralston Afterbay is a key constraint in the MFAR system in meeting the various flow needs and demands in the peaking reach and in retaining the licensee's desired flexibility (daily, weekly, and seasonally) in operating their system and maximizing peak power generation. Since there is limited opportunity to increase the storage capacity of Ralston Afterbay, the resource agencies believe some shifting of the timing of power generation in the Middle Fork and Ralston Powerhouses, in order to maintain appropriate reservoir levels at Ralston Afterbay, may be necessary and reasonable in order to meet the various flow demands in the peaking reach. Such shifting of the timing of generation does not necessarily result in a loss of generation but may reduce the value of a small portion of the generation.

The resource agencies also have an interest in seeing peak recreation flows reach the Mammoth Bar run at a reasonable time of day, between 10am and 1pm depending on the water year type, on some weekend days during the primary summer boating season. The put-in for this run is below Ruck-a-Chucky Rapid at the Greenwood river access site. With a base flow of 200 cfs and a peak flow of 1,000 cfs, the water travel time from Oxbow to the Confluence is about 6 hours. The early release Confluence flows also provide boatable flows for the Mammoth Bar run within the target timeframe of 10am to 1pm.

The Streambased Recreation Opportunities Technical Study Report (PCWA 2010e) suggested that 500-600 cfs is an acceptable minimum flow for the Mammoth Bar Run. Again, these flow studies were based on four or five participant surveys at the two target flows. This is not a large sample size on which to base acceptable minimum flow decisions. Again, in reviewing the four individual survey forms for the 600 cfs target flow, at least one of the four indicated 600cfs was "unacceptable." All of the participants on the flow studies for the Mammoth Bar Run were using inflatable kayaks or hard shell kayaks; none were in rafts. The resource agencies do not agree that 500-600 cfs is an acceptable minimum flow for this run in all crafts and believe that 800-1,000 cfs is a more appropriate minimum acceptable flow for this run, including rafts.

Visual Resource Management Plan

Objectives Addressed by Visual Resource Management Plan

Visual Resources Objective

Information Used to Establish Visual Resource Management Plan

The following information was used to establish this condition:

- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1990)
- National Forest Landscape Management Volume 1 (USDA 1973c)
- National Forest Landscape Management Volume 2, Chapter 1, The Visual Management System (USDA 1974)
- Visual Quality Assessment Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009d)

• Final Visual Resource Management Plan (PCWA 2011g)

Rationale for Visual Resource Management Plan

The Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans define visual quality objectives for NFS lands in the Project areas. Some Project facilities and operations are visible on the landscape and contrast with the surrounding forested setting. Project roads, campgrounds, and facilities are obvious to the casual observer. Conditions and recommendations in this section are intended to decrease conflicts with visual management objectives of the National Forests, yet allow continued operation of the Project.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Applicable Sections

Historic Properties Management Plan Cultural Resource Discovery

Existing Conditions

There are current and past cultural resource management resulting from Project-related operations and activities that directly and indirectly affect cultural resource sites within the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE).

Desired Conditions

The desired condition within the APE is to mitigate impacts to eligible historic properties pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Cultural Resources and Heritage Resources Discovery

Objectives Addressed by Cultural Resources Measures

Cultural Resources Objective Resource Protection Objective

Information Used to Establish Cultural Resources Measures

The following information was used to establish these conditions:

Cultural Resources Technical Study Reports (PCWA 2008b and PCWA 2009e) Federal laws, regulations, policies, and procedures related to Cultural Resource Management

Rationale for Cultural Resources Measures

The licensing of the Project is a federal undertaking requiring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, which requires any Federal undertaking to consider historic properties and afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation an opportunity to comment on the undertaking before issuance of the license (16 U.S.C.). Sections 32 and 33 will fulfill these Federal obligations.

TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT

Applicable Sections

Transportation System Management Plan

Existing Conditions

The results of the Land 1 – Transportation System Technical Study Plan (PCWA 2008) and displayed in the accompanying technical memorandum shows the existing and proposed project roads and trails. The study plan also sought to determine the operation, maintenance, condition, negative environmental effects and physical characteristics of those project roads and trails and then report any deficiencies.

The licensee uses National Forest System Roads (NFSR) and Trails (NFST) for the general access to the operation and maintenence of project facilities and the public uses these roads for access to the recreation opportunities provided by the facilities. In addition to the Project Roads, they provide the sole road access to the licensee's facilities. The licensee uses all of these routes throughout the year for the operation and maintenance of their facilities. These are all National Forest System Roads under the jurisdiction of the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests.

FS does not maintain winter access to any destinations or to other permitted activities over the general access roads nor does the Forest Service routinely remove snow. The licensee's requires year around access to portions of the project over roads and removes snow to achieve that access. They annually remove snow on roads to gain early season access to the remainder of their facilities for planned outages, repairs and modifications. Snow removal activities and wet weather use increases costs and effort associated with user generated, recurring, and deferred maintenance as well as increases surface replacement costs.

Desired Conditions

The desired condition of project roads and trails is the operation and maintenance of those facilities consistent with the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resourcre Management Plans as well as FS standards in an economical and efficient manner that provides necessary access to the project while minimizing negative environmental effects throughout the life of the license. That desired condition includes the appropriate service level of public access to project related recreation facilities and opportunities.

The desired condition for the National Forest System Roads used to access project facilities is for an agreement authorizing the licensee's use of those roads and the licensee's commensurate share of road maintenance and repairs.

Transportation System Management Plan

Objectives Addressed by Transportation System Management Plan

The TSMP identifies the defeciencies of the project roads and trails and establishes a prioritized timetable to correct these deficiencies. The TSMP also establishes the objectives for the long term operation and maintenance of project roads and trails and the framework for the licensee and FS cooperation.

Information Used to Establish Transportation System Management Plan

The following information was used to establish this condition:

- Transportation System Technical Study Report (2009f)
- Recreation Technical Study Reports referenced in the Recreation section, above
- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1990)
- FS manuals and handbooks

Rationale for Transportation System Management Plan

Pursuant to 36 CFR 212.7 (d), the licensee, as a principal user of National Forest System roads is required to share in the maintenance of the road system, commensurate with their use. Project facilities must be inventoried and maintained.

Specific transportation needs were identified that are directly related to the Project or visitation and public use that is a result of the Project facilities and Project operations.

LAND MANAGEMENT

Applicable Sections

Fire Prevention, Response, and Investigation Plan Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan

Existing Conditions

- Continued emphasis on hydroelectric generation can be expected.
- Licensee studies show that recreational use of the Project is increasing and is projected to continue to increase.

• Fire risk near Project reservoirs and dispersed areas is increasing.

Desired Conditions

- Promote fire prevention commensurate with resource values at risk.
- Treat natural fuels in the following order of priority: (1) public safety, (2) high-investment situations (structural improvements, powerlines, etc.), (3) known high fire occurrence areas, and (4) coordinated resource benefits, i.e., ecosystem maintenance for natural fire regimes.
- Manage, construct, and maintain buildings and administrative sites to meet applicable codes and to provide necessary facilities to support resource management.
- Inspect dams and bridges at prescribed intervals and provide maintenance necessary to keep them safe.
- Provide for continued use of hydroelectric facilities.
- Consider volcanic, seismic, flood, and slope stability hazards in the location and design of administrative and recreation facilities.

Fire Management and Response Plan

Objectives Addressed by Fire Management and Response Plan

The objectives addressed are to outline the responsibility of the Licensee and its contractor(s) for fire prevention and suppression activities, set up reporting and attach procedures in the event of a fire in the vicinity of the project, and ensure that fire prevention and suppression techniques are carried out in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws and regulations.

Information Used to Establish Fire Management and Response Plan

The following information was used to establish the Fire Management and Response Plan:

- Fire Prevention and Response Technical Study Report (PCWA 2009g)
- California Public Resource Code (PRC)
- FS manual direction, which includes a determination of potential probability of fire occurrence during any given weather scenario (currently referred to as Project Activity Level, PAL)

PAL institutes a series of prevention techniques as well as restrictions for some activities during the driest conditions and applies to all operations and maintenance activies including those conducted by FS contractors, permittees, and personnel as well as the Licensee for operation and maintenance of power generation facilities. Additionally,

upon the determination of the Forest Supervisor, the FS can implement fire restrictions that limit many activities, usually excluding activities within developed recreation sites. Fire restrictions could limit the Licensee's O&M activities at project facilities; it is necessary to establish procedures so that Licensee is informed.

.

Rationale for Fire Management and Response Plan

The Fire Management and Response Plan outlines a series of procedures that protects resources and facilities, and provides for public (as well as PCWA personnel) safety through prevention of fires, and if needed, response to a fire. These procedures range from education about, and implementation of, PAL and fire restrictions; emergency contact information in the event of a fire in the vicinity of project facilities including recreation facilities, and outlines suppression efforts in the event of a Licensee Project caused fire as well as a fire in the vicinity of a project facility. It is important to note that contacting emergency services (e.g. 911) and taking action only within the limits of training and personal skill/knowledge in fire fighting, is extremely important. It is expected that periodic updates to the plan will be necessary.

Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan

Objectives Addressed by Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan Consistency with Plans

Information Used to Establish Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan

The following information was used to establish these conditions:

- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1990)
- Sierra Management Framework Plan Amendment (USDA 2004)
- Final Sediment Management Plan (PCWA 2011e)

Rationale for Erosion and Sediment Control and Management Plan

The Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans (USDA 1989 and USDA 1990) contain various requirements addressing erosion control and water quality. In particular, applicable riparian conservation objectives described on pp. 62 through 66 in the Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision (USDA 2004) apply.

Rationale for Administrative FS Conditions

Objectives Addressed by Other FS Conditions

Consistency with Plans

Information Used for to Establish Other FS Conditions

The following information was used to establish these conditions:

- Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989)
- Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1990)
- Sierra Management Framework Plan Amendment (USDA 2004)
- Forest Service manuals
- Various laws and regulations

Rationale for Other FS Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement

Consultation, Approval of Changes

The Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans and their amendments contain numerous requirements that must be met before construction or if changes in Project implementation are proposed. In addition, new information may become available that demonstrates that revision of the Section 4(e) conditions is necessary to accomplish protection and use of National Forest System resources. The standard conditions address these items and ensure that the Project does and will continue to meet the requirements in the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans.

Please see the General Discussion at the end of the list of other conditions.

Access

The rationale for access and road use by the government is from FS Manual 7700 (7730.1 – Authority) – Transportation System, as follows:

Authority: Authorizes the Forest Service to require users of NFS roads to maintain roads commensurate with their use and to reconstruct roads when necessary to accommodate their use. If this maintenance or reconstruction cannot be provided or would not be practical, the Forest Service may require the users to deposit sufficient funds to cover the users' share of the maintenance or reconstruction.

Operation: FS may restrict use of administrative NFS roads and public NFS roads consistent with the foregoing requirements to meet RMOs and to comply with applicable regulations (36 CFR 212.5). Commercial haulers are subject to cost recovery and are also subject to investment sharing if they are hauling non-federal forest products from land tributary to roads authorized under a road use permit.

Traffic Control on Roads Subject to a Written Authorization: Road use may be authorized by an easement, a cooperative agreement, an investment sharing agreement or easement, a special use authorization, a contract, or another written authorization. Include necessary traffic rules in these documents. Roads Covered by a License Agreement or Memorandum of Understanding. Include traffic control requirements in these documents, and designate the party responsible for implementation

Surveys, Land Corners

Both the Eldorado National Forest and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans require that the FS provides for maintenance of property lines (Page 4-106 of the Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and guideline 60 of the Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (USDA 1989 and USDA 1990).

Pesticide Use Restrictions on National Forest System Lands

Page 4-292 of the Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Plan requires the FS to consult with the SWRCB regarding all pesticide projects within 100 feet of flowing streams. This requirement is also necessary to comply with EPA standards.

Modification of 4(e) Conditions After Biological Opinion or Water Quality Certification

This condition is necessary to ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act and the Clean Water Act. In addition, the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans, as amended by the 2004 Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment, provide for moving ecosystem conditions toward goals that will restore and maintain the physical, chemical and biological integrity of the region's waters as mandated by the Clean Water Act, and will support the Forest Service's mission to provide habitat for riparian and aquatic-dependent species under the National Forest Management Act, Organic Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and Endangered Species Act. In addition, p. 4-295 of the Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan requires the FS to coordinate with the California State Water Quality Control Board regarding streamflows related to fisheries, disturbance of riparian vegetation, water quality maintenance, and recreation needs (USDA 1989).

Signs

The rationale for signs is from FS Manual 7160, Engineering Operations, Signs and Posters, as follows: The Washington Office Director of Engineering shall approve the acquisition, installation, and use of nonstandard symbols or traffic control devices (TCDs) for use at field locations. The Regional Sign Coordinator shall approve all other deviations from standards applicable to the acquisition, design, and installation of nonstandard signs and posters.

Further rationale is found in the US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration's *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD), which is the national standard for signs, markings, pavement markings, and other devices used to

control traffic (traffic control devices) on all roads open to public travel. The Forest Service is required by 23 CFR 655.603 to adopt each addition of the MUTCD within 2 years of that edition becoming final through publication in the *Federal Register*. Traffic control devices shall be constructed, located, installed, and maintained according to the standards contained in the MUTCD. Refer to it for guidance and specific information for all standard signs and devices. Some devices in the MUTCD have been changed, deleted, and/or added. Refer to the current edition of the MUTCD for specific guidance and target dates for compliance for these devices.

Specific MUTCD direction includes:

- Traffic control devices shall be defined as all signs, signals, markings, and other devices used to regulate, warn, or guide traffic, placed on, over, or adjacent to a street, highway, pedestrian facility, bikeway, or private road open to public travel (see definition in Section 1A.13) by authority of a public agency or official having jurisdiction, or, in the case of a private road, by authority of the private owner or private official having jurisdiction.
- The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) is incorporated by reference in 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 655, Subpart F and shall be recognized as the national standard for all traffic control devices installed on any street, highway, bikeway, or private road open to public travel (see definition in Section 1A.13) in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 109(d) and 402(a). The policies and procedures of the Federal Highway Administration (FHA) to obtain basic uniformity of traffic control devices shall be as described in 23 CFR 655, Subpart F. 03 In accordance with 23 CFR 655.603(a), for the purposes of applicability of the MUTCD:
 - Toll roads under the jurisdiction of public agencies or authorities or publicprivate partnerships shall be considered to be public highways;
 - o Private roads open to public travel shall be as defined in Section 1A.13; and
 - Parking areas, including the driving aisles within those parking areas, that are either publicly or privately owned shall not be considered to be "open to public travel" for purposes of MUTCD applicability.

Use of National Forest System Roads for Project Access

Pursuant to 36 CFR 212.7 (d), the licensee, as a principal user of National Forest System roads is required to share in the maintenance of the road system, commensurate with their use. Project facilities must be inventoried and maintained.

Specific transportation needs of National Forest System Roads were identified that are directly related to the Project or visitation and public use that is a result of the Project facilities and Project operations.

Crossings, Access by the United States, Road Use

The Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management and the Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plans as amended by their Travel Management Plans prohibit cross county motorized traffic and restrict traffic to designated routes. Such routes are designated in the TSMP.

The US Code of Federal Regulations at 36 CFR 261.54 National Forest System roads, allows for a Prohibition in Areas Designated by Order. When provided by an order, the following are prohibited: using any type of vehicle prohibited by the order and being on the road.

General Discussion for all Other FS Conditions

- Maintenance of Improvements on or Affecting National Forest System Lands
- Existing Claims
- Compliance with Regulations
- Surrender of License or Transfer of Ownership
- Protection of United States Property
- Indemnification
- Damage to Land, Property, or Interests of the United States
- Risks and Hazards on National Forest System Lands
- Protection of FS Special Status Species
- Ground Disturbing Activities

The "other" conditions include requirements that serve to address the statutory and administrative responsibilities of the FS. These conditions address the FS concerns related to maintenance of the Project improvements; existing valid claims and rights to the land occupied by the Project; compliance with Federal, State, county and municipal laws and regulations; protection of Federal property; indemnification; water pollution; risks and hazards; signs, pesticide use restrictions; access; road use; and hazardous materials. FERC is not the agency responsible for administering National Forest System lands and cannot be expected to condition the Project license relative to the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plansand the numerous laws, regulations, and agency policies that pertain to this National Forest System land. Including these conditions would ensure that project operations are consistent with management direction for the Forests.

During annual consultation meetings, useful information such as the timing of moving large equipment over Forest roads, spill events, and physical changes to Project features will be addressed. The FS could use the information to minimize user conflicts, particularly in the area of recreation, and schedule Forest personnel time for administration of the ongoing project.

There is a potential concern that Project features could be responsible for damage, injury, or death if the public accesses these features. Since these features are the property of the licensee, and not the FS, a license condition to require the licensee to indemnify the FS against damage, injury, or death associated with the use and/or occupation of National Forest System lands authorized by the Project license will protect the public interest.

Project facilities and activities may pose a threat of fires or other possible destruction of habitat with resultant losses of other resource values, injury, and human life. It is appropriate that the licensee take measures to minimize the risk to federal land and human life. Including license conditions that address these hazards provides an incentive to the licensee to eliminate or minimize risks associated with Project facilities and operations and to provide protection of Forest resources by preparing a plan for responding to wildland fires.

The Surrender of License condition would require the licensee to restore National Forest System lands in the event the license is surrendered. This condition would minimize the risk of Project improvements being abandoned on the Forests.

The remaining license conditions would provide protection for public health and/or safety and Forest resources on National Forest System lands by requiring compliance with laws, regulations, and statutory requirements that guide the FS in managing the Federal land occupied by the Project.

Literature Cited

Bosley, Holly E. Techniques for Estimating Boating Carrying Capacity: A Literature Review. August 2005. Published in PCWA REC 3: Reservoir Recreation Opportunities Technical Study Report.

Carnozza, B. 2010a. Angler Focus Group Meeting, March 4, 2010, Joint Comments of Anglers Experienced on the Bypass Reaches (Bill Carnazzo, Bill Templin, Monte Hendricks, Ed Wahl), document written by Bill Carnazzo, 12 p.

Carnazzo, B. 2010b. Foothills Angler Group Facilities Project List for Recreation Plan, Bypass Reaches, April 15, 2010, 5 p.

CDFG. 1979. Rubicon River Wild Trout Management Plan. (Work was performed by the Inland Fisheries Branch of the California Department of Fish and Game, as part of the Dingell-Johnson Project: F-10-R *Salmonid Stream Study*, supported by Federal Aid to Fish Restoration Funds.) pp. 53.

CDPR. 1987. Draft Whitewater Management Plan North and Middle Fork, American River. 1987.

CDPR . 2010a. Whitewater Use Data, Whitewater Recreation Office, Auburn SRA. 2010.

CDPR. 2010b. Visitor Attendance Data, Auburn SRA. 2010.Center for Environmental Health Sciences, Dartmouth Toxic Metals Research Program. 2005. Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH. Available online at:

http://www.dartmouth.edu/~toxmetal/TXQAag.shtml

Central Valley Region Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB). 1998. The Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, the Sacramento River Basin and the San Joaquin River Basin. Fourth Edition. Revised 2004. 2006. Available online at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/quality.html Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). 2008. Letter from FERC to PCWA, request for additional information. April 11, 2008.

Gerstung, E.G. 1973. Fish population and yield estimates from California Trout Streams. Cal-Neva Wildlife.

Haas, G. E. 2007. Chapter Two: Principles of Recreation Resource Planning. 2007.

Kirkwood, A.E., T. Shea, L.J. Jackson, and E. McCauley. 2007. Didyomosphenia geminata in two Alberta headwater rivers: an emerging invasive species that challenges conventional views on algal bloom development. Can. Journal of Fish and Aquatic Science. 64:1703-1709.

Kupferberg, S. J. 1996. Hydrologic and Geomorphic Factors Affecting Conservation of a River-Breeding Frog (*Rana boylii*). Ecological Applications 6(4): 1332-1344.

Kupferberg. S. J. 2004. Foothill Yellow-legged Frogs (FYLF) and Recommended Flows for Camino Reservoir Reach of Silver Creek and Slab Creek Reservoir Reach of South Fork American River. Letter to Jann Williams, FS. November 29, 2004.

Kupferberg. S. J. 2006. Final letter report re: *Rana boylii* in UARP. Letter to Jann Williams of U.S. Forest Service. Sept. 14.

Leeds, Hill and Jewett, Inc. 1964. Feasibility Report on Middle Fork American River Project; to accompany application of Placer County Water agency for Recreational grants under Davis-Grunsky Act. October 19, 1964.

Lind, A. 2010. A. Lind email to Terry Brennan on snowmelt recession. Dec. 2010.

Lind, A. and S. Yarnell. 2011. Assessment of risks to Sierra Nevada populations of foothill yellow-legged frogs (*Rana boylii*) under varying snow-melt hydrograph recession rates in rivers. March 24.

Moyle, P. B., M. P. Marchetti, J. Baldrige, and T. L. Taylor. 1998. Fish health and diversity: Justifying flows for a California stream. Fisheries Management. Vol. 23, No. 7. pp 6-15.

Needham, P. R. and A. C. Jones. 1959. Flow, temperature, flow, solar radiation, and ice in relation to activities of fishes in Sagehen Creek, California. Ecology, July 1959.

Nehring, R.B., and R.M. Anderson. 1993. Determination of population-limiting critical salmonid habitats in Colorado streams using the physical habitat simulation system. Rivers Vol. 4(1):1-19.

PCWA. 1967. Middle Fork American River Project Recreation Plan, Project 2079 Exhibit R Map (3 sheets), revised March 1967.

PCWA. 1968. Memorandum of Understanding Between Placer County Water Agency and United States Forest Service for Administration, Operation, and Maintenance of Recreation Facilities on the Middle Fork American River Project on the Eldorado and Tahoe National Forests. 1968.

PCWA. 2006a. Middle Fork American River Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2079) Draft Project Description. June 2006. PCWA. 2006b. Middle Fork American River Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2079) Draft Existing resource Information Reports. July 2006.

PCWA. 2006b. Middle Fork American River Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2079) Draft Existing resource Information Reports. July 2006.

PCWA. 2008a. AQ-12: Special-Status Amphibian and Reptile Technical Study Report. June 2008. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E

Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA 2008b. CUL 1: Cultural Resource Technical Study Report 2007. April 2008. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Cultural Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009a. AQ-9a: Geomorphology Technical Study Report 2008. June 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SD B] *Final Technical Reports* – Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA 2009b. TERR 2: Special-Status Plants Technical Study Report 2008. April 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SD B] *Final Technical Reports* – Terrestrial Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA 2009c. TERR 3: Noxious Weeds Technical Study Report 2008. May 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Terrestrial Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009d. REC 5: Visual Quality Assessment Technical Study Report 2008. August 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Recreation Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009e. CUL 1: Cultural Resource Technical Study Report 2008. March 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Cultural Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009f. LAND 1: Transportation System Technical Study Report 2008. August 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Land Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009g. LAND 2: Fire Prevention and Response Technical Study Report 2008. June 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Land Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2009h. TERR 5: Bald Eagle Technical Study Report 2008. March 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Bald Eagle; February 2011.)

- PCWA 2009i. TERR 4: Special-Status Wildlife Technical Study Report 2008. March 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Terrestrial Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010a. AQ 1: Instream Flow Study Technical Report. Final technical report on instream flow for Middle Fork American River Project (FERC No. 2079); August 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010b. REC 1: Recreation Use and Facilities Final Technical Study Report. May 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Recreation Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010c. REC 2: Recreation Visitor Surveys Final Technical Study Report. April 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Recreation Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010d. REC 3: Reservoir Recreation Opportunities Final Technical Study Report. March 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Recreation Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010e. REC 4a: Stream-based Recreation Opportunities Final Technical Study Report. June 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SD B] *Final Technical Reports* Recreation Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010f. REC 4b: Contingency Whitewater Boating Study Final Technical Study Report. August 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Recreation Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010g. AQ 2: Fish Population Technical Study Report 2007-2009. June 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)
- PCWA. 2010h. LAND 3: Emergency Action and Public Safety Technical Study Report. March 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* Cultural and Land Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2011a. AQ-4: Water Temperature Modeling Technical Study Report. August 2010. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2011b. AQ-9b: Geomorphology Technical Study Report 2010. June 2009. (Also published in Application for New License [FLA], Vol. 3 - Exhibit E Supporting Document B [SDB] *Final Technical Reports* – Aquatic Resources; February 2011.)

PCWA. 2011c. Final Recreation Plan. Middle Fork American River Project. August 2011.

PCWA. 2011d. Middle Fork American River Project Application for New License (FLA) Volume 3 - Exhibit E: Environmental Exhibit, Section 7.3, Water Use Affected Environment; February 2011.

PCWA 2011e. Final Sediment Management Plan. Middle Fork American River Project. February 2011.

PCWA 2011f. Final Bald Eagle Management Plan. Middle Fork American River Project. February 2011.

PCWA 2011g. Final Visual Resource Management Plan. Middle Fork American River Project. February 2011.

PCWA and USDA Forest Service. 1965. Agreement between PCWA and FS for the Administration, Construction and Maintenance of Recreation facilities on the Middle Fork American River Project on the Eldorado and Tahoe Nationalo Forests. August 3, 1965.

Personal communication, Ed Moore, Tahoe National Forest, 2010.

Personal communication, Mo Tebbe, Tahoe National Forest, 2010.

Petts, G.E. 1980. Long-term consequences of upstream impoundment. Environmental Conservation. Vol 7, No 4, p 325-332.

Platts, W.S. and R.L. Nelson. 1988. Fluctuations in trout populations and their implications for land-use evaluation. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 8(3): 333-345.

Poff, N. L., J. D. Allan, M. B. Bain, J. R. Karr, K. L. Prestegaard, B. D. Richter, R. E. Sparks, and J. C. Stromberg. 1997. The Natural Flow Regime. *BioScience*, Vol. 47, No. 11 pp. 769-784

Power, M., W. Dietrich, and J. Finlay. 1996. Dams and downstream aquatic biodiversity: potential food web consequences of hydrologic and geomorphic change. Environmental Management. Vol. 20(6) 887-895.

Reeves, G.H., F.H. Everest, and J.R. Sedell. 1993. Diversity of juvenile anadromous salmonid assemblages in coastal Oregon basins with different levels of timber harvest. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society. 122(3):309-317.

Stienstra, T. 2004. California Recreational Lakes and Rivers; the completee guid to boating, Fishing, and Water Sports (French Meadows and Hell Hole Reservoirs). Foghorn Outdoors. Pp. 217-218, 220-221.

United States Code. Title 23 USC Section 109(d) Standards for Design Criteria for National Highway System. Pg. 31 - http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/title23.pdf

United States Code. Title 23 USC Section 402(a) Federal Lands Highways Program Establishment. Pg. 148 - http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/title23.pdf

United States Code of Federal Regulations. Title 23 CFR Part 655 Traffic Operations, Traffic Control Devices on Federal-Aid and Other Streets and Highways, Revised April 1, 2010. Pg 271-277.

United States Code of Federal Regulations. Title 36 CFR Part 212.5 Travel Management, Road System Management, Revised July 1, 2010. Pg 17-19.

United States Code of Federal Regulations. Title 36 CFR Part 212.7 Access procurement by the United States, Revised July 1, 2010. Pg. 20-21.

United States Code of Federal Regulations. Title 36 CFR Part 261.54 National Forest System Roads, July 1, 2010 Edition, Pg. 412.

USDA Forest Service Manual 7160, Engineering Operations, Signs and Posters Effective 9/15/2000 until amended or superceded.

USDA Forest Service. 1989. Eldorado National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Pacific Southwest Region. San Francisco, CA: Pacific Southwest Region.

USDA Forest Service, 1990, Tahoe National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Pacific Southwest Region. San Francisco, CA: Pacific Southwest Region.

USDA Forest Service. 1998. Region 5 Universal Access Strategy. San Francisco, CA: Pacific southwest Region.

USDA Forest Service. 2000. Interim Policy – Accessible Outdoor Recreation. Washington DC.

USDA Forest Service. 2004. Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment, Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision. Pacific Southwest Region. Vallejo, California. Available online at: http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/snfpa/

USDA Forest Service. 2006a. FS Trail Accessibility Guidelines (FSTAG), May 22, 2006, 86 p.

USDA Forest Service. 2006b. FS Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines (FSORAG), May 22, 2006, 77 p.

USDI Bureau of Reclamation. 1992. Auburn State Recreation Area Interim Resource Management Plan. September 1992.

USDI Bureau of Reclamation. 1993. American River Wild and Scenic Eligibility Study. 1993.

USDI Bureau of Reclamation and CDPR. 1985. Proposed Whitewater Management Plan for the North and Middle Fork American River, California. 1985.

USDI Bureau of Land Management. 1990. American River National Recreation Area Feasibility Study. 1990.

USDI Bureau of Land Management. 2007. Sierra Proposed Resource Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Statement. 2007.

USDI Bureau of Land Management. 2008. Sierra Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision. 2008.

USDI (Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007. National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines. May 2007.

USDOL (United States Department of Labor). 1978. The Service Contract Act of 1965, as Amended. WH Publication 1146. Revised July 1978.

US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration's *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD) 2009 Edition was published in the Federal Register. http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/kno_2009.htm.

USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2000. Water Quality Standards; Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California [California Toxics Rule]. (40 CFR 131.38). Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/ctrindex.html

USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2002b. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002. EPA-822-R-02-047, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, November. 33 pp.

USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency). 2005. Didymosphenia in Western Streams. US EPA, Denver, CO. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/region8/water/monitoring/didymosphenia.html

Van der Ven, W. 2000. Up the Lake with a Paddle; Canoe and Kayak Guide, V.2, Chapter 2, Tahoe National Forest Lakes (French Meadows Reservoir, Hell Hole Reservoir and Ralston Afterbay), FineEdge.Com Productions. pp. 83-100, 115-121.

Acronyms

ac-ft acre-feet

AIR Additional Information Request
AN Above Normal Water Year
APE Area of Potential Effect

ASRA Auburn State Recreation Area
BLM Bureau of Land Management
BMPs Best Management Practices
BN Below Normal Water Year
BOR Bureau of Reclamation
C Critical Water Year
CD Critical Dry Water Year

CDEC California Data Exchange Center

CDFG California Department of Fish and Game

CDPR California Department of Parks and Recreation

CDUA Concentrated Dispersed Use Area

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

cfs cubic feet per second
CPI Consumer Price Index

CVRWQCB Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (aka State

Water Board)

D Dry Water Year

DLA Draft License Application

DBAW Department of Boating and Waterways (California State)

ENF Eldorado National Forest

ED Extreme Critically Dry Water Year
FERC Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FGC Fish and Game Code

FHA Federal Highway Administration

FLA Final License Application FPO Forest Protection Officer

FR Forest Route FS Forest Service

FSH Forest Service Handbook

FSORAG Forest Service Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines

FYLF Foothill yellow-legged frog
GIS Geographic Information System

HPMP Historic Properties Management Plan

List of Acronyms (continued)

ILP Integrated Licensing ProcessLEO Law Enforcement OfficerMFAR Middle Fork American River

MFARIB Middle Fork American River Gage and Weir below Interbay Dam

MFP Middle Fork American River Project
MOU Memorandum of Understanding

msl mean sea level

MUTCD Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NFARPS North Fork American River Gage above American River Pump Station NFLCC North Fork Long Canyon Creek Gage and Weir below Diversion Dam

NFS National Forest System

NFSR National Forest System Road NFST National Forest System Trail O&M Operation and Maintenance PAD Pre-Application Document

PAOT Persons-at-one-time
PAL Project Activity Level

PCWA Placer County Water Agency

PM&E Protection, Mitigation, and Enhancement
Project Middle Fork American River Project
PRC Public Resource Code (California State)

Reclamation Bureau of Reclamation

RCO Riparian Conservation Objective

RD Recreation Days RP Recreation Plan

RM River Mile

RREB Rubicon River Gage at Ellicott Bridge

RV Recreational Vehicle
RVD Recreation Visitor Day
SD Supporting Document

SFLCC South Fork Long Canyon Creek Gage and Weir below Diversion Dam

SMP Sediment Management Plan

SMUD Sacramento Municipal Utility District

List of Acronyms (continued)

SRA State Recreation Area
TDC Traffic Control Device

TES Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive

TNF Tahoe National Forest

TSMP Transportation System Management Plan

TSP Technical Study Plan
TSR Technical Study Report
TWG Technical Working Group

USC United States Code

USDA-FS United States Department of Agriculture-Forest Service

USDI United States Department of Interior
USGS United States Geological Survey

VIPMP Vegetation and Integrated Pest Management Plan

Wet Water Year

WSE water surface elevation
WST Western States Trail
WUA Weighted Usable Area
YOY Young of the Year

California Department of Fish and Game North Central Region 1701 Nimbus Road Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this day electronically filed the foregoing California Department of Fish and Game's Recommendations under FPA Section 10(j) and Section 10(a) in the license proceeding on Middle Fork American River (FERC Project No. 2079-069) with the Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and with the recognized and official service list for this proceeding.

Dated this 5th day of August, 2011

SHARON STOHRER

Sharon Tohrer